Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jgp)

## Journal of Geometry and Physics

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/jgp](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jgp)

# Algebraic solutions of the sixth Painlevé equation

## Oleg Lisovyy<sup>[a,](#page-0-0)[∗](#page-0-1)</sup>, Yuriy Tykhyy <sup>[b](#page-0-2)</sup>

<span id="page-0-2"></span><span id="page-0-0"></span>a *Laboratoire de Mathématiques et Physique Théorique CNRS/UMR 6083, Université de Tours, Parc de Grandmont, 37200 Tours, France* <sup>b</sup> *Bogolyubov Institute for Theoretical Physics, 03680 Kyiv, Ukraine*

a b s t r a c t

#### ARTICLE INFO

*Article history:* Received 6 January 2014 Accepted 12 May 2014 Available online 22 May 2014

*Keywords:* Painlevé equations Isomonodromic deformation Character varieties

#### **1. Introduction**

Modular group  $\Gamma = PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  consists of 2 × 2 matrices with integer entries and unit determinant, considered up to overall sign. It has a presentation  $\Gamma = \langle s, t\mid s^3=t^2=1\rangle$ , and is known to be isomorphic to the quotient of 3-braid group  $\mathcal{B}_3$  by its center  $\mathcal{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . The kernel of the canonical homomorphism  $\Gamma \to PSL_2(\mathbb{Z}_2) \cong S_3$  defines a congruence subgroup  $\Lambda \subset \Gamma$ , also known as  $\Gamma(2)$ :

Painlevé VI equation up to parameter equivalence.

We describe all finite orbits of an action of the extended modular group  $\bar{\Lambda}$  on conjugacy classes of *SL*<sub>2</sub>(C)-triples. The result is used to classify all algebraic solutions of the general

$$
\Lambda = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid a, d \text{ odd}; b, c \text{ even} \right\} / \{\pm 1\}.
$$

There are isomorphisms  $\Lambda \cong \mathcal{P}_3/\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathcal{F}_2$ , where  $\mathcal{P}_3$  denotes the group of pure 3-braids and  $\mathcal{F}_2$  is the free group with 2 generators.

Extended modular groups  $\bar{\Gamma}$  and  $\bar{\Lambda}$  are obtained by replacing the unit determinant condition with  $ad - bc = \pm 1$ . These groups have the following presentations:

$$
\bar{\Gamma} = \langle r, s, t \mid r^2 = s^3 = t^2 = (tr)^2 = (sr)^2 = 1 \rangle, \tag{1}
$$

$$
\bar{\Lambda} = \langle x, y, z \mid x^2 = y^2 = z^2 = 1 \rangle \cong C_2 * C_2 * C_2,
$$
\n(2)

where

$$
t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad s = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad r = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},
$$
  

$$
x = \text{rsts} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad y = rt = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z = \text{stsr} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

<span id="page-0-1"></span>Corresponding author. Tel.: +33 2 47 36 74 64.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.geomphys.2014.05.010> 0393-0440/© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.







<span id="page-0-3"></span>© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

*E-mail addresses:* [lisovyi@lmpt.univ-tours.fr](mailto:lisovyi@lmpt.univ-tours.fr) (O. Lisovyy), [tykhyy@bitp.kiev.ua](mailto:tykhyy@bitp.kiev.ua) (Y. Tykhyy).



**Fig. 1.** Branch cuts and loops  $\gamma_{x,y,z}$ .

<span id="page-1-0"></span>Note that Λ is isomorphic to the subgroup (of index 2) of Λ containing words of even length in x, y, z. Hence, given a Λ action on a set *U* and a point  $u \in U$ , the orbits  $\bar{\Lambda}(u)$  and  $\Lambda(u)$  are simultaneously finite or infinite.

In this paper the last observation is used to classify algebraic solutions of the sixth Painlevé equation (see [\[1\]](#page-38-0)):

$$
\frac{d^2w}{dt^2} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{w} + \frac{1}{w-1} + \frac{1}{w-t} \right) \left( \frac{dw}{dt} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{t-1} + \frac{1}{w-t} \right) \frac{dw}{dt} \n+ \frac{w(w-1)(w-t)}{2t^2(t-1)^2} \left( (\theta_\infty - 1)^2 - \frac{\theta_x^2 t}{w^2} + \frac{\theta_y^2(t-1)}{(w-1)^2} + \frac{(1-\theta_z^2)t(t-1)}{(w-t)^2} \right).
$$
\n(PVI)

This is the most general ODE of the form  $w'' = F(t, w, w')$ , with *F* rational in w, w' and *t*, whose general solution has no movable branch points and essential singularities. It can therefore be analytically continued to a meromorphic function on the universal covering of  $\mathbb{P}^1\backslash\{0, 1, \infty\}$ .

A result from Watanabe [\[2\]](#page-38-1) suggests that, roughly speaking, any solution of PVI is either (a) algebraic or (b) solves a Riccati equation or (c) cannot be expressed via classical functions. Known examples of algebraic solutions [\[3\]](#page-38-2) turn out to be related to various mathematical structures, including e.g. Frobenius manifolds [\[4\]](#page-39-0), symmetry groups of regular polyhedra [\[5,](#page-39-1)[6\]](#page-39-2), complex reflections [\[7\]](#page-39-3), Grothendieck's dessins d'enfants and their deformations [\[8–10\]](#page-39-4). A few families of non-classical solutions have also been constructed in terms of Fredholm determinants, see [\[11](#page-39-5)[,12\]](#page-39-6).

In the case  $\theta_x = \theta_y = \theta_z = 0$  a full classification of algebraic solutions has been obtained by Dubrovin and Mazzocco [\[5\]](#page-39-1). Their approach, followed to some extent in the present work, is based on the description of PVI as the equation of monodromy preserving deformation of Fuchsian systems of the form

$$
\frac{d\Phi}{d\lambda} = \left(\frac{A_x}{\lambda - u_x} + \frac{A_y}{\lambda - u_y} + \frac{A_z}{\lambda - u_z}\right)\Phi, \quad A_v \in \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}),\tag{3}
$$

where the poles  $u<sub>v</sub>$  are pairwise distinct,  $A<sub>v</sub>$  are  $2 \times 2$  matrices independent of  $\lambda$  with eigenvalues  $\pm \theta<sub>v</sub>/2$  and

$$
A_x + A_y + A_z = \begin{pmatrix} -\theta_\infty/2 & 0 \\ 0 & \theta_\infty/2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \theta_\infty \neq 0.
$$

The fundamental matrix  $\Phi(\lambda)$  is a multivalued analytic function on  $\mathbb{C}\setminus\{u_x, u_y, u_z\}$ . Fix a basis of loops and branch cuts in  $\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1\setminus\{u_x, u_y, u_z, \infty\}, \infty)$  as shown in [Fig. 1.](#page-1-0) To each branch of a solution of the PVI equation corresponds a unique (up to conjugation) triple of monodromy matrices  $(M_x, M_y, M_z) \in G^3$ ,  $G = SL_2(\mathbb{C})$  of  $\Phi(\lambda)$  w.r.t. the loops  $\gamma_x, \gamma_y, \gamma_z$ . One consequence of isomonodromy is that analytic continuation of solutions of PVI induces an action of the pure braid group on 3 strings on the space of conjugacy classes of such triples (i.e. on the quotient  $M = G^3/G$  of three copies of G by diagonal conjugation by *G*). It extends to the standard Hurwitz action of the braid group  $\mathcal{B}_3 = \langle \beta_x, \beta_z | \beta_x \beta_z \beta_x = \beta_z \beta_x \beta_z \rangle$  on  $G^3$ . Explicitly,

$$
\beta_x : (M_x, M_y, M_z) \mapsto (M_x, M_z, M_z M_y M_z^{-1}), \n\beta_z : (M_x, M_y, M_z) \mapsto (M_y, M_y M_x M_y^{-1}, M_z).
$$

Observe that  $\beta_z\beta_x$  acts on a representative triple  $(M_x,M_y,M_z) \in \mathcal{M}$  by a cyclic permutation. The center  $\mathcal Z$  of  $\mathcal B_3$  is generated by  $(\beta_z\beta_x)^3$  and therefore it acts on M trivially. This leads to an action of the modular group  $\Gamma\cong\mathcal{B}_3/\mathbb{Z}$  on M, with

$$
s: (M_x, M_y, M_z) \mapsto (M_z, M_x, M_y), \qquad (4)
$$

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
t: (M_x, M_y, M_z) \mapsto (M_z, M_y, M_y M_x M_y^{-1})
$$
\n
$$
(5)
$$

in the above notation. The action of  $\bar{\Gamma}$  on M is obtained by adding the involution

$$
r: (M_x, M_y, M_z) \mapsto (M_z^{-1}, M_y^{-1}, M_x^{-1}).
$$
\n(6)

**Lemma 1.** The transformations s, t,  $r : M \to M$ , as given by [\(4\)–\(6\)](#page-1-1), satisfy the defining relations [\(1\)](#page-0-3) of the extended modular *group*  $\overline{\Gamma}$ *.* 

As a corollary, we obtain the restriction of the  $\bar{\Gamma}$  action to its level 2 subgroup  $\bar{\Lambda}$ :

**Lemma 2.** *The generators x, y, z*  $\in$   $\overline{A}$  *act on representative triples from M as follows:* 

<span id="page-2-2"></span>
$$
x: (M_x, M_y, M_z) \mapsto (M_x^{-1}, M_y^{-1}, M_x M_z^{-1} M_x^{-1}),
$$
  
\n
$$
y: (M_x, M_y, M_z) \mapsto (M_y M_x^{-1} M_y^{-1}, M_y^{-1}, M_z^{-1}),
$$
  
\n
$$
z: (M_x, M_y, M_z) \mapsto (M_x^{-1}, M_z M_y^{-1} M_z^{-1}, M_z^{-1}).
$$
\n(7)

**Proof.** Both lemmas can be proved by direct calculation.  $\Box$ 

Let us now describe the last action in more detail, introducing on  $M$  a suitable set of coordinates. Following [\[13\]](#page-39-7), to a point  $(M_x, M_y, M_z) \in M$  we associate a 7-tuple  $(p_x, p_y, p_z, p_\infty, X, Y, Z) \in \mathbb{C}^7$  given by

<span id="page-2-3"></span><span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
p_x = \text{Tr } M_x, \qquad p_y = \text{Tr } M_y, \qquad p_z = \text{Tr } M_z, \qquad p_\infty = \text{Tr } \left( M_z M_y M_x \right), \tag{8}
$$

$$
X = \text{Tr}\left(M_{y}M_{z}\right), \qquad Y = \text{Tr}\left(M_{z}M_{x}\right), \qquad Z = \text{Tr}\left(M_{x}M_{y}\right). \tag{9}
$$

Naive dimension of the quotient  $M$  is equal to 6 and thus it is not surprising that the above monodromy invariants are not all independent — there is a constraint

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
XYZ + X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 - \omega_X X - \omega_Y Y - \omega_Z Z + \omega_4 = 4, \tag{10}
$$

where

<span id="page-2-6"></span><span id="page-2-5"></span>
$$
\omega_X = p_x p_\infty + p_y p_z, \qquad \omega_Y = p_y p_\infty + p_z p_x, \qquad \omega_Z = p_z p_\infty + p_x p_y,
$$
\n(11)

$$
\omega_4 = p_x^2 + p_y^2 + p_z^2 + p_{\infty}^2 + p_x p_y p_z p_{\infty}.
$$
\n(12)

**Remark 3.** Boalch [\[7\]](#page-39-3) refers to an equation equivalent to [\(10\)](#page-2-0) as 'Fricke relation'. In the context of Painlevé VI, it was first obtained by Jimbo in [\[14\]](#page-39-8), p.1140.

**Remark 4.** Four quantities [\(8\)](#page-2-1) are related to PVI parameters by

$$
p_{\nu} = 2\cos\pi\theta_{\nu}, \quad \nu = x, y, z, \infty.
$$
\n<sup>(13)</sup>

Remaining three parameters *X*, *Y*, *Z* satisfying the Jimbo–Fricke relation [\(10\)](#page-2-0) can be generically thought of as giving two PVI integration constants.

The Λ¯ action [\(7\)](#page-2-2) is defined for any group *<sup>G</sup>*. That *<sup>G</sup>* = *SL*2(C) in our case leads to important simplifications, in particular Tr  $M = Tr M^{-1}$  for any  $M \in G$ . Monodromy parameters [\(8\)](#page-2-1) are then fixed by the induced action of  $\bar{\Lambda}$ , and quadratic functions [\(9\)](#page-2-3) transform according to the following:

**Lemma 5.** *The induced action of the generators x, y, z*  $\in$  $\bar{\Lambda}$  *on the parameters* [\(9\)](#page-2-3) *is* 

<span id="page-2-7"></span>
$$
x(X, Y, Z) = (\omega_X - X - YZ, Y, Z), \n y(X, Y, Z) = (X, \omega_Y - Y - ZX, Z), \n z(X, Y, Z) = (X, Y, \omega_Z - Z - XY).
$$
\n(14)

**Proof.** Using again that for  $M \in SL_2(\mathbb{C})$  one has Tr  $M = \text{Tr } M^{-1}$  and also  $M + M^{-1} = \text{Tr } M \cdot \mathbf{1}$  we find for example

<span id="page-2-4"></span>
$$
x(X) = \text{Tr} (M_y^{-1} M_x M_z^{-1} M_x^{-1}) = \text{Tr} (M_y M_x M_z M_x^{-1}) = p_x p_{\infty} - \text{Tr} (M_y M_x M_z M_x)
$$
  
=  $p_x p_{\infty} - YZ + \text{Tr} (M_y M_z^{-1}) = p_x p_{\infty} + p_y p_z - X - YZ.$ 

Proof of the other relations follows in a similar manner.  $\Box$ 

**Remark 6.** After this work has been completed, we became aware of two recent papers [\[15,](#page-39-9)[16\]](#page-39-10), where the group  $\bar{\Lambda}$  was introduced into Painlevé VI context in a way similar to ours and in particular its action [\(14\)](#page-2-4) on monodromy invariants has been computed (cf. relations (2.10)–(2.12) in [\[15\]](#page-39-9) and formula (37) in [\[16\]](#page-39-10)). We also note another interesting recent preprint [\[17\]](#page-39-11) on algebraic PVI solutions.

**Idea of classification**. Finite branch (in particular, algebraic) solutions of Painlevé VI necessarily lead to finite orbits of the  $\mathcal{P}_3/\mathbb{Z} \cong \Lambda$  action on the space M of conjugacy classes of monodromy. Classification of such orbits is equivalent to finding all finite orbits of the action [\(7\)](#page-2-2) of the extended modular group  $\bar{\Lambda}$ . Finally, the orbit  $\bar{\Lambda}(m)$ ,  $m \in \mathcal{M}$  can be finite only if the corresponding orbit of the induced  $\bar{\Lambda}$  action [\(14\)](#page-2-4) on  $\mathbb{C}^3$  is finite.

**Remark 7.** One usually obtains explicit algebraic solution curves from monodromy by applying Jimbo's asymptotic formula [\[14\]](#page-39-8) (or an appropriate modification of it) and computing sufficiently many terms in the Puiseux expansions of solutions near singular points. Another extremely useful tool, especially for solutions of high degree, are Kitaev's quadratic transformations [\[18,](#page-39-12)[19\]](#page-39-13).

In the next section, we classify all finite orbits of the action [\(14\)](#page-2-4) [\(Theorem 1\)](#page-25-0). It then turns out that the resulting 7-tuples of monodromy invariants completely determine Λ-orbits in M except in the case when  $M_{x,y,z}$  can be simultaneously transformed into the upper triangular form. In Section [3,](#page-31-0) we give a complete (up to parameter equivalence) list of Painlevé VI solutions with finite branching. All of them are algebraic with one possible exception of Picard solutions; in that way our explicit computation confirms a recent result by Iwasaki [\[20\]](#page-39-14).

Somewhat unexpectedly for the authors, the solutions corresponding to all possible finite Λ-orbits have already appeared in various papers [\[8](#page-39-4)[,7,](#page-39-3)[21–23,](#page-39-15)[4](#page-39-0)[,5](#page-39-1)[,24,](#page-39-16)[6,](#page-39-2)[9](#page-39-17)[,10\]](#page-39-18). However, four of them (solutions 13, 24, 43 and 44 below) were published with misprints, which are fixed in the present paper.

### **2. Finite orbits of**  $\bar{\Lambda}$

#### *2.1. Orbit graphs*

Our main subject in this section is the  $\bar{A}$ -action [\(14\)](#page-2-4) which we consider as an action on  $\mathbb{C}^3$  by fixing the parameters  $\omega = (\omega_X, \omega_Y, \omega_Z)$ . To any orbit *O* of this action we associate a 3-colored (pseudo)graph  $\Sigma(0)$  as follows:

- the vertices of  $\Sigma(0)$  represent distinct points  $\mathbf{r} = (X, Y, Z) \in \Omega$ .
- two vertices  $a, b \in \Sigma(0)$  are connected by an undirected edge of color x, y or z if  $x(a) = b$  (resp.  $y(a) = b$  or  $z(a) = b$ ).
- if a point  $a \in \Sigma(0)$  is fixed by the transformation *x*, *y* or *z*, we assign to it a self-loop of the corresponding color.

In fact Σ(*O*) is a Schreier coset graph as its vertices can be identified with the cosets of the stabilizer of any point in *O*. Also observe that the structure of [\(14\)](#page-2-4) imposes a number of restrictions on Σ(*O*), in particular it forbids multiple edges and simple cycles with only one edge of a given color.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Example 8.** Set  $\omega = (0, 1, 1)$  and consider the orbit of the point  $\mathbf{r} = (-1, 1, 1)$ . It consists of 5 points with coordinates given below along with the orbit graph.



This orbit does not split under the action of non-extended modular group Λ. The same result is immediate for any  $\bar{A}$ -orbit whose graph contains at least one self-loop (recall that Λ consists of even-length words in *x*, *y*, *z*).

#### <span id="page-3-1"></span>*2.2. Symmetries*

Before we move on to the classification, it is useful to look at the symmetries of the space of orbits and their relation to Bäcklund transformations for Painlevé VI.

Let *T* :  $M \to M$  be an invertible map and let  $\emptyset \in M$  be an orbit of the  $\overline{\Lambda}$ -action [\(7\).](#page-2-2) If there exists an automorphism  $\varphi \in$  Aut  $\bar{\Lambda}$  compatible with  $\bar{T}$  (i.e.  $\lambda$  ( $\bar{T}(u)$ ) =  $\bar{T}(\varphi(\lambda)(u))$  for any  $\lambda \in \bar{\Lambda}$ ,  $u \in \mathcal{M}$ ), then  $\bar{T}(\vartheta)$  is also an orbit, and we will say that  $\Theta$  and  $T(\Theta)$  are equivalent. The symmetries to be considered below are generated by

• permutations:  $T: (M_x, M_y, M_z) \mapsto P(M_x, M_y, M_z), \varphi: (x, y, z) \mapsto P(x, y, z)$  with some  $P \in S_3$ , where permutations act on  $(x, y, z)$  in the standard way, and on the triples  $(M_x, M_y, M_z)$  as follows:

$$
(123) : (M_x, M_y, M_z) \mapsto (M_z, M_x, M_y), (12)(3) : (M_x, M_y, M_z) \mapsto (M_y^{-1}, M_x^{-1}, M_z^{-1}).
$$

• sign flips:  $T : (M_x, M_y, M_z) \mapsto (\varepsilon_x M_x, \varepsilon_y M_y, \varepsilon_z M_z), \varepsilon_{x,y,z} = \pm 1, \varphi = id$ .

To any orbit 0 of the induced  $\bar A$  action [\(14\)](#page-2-4) with parameters  $\bm\omega\in\mathbb C^3$  therefore corresponds a number of equivalent orbits whose parameter triples are obtained from ω by permutations and the action of the Klein four-group *K*<sup>4</sup> (by sign changes of two coordinates). By virtue of [\(10\),](#page-2-0) all these orbits are characterized by the same value of  $\omega_4$ . To deal with nonequivalent orbits, we quotient the parameter space  $\mathbb{C}^3$  by  $K_4\rtimes S_3$ , although it is convenient not to fix the fundamental domain explicitly.

Bäcklund transformations (BTs) map solutions of a given Painlevé VI equation to solutions of the same equation with different values of parameters θ*x*,*y*,*z*,∞. The list of fundamental BTs for PVI is given in the table below, cf. [\[25\]](#page-39-19): Here we use the standard notation  $\delta = \frac{\theta_x + \theta_y + \theta_z + \theta_\infty}{2}$  and

$$
2p = \frac{t(t-1)w'}{w(w-1)(w-t)} - \left(\frac{\theta_x}{w} + \frac{\theta_y}{w-1} + \frac{\theta_z + 1}{w-t}\right).
$$

	$\theta_{x}$	$\theta_{\rm v}$	$\theta_{z}$	$\theta_{\infty}$	w		$\omega_X$	$\omega_Y$	$\omega$ z	$\omega_4$
$S_X$	$-\theta_{x}$	$\theta_{\rm v}$	$\theta_{z}$	$\theta_{\infty}$	$\boldsymbol{w}$		$\omega_X$	$\omega_Y$	$\omega$ z	$\omega_4$
$S_y$	$\theta_{x}$	$-\theta_{\nu}$	$\theta_z$	$\theta_{\infty}$	$\boldsymbol{w}$		$\omega_{X}$	$\omega_Y$	$\omega$ z	$\omega_4$
$S_{Z}$	$\theta_{x}$	$\theta_{\rm v}$	$-\theta_z$	$\theta_{\infty}$	w		$\omega_{X}$	$\omega_Y$	$\omega$ z	$\omega_4$
$S_{\infty}$	$\theta_{x}$	$\theta_{\rm v}$	$\theta_{z}$	$2-\theta_{\infty}$	w		$\omega_X$	$\omega_Y$	$\omega$ z	$\omega_4$
$S_{\delta}$	$\theta_x - \delta$	$\theta_{\rm v}-\delta$	$\theta_z - \delta$	$\theta_{\infty} - \delta$	$w + \frac{\delta}{a}$		$\omega_X$	$\omega_Y$	$\omega$ <sub>Z</sub>	$\omega_4$
$r_{x}$	$\theta_{\infty} - 1$	$\theta_{z}$	$\theta_{\rm v}$	$\theta_x + 1$	t/w		$\omega_{X}$	$-\omega_Y$	$-\omega$ <sub>Z</sub>	$\omega_4$
$r_{y}$	$\theta_{z}$	$\theta_{\infty} - 1$	$\theta_{x}$	$\theta_{\nu}+1$	$\frac{w-t}{w-1}$		$-\omega_X$	$\omega_Y$	$-\omega$ z	$\omega_4$
r <sub>z</sub>	$\theta_{\rm v}$	$\theta_{x}$	$\theta_{\infty} - 1$	$\theta_{z}+1$	$t(w-1)$ $w-t$		$-\omega_X$	$-\omega_Y$	$\omega$ z	$\omega_4$
$P_{xy}$	$\theta_{\rm v}$	$\theta_{x}$	$\theta_{z}$	$\theta_{\infty}$	$1-w$	$1-t$	$\omega_Y$	$\omega_X$	$\omega$ z	$\omega_4$
$P_{yz}$	$\theta_{x}$	$\theta_{z}$	$\theta_{\rm v}$	$\theta_{\infty}$	w/t	1/t	$\omega_X$	$\omega$ z	$\omega_Y$	$\omega_4$

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Table 1** Bäcklund transformations for Painlevé VI.

**Remark 9.** Five transformations  $s_v$  ( $v = x, y, z, \infty, \delta$ ) generate affine Weyl group of type  $D_4$ . Using these transformations, one can construct shift operators

<span id="page-4-2"></span>
$$
t_x = s_x s_\delta \left( s_y s_z s_\infty s_\delta \right)^2, \qquad t_y = s_y s_\delta \left( s_x s_z s_\infty s_\delta \right)^2, \n t_z = s_z s_\delta \left( s_x s_y s_\infty s_\delta \right)^2, \qquad t_\infty = s_\infty s_\delta \left( s_x s_y s_z s_\delta \right)^2,
$$

acting on the parameter space by simple translations:

<span id="page-4-1"></span>

Enlarging affine  $D_4$  by the Klein four-group  $K_4\cong\langle r_x,r_y,r_z\rangle$  gives extended affine Weyl group  $D_4$ . Full Okamoto affine  $F_4$  action involves additional generators  $P_{xy}$ ,  $P_{yz}$  changing the PVI independent variable t by Möbius transformations of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ permuting 0, 1 and  $\infty$ .

Last four columns of [Table 1](#page-4-0) describe the action of BTs on parameters  $\omega_{X,Y,Z,4}$  defined by [\(11\)–\(13\).](#page-2-5) Observe that all BTs lead to equivalent points in the parameter space of orbits of the induced  $\bar{\Lambda}$  action [\(14\).](#page-2-4) We now want to prove a converse statement:

<span id="page-4-3"></span>**Proposition 10.** *Given*  $\omega_X$ ,  $\omega_Y$ ,  $\omega_Z$ ,  $\omega_4 \in \mathbb{C}$ , consider [\(11\)–\(13\)](#page-2-5) *as a system of equations for unknown*  $\theta_{X,Y,Z,\infty}$ *. Any two solutions of this system are related by the affine D*<sup>4</sup> *transformations introduced above.*

**Proof.** Choose an arbitrary solution  $\{\theta_{\nu}^0\}$  ( $\nu = x, y, z, \infty$ ) and denote  $p_{\nu}^0 = 2 \cos \pi \theta_{\nu}^0$ . Introduce the auxiliary variable  $\xi = p_{\rm x}^2 + p_{\rm y}^2 + p_{\rm z}^2 + p_{\infty}^2.$  It satisfies the cubic equation

$$
\xi^3 - a(\omega)\xi^2 + b(\omega)\xi - c(\omega) = 0,\tag{15}
$$

where

$$
a(\omega) = \omega_4 + 16, \qquad b(\omega) = \omega_X \omega_Y \omega_Z - 4(\omega_X^2 + \omega_Y^2 + \omega_Z^2) + 32\omega_4,
$$
  

$$
c(\omega) = \omega_X^2 \omega_Y^2 + \omega_X^2 \omega_Z^2 + \omega_Y^2 \omega_Z^2 - 4\omega_4(\omega_X^2 + \omega_Y^2 + \omega_Z^2) + 16\omega_4^2.
$$

Write  $\omega_{X,Y,Z,4}$  in terms of  $\{p^0_\nu\}$ , then three roots of [\(15\)](#page-4-1) are

$$
\xi_0 = (p_x^0)^2 + (p_y^0)^2 + (p_z^0)^2 + (p_{\infty}^0)^2,
$$
  

$$
\xi_{\pm} = 8 \left( 1 + \prod_{\nu = x, y, z, \infty} \cos \pi \theta_{\nu}^0 \pm \prod_{\nu = x, y, z, \infty} \sin \pi \theta_{\nu}^0 \right).
$$

Applying  $s_\delta$  (or  $s_\delta s_x$ ) to initial solution  $\{\theta_v^0\}$  gives a solution with  $\xi=\xi_-$  (resp.  $\xi=\xi_+$ ). Therefore it is sufficient to prove the proposition for solutions of [\(11\)–\(13\)](#page-2-5) with  $\xi = \xi_0$ .

Assume that at least two of three numbers  $\omega_X^2, \omega_Y^2, \omega_Z^2 \in \mathbb{C}$  are distinct, say  $\omega_Y^2 \neq \omega_Z^2$ . Substituting  $\xi = \xi_0$  into easily verified relations

$$
(p_x \pm p_{\infty})^4 - (\xi \pm 2\omega_X)(p_x \pm p_{\infty})^2 + (\omega_Y \pm \omega_Z)^2 = 0
$$

we find  $(p_x + p_\infty)^2 = (p_x^0 + p_\infty^0)^2$  or  $(p_y^0 + p_z^0)^2$ ,  $(p_x - p_\infty)^2 = (p_x^0 - p_\infty^0)^2$  or  $(p_y^0 - p_z^0)^2$ . Also if  $\xi = \xi_0$  then  $p_x p_y p_z p_\infty = \omega_4 - \xi = p_x^0 p_y^0 p_z^0 p_\infty^0, \qquad p_x p_\infty + p_y p_z = \omega_X = p_x^0 p_\infty^0 + p_y^0 p_z^0,$ 

so that  $p_xp_\infty=p_x^0p_\infty^0$  or  $p_y^0p_z^0$ . But now if e.g.  $(p_x+p_\infty)^2=(p_x^0+p_\infty^0)^2$ ,  $(p_x-p_\infty)^2=(p_y^0-p_z^0)^2$ , combining with the latter result we find  $\left(p_x^0+p_\infty^0\right)^2=\left(p_y^0+p_z^0\right)^2$  (for  $p_xp_\infty=p_y^0p_z^0$ ) or  $\left(p_x^0-p_\infty^0\right)^2=\left(p_y^0-p_z^0\right)^2$  (for  $p_xp_\infty=p_x^0p_\infty^0$ ). Therefore we necessarily have

<span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
\begin{cases}\n(p_x + p_\infty)^2 = (p_x^0 + p_\infty^0)^2, & \text{or} \quad \begin{cases}\n(p_x + p_\infty)^2 = (p_y^0 + p_z^0)^2, \\
(p_x - p_\infty)^2 = (p_x^0 - p_\infty^0)^2,\n\end{cases} \\
(p_x - p_\infty)^2 = (p_y^0 - p_z^0)^2.\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(16)

Choose a solution of [\(16\)](#page-5-0) for  $p_x$  and  $p_\infty$ , then  $p_y$  and  $p_z$  are unambiguously fixed by

<span id="page-5-5"></span>
$$
(p_{\infty} \pm p_{x})(p_{y} \pm p_{z}) = \omega_{Y} \pm \omega_{Z} = (p_{\infty}^{0} \pm p_{x}^{0})(p_{y}^{0} \pm p_{z}^{0})
$$

(here we used that  $\omega_Y^2 \neq \omega_Z^2$ ). Hence there are 8 possible solutions for  $(p_x, p_y, p_z, p_\infty)$ , namely

$$
(\pm p_x^0, \pm p_y^0, \pm p_z^0, \pm p_\infty^0), \quad (\pm p_y^0, \pm p_x^0, \pm p_\infty^0, \pm p_z^0), \n(\pm p_z^0, \pm p_\infty^0, \pm p_y^0, \pm p_y^0, \pm p_z^0, \pm p_y^0, \pm p_y^0).
$$
\n(17)

All of them can be obtained from  $\{p_\nu^0\}$  using three affine  $D_4$  transformations  $(s_x s_y s_z s_\infty s_\delta)^2$ ,  $s_\delta s_x s_y s_\delta s_z s_\infty$  and  $s_\delta s_x s_z s_\delta s_y s_\infty$ . Now given  $\{p_\nu\}$ , all possible solutions for  $\{\theta_\nu\}$  are clearly related by the transformations  $\{s_\nu\}$ ,  $\{t_\nu\}$ , see [Remark 9.](#page-4-2)

Now let  $\omega_X^2=\omega_Y^2=\omega_Z^2$ . We can set for definiteness  $\omega_X=\omega_Y=\omega_Z$ , then three out of four  $p_\nu$  are equal. Denote this common value by  $p$  and let  $\tilde{p}$  be the fourth variable. Then

$$
\omega_X = p(p + \tilde{p}), \qquad \omega_4 = 3p^2 + \tilde{p}^2 + p^3 \tilde{p}.\tag{18}
$$

Choose a solution ( $p^0$ ,  $\tilde{p}^0$ ) of [\(18\).](#page-5-1) If  $\omega_X\neq 0$  then the only other solution such that 3 $p^2+\tilde{p}^2=\xi_0=3\left(p^0\right)^2+\left(\tilde{p}^0\right)^2$  is given by  $p=-p^0$ ,  $\tilde{p}=-\tilde{p}^0.$  Thus  $(p_x,p_y,p_z,p_\infty)$  can only be a permutation of  $(p^0,p^0,p^0,\tilde{p}^0)$  or  $(-p^0,-p^0,-p^0,-\tilde{p}^0)$ , which yields at most 8 distinct solutions. As above, all these 4-tuples are related by  $\left(s_x s_y s_z s_\infty s_\delta\right)^2$ ,  $s_\delta s_x s_y s_\delta s_z s_\infty$  and  $s_\delta s_x s_z s_\delta s_y s_\infty$ . Now if  $\omega_X=0$  there are 2 possibilities: (1)  $p^0=0$ , then the only other solution of [\(18\)](#page-5-1) with the same value of  $\xi$  has the form  $p = 0$ ,  $\tilde{p} = -\tilde{p}^0$ ; (2)  $\tilde{p}^0 = -p^0$ , then the only such solution is  $p = -p^0$ ,  $\tilde{p} = p^0$ . Clearly in both cases possible 4-tuples  $(p_x, p_y, p_z, p_\infty)$  are related by the affine  $D_4$  transformations.  $\square$ 

**Remark 11.** We have just shown that the map

$$
\rho: \begin{array}{ll}\n\text{parameter} \\
\text{space of PVI} \n\end{array}\n\right/ \text{affine } D_4 \to \mathbb{C}^4, \qquad [\theta_x, \theta_y, \theta_z, \theta_\infty] \mapsto (\omega_x, \omega_y, \omega_z, \omega_4) \tag{19}
$$

is injective. Direct calculation shows that  $\rho$  is in fact a bijection. Moreover the same result holds true if we replace in [\(19\)](#page-5-2) affine  $D_4$  by the full affine  $F_4$  action and quotient the set of all triples ( $\omega_X$ ,  $\omega_Y$ ,  $\omega_Z$ ) by  $K_4 \rtimes S_3$  as described above.

**Remark 12.** It is more delicate to establish the equivalence of actual PVI solutions as BTs may become singular ( $w(t)$  = 0, 1, *t* or  $p = 0$ ) in the way of transforming a given solution into another one with equivalent parameters.

#### <span id="page-5-4"></span>*2.3. 2-colored suborbits*

Take a point  $\mathbf{r} = (X, Y, Z) \in \mathbb{C}^3$ , fix  $\boldsymbol{\omega} \in \mathbb{C}^3$  and consider the suborbit  $O_{yz}(\mathbf{r})$  of the  $\bar{\Lambda}$  action [\(14\),](#page-2-4) generated from  $\mathbf{r}$  by two transformations *y* and *z*. Clearly all points of  $O_{yz}(\mathbf{r})$  have the same first coordinate *X*. We set  $Y_0 = Y$ ,  $Z_0 = Z$  and label remaining coordinates as shown on the suborbit graph below.

<span id="page-5-3"></span><span id="page-5-2"></span><span id="page-5-1"></span>
$$
---C---\nC---
$$

From [\(14\)](#page-2-4) one finds a first order linear inhomogeneous difference equation

$$
\begin{pmatrix} Y_{k+1} \\ Z_{k+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -X \\ X & X^2 - 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y_k \\ Z_k \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \omega_Y \\ \omega_Z - X \omega_Y \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (20)

A straightforward computation gives

**Lemma 13.** *If*  $X \neq \pm 2$ , then the general solution of [\(20\)](#page-5-3) is

<span id="page-6-6"></span><span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
\begin{pmatrix} Y_k \\ Z_k \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sin \lambda/2} \begin{pmatrix} \sin \frac{(1-2k)\lambda}{2} & -\sin k\lambda \\ \sin k\lambda & \sin \frac{(1+2k)\lambda}{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{4 - X^2} \begin{pmatrix} 2\omega_Y - X\omega_Z \\ 2\omega_Z - X\omega_Y \end{pmatrix},
$$
(21)

*where*  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  are arbitrary constants and  $X = 2 \cos \lambda/2$ . For  $X = \pm 2$  we have

<span id="page-6-1"></span>
$$
\begin{pmatrix} Y_k \\ Z_k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - 2k & \mp 2k \\ \pm 2k & 1 + 2k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\omega_Y \pm \omega_Z}{8} - \frac{(\omega_Y \mp \omega_Z)k}{2} + (\omega_Y \mp \omega_Z)k^2 \\ \frac{\omega_Z \pm \omega_Y}{8} + \frac{(\omega_Z \mp \omega_Y)k}{2} + (\omega_Z \mp \omega_Y)k^2 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{22}
$$

Now assume that  $O_{yz}(\mathbf{r})$  is finite. We call the length of  $O_{yz}(\mathbf{r})$  the smallest positive integer N such that  $Y_{k+N} = Y_k$ ,  $Z_{k+N} = Z_k$ . Since *x*, *y*, *z* are involutions, the graph of any 2-colored finite suborbit can only be a simple cycle (as the length 2 *yz*-suborbit 2-3-4-5 in [Example 8\)](#page-3-0) or a line with a self-loop at each of its ends (as e.g. the length 3 *xz*-suborbit 1-2-3 or the length 2 *xy*-suborbit 3-4 of the same example).

<span id="page-6-3"></span>**Lemma 14.** Let N be the length of  $O_{yz}(\mathbf{r})$ . If  $N > 1$ , then  $X = 2 \cos \pi n_X/N$ , where  $n_X$  is an integer relatively prime to N satisfying  $0 < n_X < N$ .

**Proof.** Let  $X \neq \pm 2$  and impose  $Y_{k+N} = Y_k$ ,  $Z_{k+N} = Z_k$  in [\(21\).](#page-6-0) This gives sin  $\frac{N\lambda}{2} = 0$ , otherwise  $\alpha = \beta = 0$  and hence  $N=1$ . Therefore  $\lambda=2\pi n_X/N$ ,  $n_X\in\mathbb Z$  and we can choose  $0< n_X< N$ . Clearly  $n_X$  and  $N$  are coprime; otherwise  $N$  is not the smallest period of [\(21\).](#page-6-0)

Now if  $X = \pm 2$ , then substituting  $Y_{k+N} = Y_k$ ,  $Z_{k+N} = Z_k$  into [\(22\)](#page-6-1) we find two conditions: (1)  $\omega_Y \mp \omega_Z = 0$  and (2)  $\alpha \pm \beta = 0$ . This in turn implies that  $Y_k = \text{const}$ ,  $Z_k = \text{const}$ , i.e.  $O_{yz}(\mathbf{r})$  consists of a single point.  $\square$ 

**Definition 15.** Let  $O \subset \mathbb{C}^3$  be an orbit of the  $\bar{A}$  action [\(14\).](#page-2-4) A point  $\mathbf{r} \in O$  is called *good* if it is not fixed by at least two of three transformations *x*, *y*, *z*; otherwise we say that **r** is a *bad* point.

The case when the whole orbit consists of a single point is trivial. Hence below by a bad point we most often mean a point fixed by two transformations. The orbit graph has then two self-loops at the corresponding vertex.

**Example 16.** The point 1 in [Example 8](#page-3-0) is bad, and the others are good.

**Lemma 17.** Let  $0 \subset \mathbb{C}^3$  be a finite orbit of [\(14\)](#page-2-4). If  $\mathbf{r} = (X, Y, Z) \in 0$  is a good point, then

<span id="page-6-7"></span><span id="page-6-2"></span>
$$
X = 2\cos\pi r_X, \qquad Y = 2\cos\pi r_Y, \qquad Z = 2\cos\pi r_Z,\tag{23}
$$

*where*  $r_{X,Y,Z} \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $0 < r_{X,Y,Z} < 1$ . If  $\mathbf{r} \in O$  is a bad point, fixed by y and z but not by x, then [\(23\)](#page-6-2) still holds for Y and Z.

**Proof.** If **r** is not fixed by *x*, then the lengths of *xz*- and *xy*-suborbits of **r** are strictly greater than 1. If **r** is good the same is true for each of the three 2-colored suborbits of **r**. Both statements then follow from [Lemma 14.](#page-6-3)

#### *2.4. Main technical lemma*

This subsection is devoted to a technical result to be extensively used later. Namely, we want to find all rational solutions of the equation

<span id="page-6-4"></span>
$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \cos 2\pi \varphi_j = 0 \tag{24}
$$

with  $n \leq 6$ . Without loss of generality we assume that  $0 \leq \varphi_i < 1$  and consider the *n*-tuples  $(\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n)$  related by permutations, transformations  $\varphi_i \to 1 - \varphi_j$  and by the simultaneous change  $\varphi_i \to 1/2 - \varphi_j$  as equivalent.

**Definition 18.** A rational *n*-tuple  $(\varphi_1,\ldots,\varphi_n)$  is called irreducible if it satisfies [\(24\)](#page-6-4) and  $\sum_{j\in E}\cos 2\pi\varphi_j\neq 0$  for any proper subset  $E \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$ .

It then suffices to classify irreducible *n*-tuples ( $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n$ ) with  $n \leq 6$ . We first prove an auxiliary result concerning rational solutions of the equation

<span id="page-6-5"></span>
$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} e^{2\pi i \varphi_j} = 0. \tag{25}
$$

Again we can assume that  $0 \le \varphi_i < 1$  and consider the solution *n*-tuples up to permutations. Also note that the shift of all  $\varphi_i$  by a common phase  $\varphi \in \mathbb{Q}$  yields another solution.

<span id="page-7-2"></span>**Lemma 19.** All inequivalent irreducible (in the sense that  $\sum_{j\in E}e^{2\pi i\varphi_j}\neq 0$  for any proper subset  $E\subset\{1,\ldots,n\}$ ) rational *n-tuples with n* ≤ 6 *solving* [\(25\)](#page-6-5) *are given by*

• *the* 6*-tuple*

<span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
\left(\varphi - \frac{1}{6}, \varphi + \frac{1}{6}, \varphi + \frac{1}{5}, \varphi + \frac{2}{5}, \varphi + \frac{3}{5}, \varphi + \frac{4}{5}\right),\tag{26}
$$

• *the* 5*-tuple*

<span id="page-7-1"></span>
$$
\left(\varphi, \varphi + \frac{1}{5}, \varphi + \frac{2}{5}, \varphi + \frac{3}{5}, \varphi + \frac{4}{5}\right),\tag{27}
$$

• *the triple*  $(\varphi, \varphi + \frac{1}{3}, \varphi + \frac{2}{3})$  and the pair  $(\varphi, \varphi + \frac{1}{2})$ , *with*  $\varphi \in \mathbb{Q}$ *.* 

**Proof.** First part of the proof follows [\[26](#page-39-20)[,5\]](#page-39-1). Write  $\varphi_k = \frac{n_k}{d_k}$ , where  $k = 1, \ldots, n \ (1 \leq n \leq 6)$  and  $d_k, n_k$  are either positive coprime integers with  $d_k > n_k$  or  $n_k = 0$ . Let p be a prime which is a divisor of at least one of  $d_1, \ldots, d_n$ , and denote by  $\delta_k$ , *lk*, *ck*, ν*<sup>k</sup>* the integers such that

 $d_k = \delta_k p^{l_k}, \qquad n_k = c_k \delta_k + v_k p^{l_k},$ 

where  $\delta_k$  is prime to  $p$ ,  $0 \leq c_k < p^{l_k}$ ;  $c_k$  is prime to  $p$  for  $l_k \neq 0$ , otherwise  $c_k = 0$ . Then

$$
\varphi_k = f_k + \frac{c_k}{p^{l_k}}, \qquad f_k = \frac{\nu_k}{\delta_k}.
$$

Reordering  $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n$  so that  $l_1 \geq l_2 \geq \cdots \geq l_n$ , we define the function

$$
g_k(x) = \begin{cases} e^{2\pi i f_k} x^{c_k p^{l_1-l_k}} & \text{if } c_k \neq 0, \\ e^{2\pi i \varphi_k} & \text{if } c_k = 0, \end{cases}
$$

and the polynomial

$$
U(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} g_k(x).
$$
 (28)

By construction  $g_k$   $\left(\exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{n^{l_1}}\right)\right)$  $\left(\frac{2\pi i}{p^{l_1}}\right)\right)=e^{2\pi i\varphi_k}$ , and [\(25\)](#page-6-5) then implies that  $U\left(\exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{p^{l_1}}\right)\right)$  $\left(\frac{2\pi\,i}{p^{l_1}}\right)\right)=0.$ It is known since 1854 [\[27\]](#page-39-21) that the polynomial

$$
P(x) = 1 + x^{p^{l_1-1}} + x^{2p^{l_1-1}} + \cdots + x^{(p-1)p^{l_1-1}}
$$

is irreducible in the ring of polynomials with coefficients in any extension of the form  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_1,\ldots,\zeta_m)$ , where  $\zeta_j$  is a root of unity of the order coprime with *p*. Since  $P\left(\exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{\epsilon}\right)\right)$  $\left(\frac{2\pi i}{p^{l_1}}\right)\right)=0$ , then either (a)  $U(x)\equiv 0$  or (b)  $U(x)\not\equiv 0$  is divisible by  $P(x)$ .

*Case* (a). The powers  $c_kp^{l_1-l_k}$ , appearing in the functions  $g_k(x)$ , are all equal. Otherwise one could write  $U(x)$  as a sum of at least two polynomials equal to 0, and the irreducibility condition fails. Therefore  $l_k = l_1$ ,  $c_k = c_1$ . Now it is sufficient to subtract the common phase  $\frac{c_1}{p^{l_1}}$  from all  $\varphi_k$  to eliminate *p* from all denominators.

*Case* (b). Write  $U(x) = P(x)Q(x)$ . The degree of  $U(x)$  is at most  $p^{l_1} - 1$ , hence the degree of  $Q(x)$  is at most  $p^{l_1-1} - 1$ . Then the numbers  $N_U$  and  $N_Q$  of different powers of x in  $U(x)$  and  $Q(x)$  must be related by  $N_U = pN_Q$ . In particular, since in our case  $N_U \leq 6$ , the prime p can only be equal to 2, 3 or 5.

The powers  $c_kp^{l_1-l_k}$  are all equal modulo  $p^{l_1-1}$  to s, where s is some integer independent of k,  $0\le s< p^{l_1-1}.$  Otherwise one could collect powers corresponding to different *s* and write *U*(*x*) as a sum of at least two polynomials, each of them either divisible by *P*(*x*) or vanishing identically. Corresponding *n*-tuple is then reducible, therefore we can only have  $N<sub>Q</sub> = 1$ ,  $Q(x) = \alpha x^s$ .

Suppose that  $l_1 \geq 2$ . Since  $c_1$  is prime to p, *s* is also prime to p and all *n* powers of *x* that appear in the functions  $g_k(x)$  are not divisible by  $p^{l_1-1}$  and by  $p$ ; in particular, all  $c_k$  are non-zero. This in turn implies that  $l_k=l_1$  for any  $k$ . Now  $c_k=s+N_kp^{l_1-1}$ and subtracting from all  $\varphi_k$  the common phase  $\frac{s}{p^{l_1}}$  eliminates all higher (greater than 1) powers of p from the denominators.

It remains to consider  $l_1 = 1$ ,  $p = 2$ , 3 or 5:

(b.1) Let  $l_1 = 1$ ,  $p = 5$ , then  $n = 5$  or 6. If  $n = 6$ , then from  $U(x) = \alpha x^s P(x)$  four out of six phases are equal, say  $f_1 = f_2 = f_3 = f_4$ , and the remaining two satisfy  $e^{2\pi i f_5} + e^{2\pi i f_6} = e^{2\pi i f_1}$ . Setting  $f_1 = 0$  gives  $f_5 = \frac{1}{6}$ ,  $f_6 = -\frac{1}{6}$ , then  $(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5 = c_6)$  is a permutation of  $(0, 1, 2, 3, 4)$  and we obtain the 6-tuple [\(26\).](#page-7-0)

If  $n = 5$ , then  $f_1 = f_2 = f_3 = f_4 = f_5$ ,  $(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5)$  is a permutation of  $(0, 1, 2, 3, 4)$ , which leads to the 5-tuple [\(27\).](#page-7-1) (b.2) Now every  $\varphi_k$  can only be equal to 0,  $\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\pm\frac{1}{3}$  or  $\pm\frac{1}{6}$ . Direct check shows that the only irreducible *n*-tuples with  $n\leq 6$ that can be built from such numbers are (equivalent to) the triple  $(0, \frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{3})$  and the pair  $(0, \frac{1}{2})$ .

<span id="page-8-10"></span>We now establish a similar classification of rational solutions of [\(24\):](#page-6-4)

**Lemma 20.** *Inequivalent irreducible rational n-tuples solving* [\(24\)](#page-6-4) *with* 1 < *n* ≤ 6 *fall into one of the following classes:*

• 13 *nontrivial irreducible 6-tuples*

 $\sqrt{ }$ 

$$
\left(\frac{1}{11}, \frac{2}{11}, \frac{3}{11}, \frac{4}{11}, \frac{5}{11}, \frac{1}{6}\right),\tag{VI_1}
$$

$$
\left(\frac{L}{7} + \frac{1}{6}, \frac{L}{7} - \frac{1}{6}, \frac{2L}{7}, \frac{3L}{7}, 0, \frac{1}{3}\right), \quad L = 1, 2, 3,
$$
\n(VI<sub>2</sub>)

<span id="page-8-7"></span><span id="page-8-6"></span><span id="page-8-5"></span><span id="page-8-4"></span><span id="page-8-3"></span>
$$
\frac{L}{7} + \frac{1}{6}, \frac{L}{7} - \frac{1}{6}, \frac{2L}{7}, \frac{3L}{7}, \frac{1}{10}, \frac{3}{10}, L = 1, 2, 3,
$$
\n(VI<sub>3</sub>)

$$
\left(\frac{L}{7} + \frac{1}{6}, \frac{L}{7} - \frac{1}{6}, \frac{2L}{7} + \frac{1}{6}, \frac{2L}{7} - \frac{1}{6}, \frac{3L}{7}, \frac{1}{6}\right), \quad L = 1, 2, 3,
$$
\n(VI<sub>4</sub>)

$$
\left(\frac{1}{7}, \frac{2}{7}, \frac{3}{7}, 0, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{2}{5}\right), \left(\frac{1}{7}, \frac{2}{7}, \frac{3}{7}, \frac{1}{15}, \frac{4}{15}, \frac{3}{10}\right), \left(\frac{1}{7}, \frac{2}{7}, \frac{3}{7}, \frac{1}{10}, \frac{2}{15}, \frac{7}{15}\right), \tag{VI_5}
$$

*and an infinite family of the form*

<span id="page-8-13"></span><span id="page-8-0"></span>
$$
\left(\varphi + \frac{1}{6}, \varphi - \frac{1}{6}, \varphi + \frac{1}{5}, \varphi + \frac{2}{5}, \varphi + \frac{3}{5}, \varphi + \frac{4}{5}\right), \quad \varphi \in \mathbb{Q},\tag{VI}_{\varphi}
$$

• 7 *nontrivial irreducible* 5*-tuples*

$$
\left(0, \frac{1}{30}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{11}{30}, \frac{2}{5}\right), \qquad \left(0, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{7}{30}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{13}{30}\right), \tag{V_1}
$$

<span id="page-8-8"></span>
$$
\left(\frac{L}{7} + \frac{1}{6}, \frac{L}{7} - \frac{1}{6}, \frac{2L}{7}, \frac{3L}{7}, \frac{1}{6}\right), \quad L = 1, 2, 3,
$$
\n(V<sub>2</sub>)

$$
\left(\frac{1}{7},\frac{2}{7},\frac{3}{7},0,\frac{1}{3}\right), \qquad \left(\frac{1}{7},\frac{2}{7},\frac{3}{7},\frac{1}{10},\frac{3}{10}\right), \tag{V_3}
$$

*and an infinite family of the form*

<span id="page-8-1"></span>
$$
\left(\varphi,\varphi+\frac{1}{5},\varphi+\frac{2}{5},\varphi+\frac{3}{5},\varphi+\frac{4}{5}\right), \quad \varphi \in \mathbb{Q},\tag{V_{\varphi}}
$$

• 4 *nontrivial irreducible quadruples*

<span id="page-8-9"></span>
$$
\left(0, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{5}\right), \left(\frac{1}{30}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{11}{30}, \frac{2}{5}\right), \left(\frac{1}{15}, \frac{4}{15}, \frac{3}{10}, \frac{1}{3}\right), \left(\frac{1}{7}, \frac{2}{7}, \frac{3}{7}, \frac{1}{6}\right), \tag{IV}
$$

• 1 *nontrivial irreducible triple*

<span id="page-8-12"></span>
$$
\left(\frac{1}{10},\frac{3}{10},\frac{1}{3}\right) \tag{III}_1
$$

*and an infinite family of the form*

<span id="page-8-2"></span>
$$
\left(\varphi,\varphi+\frac{1}{3},\varphi-\frac{1}{3}\right), \quad \varphi \in \mathbb{Q},\tag{III}_{\varphi}
$$

• *an infinite family of pairs of the form*

<span id="page-8-11"></span>
$$
\left(\varphi,\frac{1}{2}-\varphi\right), \quad \varphi \in \mathbb{Q}.\tag{II}_{\varphi}
$$

**Proof.** We use the same ideas, notations and conventions as in the proof of [Lemma 19.](#page-7-2) One modification concerns the functions  $g_k(x)$  which are now defined by

$$
g_k(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \left[ e^{2\pi i f_k} x^{c_k p^{l_1-l_k}} + e^{-2\pi i f_k} x^{p^{l_1}-c_k p^{l_1-l_k}} \right] & \text{if } c_k \neq 0, \\ \cos 2\pi \varphi_k & \text{if } c_k = 0. \end{cases}
$$

As  $g_k$  (exp ( $\frac{2\pi i}{n^{l_1}}$  $\left(\frac{2\pi i}{p^{l_1}}\right)\right) = \cos 2\pi\,\varphi_k$ , one has again  $U\left(\exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{p^{l_1}}\right)\right)$  $\left(\frac{2\pi i}{p^{l_1}}\right)\bigg)=0,$  so that either (a)  $U(x)\equiv 0$  or (b)  $U(x)\not\equiv 0$  is divisible by *P*(*x*).

*Case* (a). All 2n powers  $c_k p^{l_1-l_k}$ ,  $p^{l_1}-c_k p^{l_1-l_k}$ , appearing in the functions  $g_k(x)$ , are simultaneously divisible or non-divisible by *p* unless we have a reducible *n*-tuple. Since  $c_1$  is prime to *p*, they are actually non-divisible, which in turn gives  $l_k = l_1$ for any *k*. Irreducibility then implies that  $c_k$  can only be equal to  $c_1$  or  $p^{l_1}-c_1$ . In fact we can assume that  $c_k=c_1$ , as the transformation  $\varphi_k \mapsto 1 - \varphi_k$  maps  $f_k \mapsto -f_k$ ,  $c_k \mapsto p^{l_k} - c_k$ . Now one has

$$
U(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^{c_1}\sum_{k=1}^n e^{2\pi i f_k} + \frac{1}{2}x^{p^{l_1}-c_1}\sum_{k=1}^n e^{-2\pi i f_k} = 0,
$$

and, since  $c_1 \neq p^{l_1} - c_1$  except in the trivial case  $p = 2$ ,  $l_1 = 1$ , the problem is reduced to the classification of rational solutions of Eq. [\(25\),](#page-6-5) given by [Lemma 19.](#page-7-2)

*Case* (b). Set  $U(x) = P(x)Q(x)$ , then by the same reasoning as above  $N_U = pN_Q$ . However, here  $N_U < 12$ , therefore *p* can be equal to 2, 3, 5, 7 or 11.

2n powers  $c_kp^{l_1-l_k}$ ,  $p^{l_1}-c_kp^{l_1-l_k}$  are all equal modulo  $p^{l_1-1}$  to  $s$  or  $p^{l_1-1}-s$ , where the integer  $s$  does not depend on  $k$ , 0 ≤ *s* < *p <sup>l</sup>*1−<sup>1</sup> . Otherwise one could collect powers corresponding to different *s* and write *U*(*x*) as a sum of at least two polynomials, each of them either divisible by  $P(x)$  or vanishing. Since  $p^{l_1}-c_kp^{l_1-l_k}=-c_kp^{l_1-l_k}$  mod  $p^{l_1-1}$ , both terms coming from a given  $g_k(x)$  will appear in the same polynomial, and then the corresponding *n*-tuple is reducible. Hence  $N_Q$ can only be equal to 1 or 2.

If  $l_1 > 2$ , then all 2*n* powers of *x* that appear in the functions  $g_k(x)$  are not divisible by *p* and therefore  $l_k = l_1$  for any *k*.

Two powers  $c_k$  and  $p^{l_1}-c_k$  are distinct modulo  $p^{l_1-1}$  for all but a finite number of values of  $l_1$  and  $p$ . Indeed, if they are the same, one has  $2c_k = 0$  mod  $p^{l_1-1}$ . However, this is impossible for  $p \ge 3$ ,  $l_1 \ge 2$  and for  $p = 2$ ,  $l_1 \ge 3$ , since all  $c_k$  are prime to *p*. Let us now consider separately two cases:

(b.1)  $p \ge 3$ ,  $l_1 \ge 2$  or  $p = 2$ ,  $l_1 \ge 3$ ; (b.2)  $p = 3, 5, 7, 11, l_1 = 1$  or  $p = 2, l_1 = 1, 2$ .

(b.1) When  $c_k \neq p^{l_1} - c_k$  mod  $p^{l_1-1}$ , we use  $N_Q \leq 2$  to write the relation  $U(x) = P(x)Q(x)$  as two distinct equations containing different (mod *p <sup>l</sup>*1−<sup>1</sup> ) powers of *x*. Replacing ϕ*<sup>k</sup>* → 1−ϕ*<sup>k</sup>* if necessary, one finds that both equations are equivalent to the following one:

<span id="page-9-0"></span>
$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} e^{2\pi i f_k} x^{c_k} = \alpha x^s P(x), \quad \alpha \neq 0.
$$
\n(29)

Assume that  $n = 6$ . It is impossible to satisfy [\(29\)](#page-9-0) if  $p = 7$ , 11. For  $p = 5$  four out of six phases are equal, say  $f_1 = f_2 = f_3 = f_4$ , and the remaining two satisfy

(b.1.1) 
$$
e^{2\pi i f_5} + e^{2\pi i f_6} = e^{2\pi i f_1}
$$
.

In addition we have  $c_k = s + N_k \cdot 5^{l_1-1}$ , where  $(N_1, N_2, N_3, N_4, N_5 = N_6)$  is a permutation of  $(0, 1, 2, 3, 4)$ . Now applying [Lemma 19](#page-7-2) to find rational solutions of (b.1.1) we see that resulting 6-tuples are of type [\(VI](#page-8-0)<sub>ω</sub>).

For  $p = 3$ , up to permutations there are only three possibilities:

 $(6.1.2)$   $e^{2\pi if_1} = e^{2\pi if_2} = e^{2\pi if_3} + e^{2\pi if_4} + e^{2\pi if_5} + e^{2\pi if_6}$  $(0.1.3)$   $e^{2\pi if_1} = e^{2\pi if_2} + e^{2\pi if_3} = e^{2\pi if_4} + e^{2\pi if_5} + e^{2\pi if_6}$  $(6.1.4)$   $e^{2\pi i f_1} + e^{2\pi i f_2} = e^{2\pi i f_3} + e^{2\pi i f_4} = e^{2\pi i f_5} + e^{2\pi i f_6} \neq 0.$ 

Finally, for  $p = 2$  one should have one of the following:

 $(0.1.5)$   $e^{2\pi if_1} = e^{2\pi if_2} + e^{2\pi if_3} + e^{2\pi if_4} + e^{2\pi if_5} + e^{2\pi if_6}$  $(6.1.6)$   $e^{2\pi if_1} + e^{2\pi if_2} = e^{2\pi if_3} + e^{2\pi if_4} + e^{2\pi if_5} + e^{2\pi if_6} \neq 0$  $(0.1.7)$   $e^{2\pi if_1} + e^{2\pi if_2} + e^{2\pi if_3} = e^{2\pi if_4} + e^{2\pi if_5} + e^{2\pi if_6} \neq 0.$ 

In each of these cases the problem is reduced to [Lemma 19.](#page-7-2) The 6-tuples we obtain at the end turn out to be reducible or belong to the family ( $VI_{\varphi}$ ).

Other possibilities ( $n = 3, 4, 5$ ) can be treated in a similar manner. They lead to 5-tuples of type ( $V_{\varphi}$ ) and triples of type  $(III_{\varphi})$  $(III_{\varphi})$ .

(b.2) We first consider the case when the denominator of every  $\varphi_k$  ( $k = 1, \ldots, n$ ) is not divisible by 7 and 11:

<span id="page-9-1"></span>**Lemma 21.** Inequivalent irreducible n-tuples solving [\(24\)](#page-6-4) with  $3 \le n \le 6$  such that every  $d_k$  ( $k = 1, \ldots, n$ ) is a divisor of  $2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 = 60$  are given by

• 6*-tuples:*

$$
\left(0, \frac{1}{30}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{11}{30}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{2}{5}\right), \left(0, \frac{1}{30}, \frac{7}{30}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{11}{30}, \frac{13}{30}\right), \left(0, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{7}{30}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{13}{30}\right), \\ \left(\frac{1}{60}, \frac{1}{60}, \frac{13}{60}, \frac{7}{20}, \frac{23}{60}, \frac{5}{12}\right), \left(\frac{1}{60}, \frac{1}{20}, \frac{11}{60}, \frac{23}{60}, \frac{23}{60}, \frac{5}{60}\right), \left(\frac{1}{60}, \frac{11}{60}, \frac{13}{60}, \frac{13}{60}, \frac{5}{60}, \frac{9}{60}\right), \\ \left(\frac{1}{12}, \frac{7}{60}, \frac{17}{60}, \frac{19}{60}, \frac{19}{60}, \frac{7}{60}\right).
$$

$$
\bullet
$$
 5-tuples:

$$
\left(0, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{2}{5}\right), \quad \left(\frac{1}{60}, \frac{11}{60}, \frac{13}{60}, \frac{23}{60}, \frac{5}{12}\right), \quad \left(\frac{1}{30}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{7}{30}, \frac{11}{30}, \frac{13}{30}\right), \\ \left(0, \frac{1}{30}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{11}{30}, \frac{2}{5}\right), \quad \left(0, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{7}{30}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{13}{30}\right).
$$

• *quadruples:*

$$
\left(0, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{5}\right), \qquad \left(\frac{1}{30}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{11}{30}, \frac{2}{5}\right), \qquad \left(\frac{1}{15}, \frac{4}{15}, \frac{3}{10}, \frac{1}{3}\right).
$$

• *triples:*

$$
\left(0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}\right), \left(\frac{1}{60}, \frac{19}{60}, \frac{7}{20}\right), \left(\frac{1}{30}, \frac{3}{10}, \frac{11}{30}\right), \left(\frac{1}{20}, \frac{17}{60}, \frac{23}{60}\right),
$$
  

$$
\left(\frac{1}{15}, \frac{4}{15}, \frac{2}{5}\right), \left(\frac{1}{10}, \frac{3}{10}, \frac{1}{3}\right).
$$

**Proof.** Direct (e.g., Mathematica) computation. Notice that all obtained 6-tuples, first three 5-tuples and all but the last triple belong to the infinite families [\(VI](#page-8-0)<sub> $\varphi$ </sub>), [\(V](#page-8-1)<sub> $\varphi$ </sub>) and [\(III](#page-8-2)<sub> $\varphi$ </sub>), respectively.  $\Box$ 

The case  $p = 11$ ,  $l_1 = 1$  is possible only for  $n = 6$ . We have  $N_0 = 1$ , deg  $Q = 0$ , hence  $Q(x) = \alpha$ ,  $N_U = 11$ , deg  $U = 10$ and, consequently, one can choose  $l_1 = \cdots = l_5 = 1$ ,  $l_6 = 0$ ,  $c_k = k (k = 1, \ldots, 5)$ ,  $c_6 = 0$ . This gives the irreducible 6-tuple  $(VI<sub>1</sub>)$  $(VI<sub>1</sub>)$  $(VI<sub>1</sub>)$ .

Remaining case  $p = 7$ ,  $l_1 = 1$  is possible only for  $n = 4, 5, 6$ . Similarly to the above,  $N_Q = 1$ , deg  $Q = 0$ ,  $Q(x) = \alpha$ ,  $N_U = 7$ , deg  $U = 6$ , and in addition for all  $k = 2, \ldots, n$  either  $l_k = 1$  or  $c_k = 0$ . For  $n = 6$  one then has four possibilities:

•  $(c_1, c_2, c_3 = c_4)$  is a permutation of  $(1, 2, 3)$ ,  $c_5 = c_6 = 0$ ; this gives  $f_1 = f_2 = 0$  and

<span id="page-10-0"></span>
$$
e^{2\pi i f_3} + e^{2\pi i f_4} = 2\cos 2\pi f_5 + 2\cos 2\pi f_6 = 1.
$$
\n(30)

Recall that  $f_1, \ldots, f_6$  are rational numbers with denominator which is a divisor of 60. Using [Lemma 21](#page-9-1) to classify the appropriate solutions of [\(30\),](#page-10-0) one finds that the only irreducible 6-tuples obtained in this way are given by  $(VI_2)$  $(VI_2)$  $(VI_2)$  and  $(VI<sub>3</sub>)$  $(VI<sub>3</sub>)$  $(VI<sub>3</sub>)$ .

•  $(c_1, c_2 = c_3, c_4 = c_5)$  is a permutation of  $(1, 2, 3)$ ,  $c_6 = 0$ ; then  $f_1 = 0$ ,  $e^{2\pi i f_2} + e^{2\pi i f_3} = e^{2\pi i f_4} + e^{2\pi i f_5} = 2 \cos 2\pi f_6 = 1$ ,

which leads to the family of irreducible 6-tuples ([VI](#page-8-6)4).

•  $(c_1, c_2, c_3 = c_4 = c_5)$  is a permutation of  $(1, 2, 3)$ ,  $c_6 = 0$ ; then  $f_1 = f_2 = 0$  and  $e^{2\pi i f_3} + e^{2\pi i f_4} + e^{2\pi i f_5} = 2 \cos 2\pi f_6 = 1.$ 

All 6-tuples arising here turn out to be reducible.

•  $(c_1, c_2, c_3) = (1, 2, 3), c_4 = c_5 = c_6 = 0$ , which implies  $f_1 = f_2 = f_3 = 0$  and

<span id="page-10-1"></span>
$$
2\cos 2\pi f_4 + 2\cos 2\pi f_5 + 2\cos 2\pi f_6 = 1.
$$
\n(31)

Using again [Lemma 21](#page-9-1) to find irreducible solutions of [\(31\),](#page-10-1) we obtain 3 irreducible 6-tuples ([VI](#page-8-7)<sub>5</sub>).

For  $n = 5$ , there are two possibilities:

•  $(c_1, c_2, c_3 = c_4)$  is a permutation of  $(1, 2, 3)$ ,  $c_5 = 0$ ; this implies  $f_1 = f_2 = 0$  and  $e^{2\pi if_3} + e^{2\pi if_4} = 2 \cos 2\pi f_5 = 1$ ,

so that we find 3 irreducible 5-tuples  $(VI<sub>2</sub>)$  $(VI<sub>2</sub>)$  $(VI<sub>2</sub>)$ .

<span id="page-11-0"></span>

•  $(c_1, c_2, c_3) = (1, 2, 3), c_4 = c_5 = 0$ , hence  $f_1 = f_2 = f_3 = 0$  and

 $2 \cos 2\pi f_4 + 2 \cos 2\pi f_5 = 1.$ 

This gives 2 irreducible 5-tuples  $(V_3)$  $(V_3)$ .

Finally, for  $n = 4$  we should have  $(c_1, c_2, c_3) = (1, 2, 3)$ ,  $c_4 = 0$  and, therefore,  $f_1 = f_2 = f_3 = 0$ , 2 cos  $2\pi f_4 = 1$ , which leads to the fourth irreducible quadruple in [\(IV\).](#page-8-9) This concludes the proof of [Lemma 20.](#page-8-10)  $\Box$ 

**Remark 22.** The classification of irreducible rational solutions of [\(24\)](#page-6-4) with  $n \lt 4$  is essentially equivalent to Lemma 1.13 in [\[5\]](#page-39-1). In fact we will see shortly that this partial result is already sufficient to find all finite  $\bar{A}$  orbits with  $\omega_X^2\neq\omega_Y^2\neq\omega_Z^2$ . Its extension to  $n=5,6$  is needed to treat the case when  $\omega\in\mathbb{C}^3$  is fixed by some of the  $K_4\rtimes S_3$  transformations.

#### *2.5. Bounds on suborbit lengths*

Let  $O\subset\mathbb{C}^3$  be a finite orbit of the induced  $\bar{A}$  action [\(14\).](#page-2-4) We choose an arbitrary 2-colored suborbit  $O_{yz}\subset O$  (i.e. the suborbit generated from a given point by two transformations *y* and *z*), denote its length by *N* and label the points of *Oyz* as in Section [2.3.](#page-5-4)

Throughout this subsection we assume that  $N > 1$ . Denote  $X = 2 \cos \lambda/2$ , then by [Lemma 14](#page-6-3) one has  $\lambda = 2\pi r_X$ ,  $r_X = n_X/N$ , where  $n_X \in \mathbb{Z}$  is prime to N and we choose  $0 < n_X < N$ . [Lemma 13](#page-6-6) implies in addition that  $Y_k, Z_k$  ( $k = 0, 1, \ldots$ ) *N* − 1) are given by [\(21\).](#page-6-0)

When the graph of  $O_{yz}$  is a simple cycle, it contains 2*N* points and all of them are good. Then by [Lemma 17](#page-6-7) for  $k = 0$ ,  $\ldots$ ,  $N-1$  we have

$$
Y_k = 2\cos\pi r_{Y_k}, \qquad Z_k = 2\cos\pi r_{Z_k}, \quad r_{Y_k}, r_{Z_k} \in \mathbb{Q}, 0 < r_{Y_k}, r_{Z_k} < 1. \tag{32}
$$

If  $\Sigma(O_{yz})$  is a line with self-loops at the ends, then there are *N* distinct points. While two endpoints can in principle be bad, the other  $N - 2$  points are good so that their coordinates satisfy [\(32\).](#page-11-0)

<span id="page-11-1"></span>**Lemma 23.** *Two distinct vertices of*  $\Sigma(0_{yz})$  *characterized by the same coordinate Y* (or *Z*) *are necessarily connected by an edge of color z (resp. y).*

**Proof.** Let  $(X, Y, Z)$  be an arbitrary point in *O*. Since  $\omega_{X,Y,Z,4}$  are fixed by the  $\overline{\Lambda}$  action, the quantity

$$
XYZ + X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 - \omega_X X - \omega_Y Y - \omega_Z Z = \text{const} = 4 - \omega_4
$$

is an orbit invariant. Computing this invariant for two distinct points  $(X, Y, Z)$ ,  $(X, Y, Z')$  in  $O_{yz}$  we find  $Z' = \omega_Z - Z - XY =$  $z(Z)$ .  $\square$ 

**Remark 24.** In the simple cycle case, [Lemma 23](#page-11-1) implies that  $Y_k \neq Y_{k'}$ ,  $Z_k \neq Z_{k'}$  for  $k \neq k'$  where  $k, k' = 0, ..., N - 1$ . Similarly, in the line case for any *k* there exists at most one  $k' \neq k$  such that  $Y_k = Y_{k'}$  (or  $Z_k = Z_{k'}$ ).

**Lemma 25.** *The coordinates*  ${Y_k}$ *,*  ${Z_k}$  *satisfy the following identities:* 

<span id="page-11-2"></span>for N even, 
$$
n_X
$$
 odd: 
$$
\begin{cases} Y_k + Y_{k+N/2} = p_+ + p_-, \\ Z_k + Z_{k+N/2} = p_+ - p_-, \end{cases}
$$
 (33)

<span id="page-11-4"></span>*for N odd, n<sub>X</sub></sub> <i>even:*  $Y_k + Z_{k+(N-1)/2} = p_+,$  (34)

for N odd, 
$$
n_X
$$
 odd:  $Y_k - Z_{k+(N-1)/2} = p_-,$  (35)

where  $k = 0, \ldots, N-1$  and  $p_{\pm} = \frac{\omega_Y \pm \omega_Z}{2 \pm X}$ .

**Proof.** Straightforward substitution of  $(21)$  into  $(33)$ – $(35)$ .  $\Box$ 

#### **Proposition 26.** *If* N is even and at least one of two parameters  $\omega_Y$ ,  $\omega_Z$  is different from 0, then  $N < 10$ .

**Proof.** When at least one of  $\omega_Y$ ,  $\omega_Z$  differs from 0, at least one of  $p_+ \pm p_-$  is also non-zero. Assume for definiteness that  $p_+ + p_- \neq 0$  and consider the first equation in [\(33\).](#page-11-2) It implies that for any *k*,  $k' = 0, \ldots, N - 1$  one has

<span id="page-11-5"></span><span id="page-11-3"></span>
$$
Y_k + Y_{k+N/2} = Y_{k'} + Y_{k'+N/2} \neq 0. \tag{36}
$$

First assume that the graph of  $O_{yz}$  is a simple cycle. All  $Y_k$  are then distinct and have the form [\(32\).](#page-11-0) Hence [\(36\)](#page-11-3) reduces to an equation of type  $(24)$  with  $n = 4$ , whose rational solutions have been classified in [Lemma 20.](#page-8-10) We now consider different types of solutions to maximize the number  $N^c$  of possible unordered couples  $(Y_k, Y_{k+N/2})$  of the form [\(32\),](#page-11-0) characterized by the same value of  $Y_k + Y_{k+N/2}$ :

<span id="page-12-0"></span>

- Splitting of the rational solution quadruple into two (not necessarily irreducible) pairs is possible only for  $k' = k$  or  $k' = k + N/2$ , therefore one should not take such solutions into account when computing  $N^c$  (here we used that  $p_{+} + p_{-} \neq 0$ !).
- Assume that  $Y_{k_0} = 0$  for some  $k_0$ , then for any *k* one has  $Y_k + Y_{k+N/2} = Y_{k_0+N/2}$ . This is an equation of type [\(24\)](#page-6-4) with  $n=3$ . By [Lemma 20,](#page-8-10) if  $Y_{k_0+N/2}\neq\pm1,\pm2$  cos  $\pi$  /5,  $\pm2$  cos 2 $\pi$  /5, the only possible couple different from  $\left(0,Y_{k_0+N/2}\right)$  is

$$
(2\cos\pi (r_{Y_{k_0+N/2}}+1/3), 2\cos\pi (r_{Y_{k_0+N/2}}-1/3))
$$

and therefore  $N^c = 2$ . When  $Y_{k_0+N/2} = \pm 1$ , the only compatible couple is  $(\pm 2 \cos \pi/5, \mp 2 \cos 2\pi/5)$  so that again  $N^c=2$ .

Finally, for (a)  $Y_{k_0+N/2} = \pm 2 \cos \pi/5$  and (b)  $Y_{k_0+N/2} = \pm 2 \cos 2\pi/5$  one has  $N^c = 3$  as in both cases we have three compatible couples:

(a)  $(0, \pm 2 \cos \pi / 5), (\pm 1, \pm 2 \cos 2 \pi / 5), (\pm 2 \cos 2 \pi / 15, \pm 2 \cos 8 \pi / 15);$ 

- (b)  $(0, \pm 2 \cos 2\pi/5), (\mp 1, \pm 2 \cos \pi/5), (\pm 2 \cos \pi/15, \pm 2 \cos 11\pi/15).$
- $\bullet$  If there is no  $Y_k$  equal to zero, the solution quadruple can only be equivalent to one of the last 3 quadruples in [\(IV\)](#page-8-9) (first quadruple is excluded because Y<sub>k</sub>  $\neq \pm 2$ ). Direct check then shows that for any choice of  $(Y_k,Y_{k+N/2})$  there is only one compatible couple, i.e.  $N^c = 2$ .

Since the maximal possible value of  $N^c$  is 3, even length  $N$  of the simple cycle cannot exceed 6.

When the graph of  $O_{yz}$  is a line, the same reasoning shows that  $N \leq 14$ , otherwise the number of distinct compatible couples  $(Y_k, Y_{k+N/2})$  satisfying [\(32\)](#page-11-0) is greater than 3. We now want to improve this bound to  $N~\leq~10$  using that for *N* = 12, 14 the number of such couples is 3 and therefore *Y*-coordinates of good points should give (a) or (b) above.

In [Fig. 2](#page-12-0) we show three possible graphs and label each vertex by its *Y*-coordinate. Third diagram (iii) can in fact be immediately excluded, since in this case  $2Y_2 = Y_1 + Y_3 = Y_0 + Y_4$  but no couple in (a) or (b) contains two equal cosines. To exclude the remaining two cases, use that from [\(20\)](#page-5-3) follows a 2nd order difference equation for {*Yk*}:

 $Y_{k+2} + (2 - X^2)Y_{k+1} + Y_k = 2\omega_Y - X\omega_Z$ .

It implies in particular that for both (i) and (ii) we should have

$$
X^2 - 1 = \frac{Y_4 - Y_1}{Y_3 - Y_2}.\tag{37}
$$

Since  $(Y_1, Y_4)$  and  $(Y_2, Y_3)$  are necessarily given by two couples from (a) or (b), the RHS of [\(37\)](#page-12-1) can only take one of 12 values

<span id="page-12-1"></span>
$$
\varepsilon_1(\sqrt{5} + 2\varepsilon_2), \qquad \varepsilon_1(15 + 6\varepsilon_2\sqrt{5})^{\varepsilon_3/2}, \quad \varepsilon_{1,2,3} = \pm 1.
$$

Possible values of the LHS also belong to an explicitly defined finite set: recall that  $X = 2 \cos \pi n_X/N$ , where  $n_X = 1, 3$ , 5, 9, 11 or 13 for  $N = 14$  and  $n<sub>X</sub> = 1, 5, 7$  or 11 for  $N = 12$ . Now it is easy to check that the LHS and the RHS of [\(37\)](#page-12-1) never match, and thus the lengths  $N = 12$ , 14 are forbidden.  $\square$ 

<span id="page-12-2"></span>**Proposition 27.** If N is odd and  $\omega_Y^2 \neq \omega_Z^2$ , then  $N \leq 9$ .

**Proof.** The condition  $\omega_Y^2 \neq \omega_Z^2$  guarantees that both  $p_+$  and  $p_-$  are non-zero. Assuming for definiteness that  $n_X$  is odd, one finds from [\(35\)](#page-11-4)

$$
Y_k - Z_{k+(N-1)/2} = Y_{k'} - Z_{k'+(N-1)/2} \neq 0.
$$

*O. Lisovyy, Y. Tykhyy / Journal of Geometry and Physics 85 (2014) 124–163* 137

<span id="page-13-1"></span>**Fig. 3.** Line of odd length,  $\omega_Y = \omega_Z$ .

<span id="page-13-0"></span>We can now use the same approach as in the previous proof. One difference is that here we maximize the number of *ordered* couples  $(Y_k, Z_{k+(N-1)/2})$  of the form [\(32\)](#page-11-0) characterized by the same value of  $Y_k - Z_{k+(N-1)/2}$ . This maximal number is equal to 6 (twice the maximal  $N^c$ ), therefore by [Lemma 23](#page-11-1) simple cycles of length  $N \ge 7$  and the lines of length  $N \ge 15$  are forbidden.

The lengths  $N = 11$ , 13 are excluded similarly to the above, since in this case  $Y$ - and  $Z$ -coordinates of good points take only a finite number of explicitly defined values. Straightforward computation shows that possible values of *X* determined from [\(20\)](#page-5-3) never match  $X = 2 \cos \pi n_X/N$ .  $\square$ 

**Remark 28.** In the proof of [Proposition 27](#page-12-2) we used only that *p*−  $\neq$  0. Therefore the bound "odd *N* ≤ 9" also holds for  $\omega_Y = \omega_Z \neq 0$  when  $n_X$  is even and for  $\omega_Y = -\omega_Z \neq 0$  when  $n_X$  is odd.

Next we study the case  $\omega_Y = \omega_Z$ ,  $n_X$  odd, where the relation [\(35\)](#page-11-4) gives just  $Y_k = Z_{k+(N-1)/2}$ . For  $\omega_Y = -\omega_Z$ ,  $n_X$ even the upper bound for *N* is the same by symmetry; recall that e.g. the transformation  $\omega_X \mapsto -\omega_X$ ,  $\omega_Y \mapsto -\omega_Y$ ,  $(X, Y, Z) \mapsto (-X, -Y, Z)$  for all  $(X, Y, Z) \in O$  yields an orbit equivalent to *O*.

<span id="page-13-2"></span>**Proposition 29.** Let N and  $n_X$  be odd and let  $\omega_Y = \omega_Z \neq 0$ . If the graph  $\Sigma(O_{VZ})$  is a line, then the only possible values of N are 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 15 *and 21.*

**Proof.** The suborbit graph for odd N is presented in [Fig. 3.](#page-13-0) Each vertex is labeled by its coordinates (*Y*, *Z*). For  $\omega_Y = \omega_Z$  one has *p*<sup>−</sup> = 0, hence [\(35\)](#page-11-4) implies in particular that for the center point *Z* = *Y*.

Let us denote  $\omega_Y = \omega_Z = \omega$  and  $X = 2\cos \pi r_X$ ,  $Y = 2\cos \pi r_Y$ ,  $Y' = 2\cos \pi r_{Y'}$  etc. From the relations

$$
Y + Y' + XY = \omega = Y + Z' + XY'
$$

one finds an equation of type  $(24)$  with  $n = 6$ :

 $\cos \pi r_{Y'} + \cos \pi (r_X - r_Y) + \cos \pi (r_X + r_Y) = \cos \pi r_{Z'} + \cos \pi (r_X - r_{Y'}) + \cos \pi (r_X + r_Y)$  $(38)$ 

We assume that  $N \geq 7$ , then  $r_{X,Y,Y',Z'} \in \mathbb{Q}$  by [Lemma 17.](#page-6-7)

General idea of the proof is to obtain the restrictions on *r<sup>X</sup>* from [Lemma 20.](#page-8-10) Not all solutions listed in [Lemma 20](#page-8-10) are of interest here because the arguments of cosines in [\(38\)](#page-13-1) are not all independent. Five entries in the solution 6-tuple, say  $\varphi_1 \ldots \varphi_5$ , should satisfy

(a)  $\varepsilon_1\varphi_1 + \varepsilon_2\varphi_2 + \varepsilon_3\varphi_3 + \varepsilon_4\varphi_4 \in \mathbb{Z}$  for some choice of  $\varepsilon_{1,2,3,4} = \pm 1$ .

 $(b)\varepsilon_3\varphi_3 - \varepsilon_4\varphi_4 = 2\varepsilon_5\varphi_5 \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$  for the same  $\varepsilon_{3,4}$  and some  $\varepsilon_5 = \pm 1$ .

**Remark 30.** In many cases below, the number of possible solutions for  $r<sub>X</sub>$  is rather large and their complete description becomes too cumbersome. However, since  $r_X = n_X/N$  and N is odd, in practice it is easy to determine admissible values of *N* by simply looking at odd integers that can appear in the denominator of  $r<sub>X</sub>$ . The reader should keep in mind that probably not all such admissible values do actually occur. For clarity, the values  $N = 3$ , 5 (not satisfying the above assumption  $N \ge 7$ ) will not be omitted in the course of this shortcut computation.

First assume that the solution of [\(38\)](#page-13-1) is equivalent to one of the 6-tuples ([VI](#page-8-3)<sub>1</sub>)[–\(VI](#page-8-0)<sub>ω</sub>):

 $(VI<sub>1</sub>)$  $(VI<sub>1</sub>)$  $(VI<sub>1</sub>)$  In this 6-tuple, 1/6 clearly corresponds to  $r<sub>Z</sub>$  in [\(38\),](#page-13-1) otherwise conditions (a) and (b) cannot be simultaneously satisfied. Hence the only possible odd denominator of  $r<sub>X</sub>$  is 11.

 $(V<sub>12</sub>)$  Considering the sum and the difference of any two elements in  $(V<sub>12</sub>)$ , one readily concludes that the only possible odd denominators of  $r<sub>X</sub>$  are 3, 7 and 21.

([VI](#page-8-5)<sub>3</sub>) Condition (a) fails unless  $1/10$  and  $3/10$  correspond to  $r_{Y'}$  and  $r_{Z'}$  or vice versa. In both cases, however, (b) is violated.

 $(VI<sub>4</sub>)$  $(VI<sub>4</sub>)$  $(VI<sub>4</sub>)$  Possible *N* are 3, 7, 21 by the same argument as in  $(VI<sub>2</sub>)$ .

 $(VI<sub>5</sub>)$  $(VI<sub>5</sub>)$  $(VI<sub>5</sub>)$  With the second and the third 6-tuple condition (a) always fails. With the first 6-tuple it can be satisfied only if 1/5 and  $2/5$  correspond to  $r_{Y'}$  and  $r_{Z'}$  or vice versa, but then (b) is violated.

[\(VI](#page-8-0)<sub> $\varphi$ </sub>) Taking the sum and the difference of any two elements (meant to be  $r_X\pm r_{Y'}$ ) we see that odd divisors of the denominator of either  $r_X$  or  $r_{Y'}$  can only be 3, 5, 15. However, in the second case  $\varphi$  becomes fixed so that admissible *N* are again 3, 5, 15.

Reducible 6-tuples consisting of one 5-tuple from  $(V_1)$  $(V_1)$ – $(V_\varphi)$  and one zero cosine (we will say that the solution is of type " $V_{1,2,3,\varphi}$  +I") can be treated in a completely similar manner, leading to *N* = 3, 5, 7, 15, 21. These values of *N* are also the only admissible ones for the solutions of type "IV +  $II_{\varphi}$ ", where the solution 6-tuple splits into one of the irreducible quadruples [\(IV\)](#page-8-9) and a pair of the form [\(II](#page-8-11)<sub> $\phi$ </sub>). Solutions of type "III<sub>1</sub> + III<sub>1</sub>" and "III<sub>1</sub> + II<sub> $\phi$ </sub> + I" lead to *N* = 3, 5, 15, and those of type " $III_1 + III_\omega$ " to  $N = 3, 5, 9, 15$ . There remain three types of possible rational solution 6-tuples:

 $(1)$  "III<sub>ω</sub> + II<sub>ν</sub><sub>t</sub> + I";  $(2)$  "II<sub>ω</sub> + II<sub>ν'</sub> + II<sub>u</sub>";

 $(3)$  "III<sub>ω</sub> + III<sub>ν</sub>".

*Case* (1). We first study the case when [\(38\)](#page-13-1) contains at least one zero cosine (in particular, this includes (1)). There are four inequivalent possibilities:

 $(1.1)$  Set  $Y' = 0$ , then from [\(38\)](#page-13-1) follows  $XY = Z'$ . This equation clearly reduces to [\(24\)](#page-6-4) with  $n = 3$  and  $\varphi_{1,2,3} \in \mathbb{Q}$ , hence its solutions are described by [Lemma 20.](#page-8-10) Solutions equivalent to  $(III_1)$  $(III_1)$  can lead only to  $N = 3, 5, 15$ , and it remains to consider solutions of type " $III_{\omega}$ " and " $II_{\omega} + I$ ".

 $(1.1.1)$  Solution of  $XY = Z'$  has the form  $(III_{\varphi})$  $(III_{\varphi})$  only if  $X = \pm 1$  (i.e.  $N = 3$ ) or  $Y = \pm 1$ . In the latter case  $Z' = \pm X$  and  $\omega = \pm (1 + X)$ . Now computing  $Y'' = \omega - Y' - XZ'$  we find  $\cos \pi r_{Y''} = \pm (\cos \pi r_X - \cos 2\pi r_X - \cos \pi / 3)$ . By virtue of [Lemma 17,](#page-6-7) for  $N\geq 9$  one has  $r_{Y''}\in\mathbb{Q}$ . We can thus apply [Lemma 20](#page-8-10) to the last relation. Irreducible quadruples [\(IV\)](#page-8-9) lead to  $N = 3, 5, 7, 15$ , solutions of type "III<sub>1</sub> + I" to  $N = 5$ , and solutions of type "III<sub>ω</sub> + I" and "II<sub>ω</sub> + II<sub>W</sub>" to  $N = 3$ .

 $(1.1.2)$  Now consider solutions of  $XY = Z'$  containing at least one zero cosine. Note that  $Z' \neq 0$  for  $N \geq 7$ , since by [\(35\)](#page-11-4)  $Y_k = Z_{k+(N-1)/2}$  and we have already put  $Y' = 0$ . One can therefore assume that  $r_Y = r_X \pm 1/\overline{2}$  (mod 2Z),  $Z' = 2 \cos \pi (2r_X \pm 1/2)$ . Computation of *Y*<sup>*n*</sup> then gives

<span id="page-14-0"></span>
$$
\cos \pi r_{Y''} = \cos \pi (2r_X \pm 1/2) - \cos \pi (3r_X \pm 1/2). \tag{39}
$$

If  $N > 9$ , one can apply to [\(39\)](#page-14-0) [Lemma 20.](#page-8-10) Solutions [\(III](#page-8-11)<sub>1</sub>) and (III<sub>*α*</sub>) can lead only to  $N = 3, 5$  and  $N = 3, 5, 15$  correspondingly. Since  $Y'' \neq 0$ , the only possible *N* for solutions of type "II<sub> $\varphi$ </sub> + I" is 3. As a consequence, from now on we can assume that  $Y' \neq 0$ .

 $(1.2)$  Suppose that  $Z' = 0$ . Here we will use two relations of the form  $(24)$ . The first one, with  $n = 5$ , is merely  $(38)$  with  $Z' = 0$ :

<span id="page-14-1"></span>
$$
Y' + XY = XY'.\tag{40}
$$

Recall that we can restrict our attention to solutions of [\(40\)](#page-14-1) of 2 types: " $II_\omega + II_\psi + I'$ " and "III<sub> $\omega + II_\psi$ </sub>". The second equation, with  $n = 4$ , comes from the computation of  $Y''$ ,

<span id="page-14-2"></span>
$$
Y'' = Y + XY. \tag{41}
$$

Assume that  $N\geq 9$  to guarantee  $r_{Y''}\in\mathbb{Q}$  and consider rational solutions of  $(41)$  given by [Lemma 20.](#page-8-10) It is easy to check that the quadruples equivalent to [\(IV\)](#page-8-9) can only lead to  $N = 3, 5, 7, 15, 21$ , while for solutions of type "III<sub>1</sub> + I" one has  $N = 3, 5, 15$ .

Next we examine solutions of [\(41\)](#page-14-2) of type "III<sub> $\varphi$ </sub> + I". Since *Y*, *Y*"  $\neq$  0 it can be assumed that  $r_Y = r_X \pm 1/2$  (mod 2Z) and then the triple ( $III_{\varphi}$ ) becomes

$$
\cos \pi r_{Y''} = \cos \pi (r_X \pm 1/2) + \cos \pi (2r_X \pm 1/2),
$$

giving  $N = 3$ , 9. Finally, for solutions of type " $II_{\varphi} + II_{\psi}$ ", since  $Y \neq Y''$ , we may write

$$
Y'' = 2 \cos \pi (r_Y + r_X),
$$
  $Y + 2 \cos \pi (r_Y - r_X) = 0.$ 

Second relation implies that  $r_X = 2r_Y + 1$  (mod 2Z) (remember that  $X \neq \pm 2$ ). Substituting this into [\(40\),](#page-14-1) one finds

<span id="page-14-3"></span>
$$
\cos \pi r_{Y'} - \cos \pi r_Y - \cos 3\pi r_Y + \cos \pi (2r_Y + r_{Y'}) + \cos \pi (2r_Y - r_{Y'}) = 0.
$$
\n(42)

(1.2.1) Now consider solutions of [\(42\)](#page-14-3) of type "II<sub> $\varphi$ </sub> + II<sub>V</sub> + I". Note that *Y*, *Y'*  $\neq$  0. Furthermore cos 3 $\pi r_Y = 0$  implies  $N = 3$ , therefore it may be assumed that  $\cos \pi (2r_Y - r_{Y'}) = 0$ , i.e.  $r_{Y'} = 2r_Y \pm 1/2$  (mod 2Z). Then [\(42\)](#page-14-3) transforms into

$$
\cos \pi (2r_Y \pm 1/2) - \cos \pi r_Y - \cos 3\pi r_Y + \cos \pi (4r_Y \pm 1/2) = 0.
$$

We are looking for rational solutions of the last relation that have type " $II_\omega + II_\psi$ ", hence the only admissible values of *N* are 3 and 5.

(1.2.2) Consider a solution of [\(42\)](#page-14-3) of type "III<sub> $\omega$ </sub> + II<sub> $\psi$ </sub>" and take into account the following comments:

- cos  $\pi r_Y$  and cos  $3\pi r_Y$  cannot belong simultaneously to (II<sub>V</sub>) because then the denominators of  $r_Y$  and  $r_X$  would not have odd divisors. They can neither belong simultaneously to (III<sub> $\omega$ </sub>) unless *N* = 3. Therefore we may assume that cos  $\pi r_Y$  and  $\cos 3\pi r_Y$  are divided between (III<sub> $\omega$ </sub>) and (II<sub> $\psi$ </sub>).
- cos  $\pi(2r_Y \pm r_{Y'})$  cannot belong simultaneously to (II<sub> $\psi$ </sub>) as there is no enough place. It they are both in (III<sub> $\varphi$ </sub>) then either  $N=3$  or  $Y'=\pm 1.$  In the latter case, since  $Y'$  belongs to (II<sub>V</sub>), one can only have  $N=3,9.$  Hence it may be assumed that  $\cos\pi (2r_Y\pm r_{Y'})$  are divided between (III<sub> $\varphi$ </sub>) and (II<sub> $\psi$ </sub>), and in particular  $Y'$  belongs to (III $_{\varphi}$ ).

Then we are left with two inequivalent possibilities:

$$
\begin{cases}\n\cos \pi r_{Y'} - \cos \pi r_{Y} + \cos \pi (2r_{Y} - r_{Y'}) = 0 & (\text{III}_{\varphi}) \\
\cos 3\pi r_{Y} = \cos \pi (2r_{Y} + r_{Y'}). & (\text{II}_{\psi})\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(1.2.2.1)

From the second equation one finds either  $Y' = Y$  (forbidden) or  $r_{Y'} = -5r_Y$  (mod 2Z). But then the first equation transforms into  $\cos 5\pi r_y + \cos 7\pi r_y - \cos \pi r_y = 0$ , which implies  $N = 3, 9$ .

$$
\begin{cases}\n\cos \pi r_{Y'} - \cos 3\pi r_{Y} + \cos \pi (2r_{Y} + r_{Y'}) = 0 & (\text{III}_{\varphi}) \\
\cos \pi r_{Y} = \cos \pi (2r_{Y} - r_{Y'}). & (\text{II}_{\psi})\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(1.2.2.2)

Again from the second equation follows either  $Y' = Y$  or  $r_{Y'} = 3r_Y$  (mod 2Z). In the latter case the substitution into the first equation gives  $\cos 5\pi r_y = 0$ , hence the only admissible *N* is 5.

(1.3) Set cos  $\pi$  ( $r_X - r_Y$ ) = 0. This implies  $r_Y = r_X + \varepsilon_1/2$  (mod 2Z),  $\varepsilon_1 = \pm 1$  and our initial equation [\(38\)](#page-13-1) transforms into

<span id="page-15-0"></span>
$$
\cos \pi r_{Y'} + \cos \pi (2r_X + \varepsilon_1/2) = \cos \pi r_{Z'} + \cos \pi (r_X - r_{Y'}) + \cos \pi (r_X + r_{Y'}).
$$
\n(43)

(1.3.1) We first study solutions of [\(43\)](#page-15-0) of type "II<sub> $\varphi$ </sub> + II<sub>V</sub> + I". All cases when  $Y'=0$  or  $Z'=0$  have been considered above. Moreover cos  $\pi(2r_X+\varepsilon_1/2)=0$  would lead only to even N, therefore it can be assumed that cos  $\pi(r_X-r_{Y'})=0$ , i.e.  $r_{Y'}=0$  $r_X + \varepsilon_2/2$  (mod 2Z),  $\varepsilon_2 = \pm 1$ . Now  $Y \neq Y'$  implies that  $\varepsilon_2 = -\varepsilon_1$ . Setting e.g.  $r_Y = r_X + 1/2$ ,  $r_{Y'} = r_X - 1/2$  in [\(43\)](#page-15-0) one finds

$$
\cos \pi (r_X - 1/2) + \cos \pi (2r_X + 1/2) = \cos \pi r_{Z'} + \cos \pi (2r_X - 1/2).
$$

Since we are looking for solutions of type "II<sub> $\varphi$ </sub> + II<sub>V</sub>" of this equation and since  $Y'\neq Z'$ , the only possible N is equal to 3.

(1.3.2) Next consider solutions of type "III<sub> $\varphi$ </sub> + II<sub> $\psi$ </sub>". It can be assumed that cos  $\pi$  ( $r_X\pm r_{Y'}$ ) do not belong simultaneously to  $(H_{\psi})$ , as this would lead to  $X = 0$  ( $N = 2$ ) or  $Y' = 0$  (case studied above).

We may further assume that they are not simultaneously in (III<sub>¢</sub>), because one would then have  $N=3$  or  $Y'=\varepsilon_2$ , where  $\varepsilon_2 = \pm 1$ . In the latter case [\(43\)](#page-15-0) would transform into

$$
\varepsilon_2 \cos \pi / 3 + \cos \pi (2r_X + \varepsilon_1 / 2) = \cos \pi r_{Z'} + \varepsilon_2 \cos \pi r_X.
$$

Since solutions of this equation should have type "II<sub> $\varphi$ </sub> + II<sub> $\psi$ </sub>" and since *Y'*  $\neq$  Z', one concludes that *N* = 3.

 $(1.3.2.1)$  Let cos  $\pi r_{Y'}$  be in (II<sub> $\psi$ </sub>), then we may write [\(43\)](#page-15-0) as

$$
\begin{cases}\n\cos \pi (2r_X + \varepsilon_1/2) = \cos \pi r_{Z'} + \cos \pi (r_X + r_{Y'}), & (III_\varphi) \\
\cos \pi r_{Y'} = \cos \pi (r_X - r_{Y'}). & (II_\psi)\n\end{cases}
$$

The second equation implies that  $r_X = 2r_{Y'}$  (mod 2Z). Substituting this into the first equation one finds cos  $\pi(4r_{Y'}+\varepsilon_1/2)$  $\cos \pi r_{Z'} + \cos 3\pi r_{Y'}$ , therefore *N* can only be equal to 3, 7, 21.

(1.3.2.2) Let cos  $\pi r_{Y'}$  be in (III<sub> $\varphi$ </sub>) and let cos  $\pi(2r_X + \varepsilon_1/2)$  be in (II<sub> $\psi$ </sub>). Then one can write

$$
\begin{cases}\n\cos \pi r_{Y'} = \cos \pi r_{Z'} + \cos \pi (r_X - r_{Y'}), & (\text{III}_{\varphi}) \\
\cos \pi (2r_X + \varepsilon_1/2) = \cos \pi (r_X + r_{Y'}), & (\text{II}_{\psi})\n\end{cases}
$$

and it follows that possible values of *N* are 3, 7, 21. Similarly if both cos  $\pi r_{Y'}$  and cos  $\pi (2r_X + \varepsilon_1/2)$  are in (III<sub> $\varphi$ </sub>), one finds  $N = 3, 5, 9, 15$ .

(1.4) Finally suppose that  $\cos \pi (r_X - r_{Y'}) = 0$ . Then  $r_{Y'} = r_X + \varepsilon_1/2$  (mod 2Z),  $\varepsilon_1 = \pm 1$  and from [\(38\)](#page-13-1) follows the relation

$$
\cos \pi (r_X + \varepsilon_1/2) + \cos \pi (r_X - r_Y) + \cos \pi (r_X + r_Y) = \cos \pi r_{Z'} + \cos \pi (2r_X + \varepsilon_1/2). \tag{44}
$$

It is not necessary to examine solutions of [\(44\)](#page-15-1) of type " $II_\varphi + II_\psi + I$ " because all cases when  $Y' = 0, Z' = 0$  or  $\cos \pi (r_X \pm r_Y) = 0$  have already been considered above, and  $\cos \pi (2r_X \pm 1/2) = 0$  gives  $N = 2$ . Hence we may restrict our attention to solutions of type "III<sub> $\omega$ </sub> + II<sub> $\psi$ </sub>".

- cos  $\pi (r_X + \varepsilon_1/2)$  and cos  $\pi (2r_X + \varepsilon_1/2)$  cannot be simultaneously in  $(II_\psi)$  unless  $N = 3$  and in  $(III_\omega)$  unless  $N = 3, 9$ . Therefore one can assume that they are divided between (III<sub> $\omega$ </sub>) and (II<sub> $\psi$ </sub>).
- If both cos  $\pi$  ( $r_X \pm r_Y$ ) belong to (III<sub>ω</sub>), then either  $N = 3$  or  $Y = \varepsilon_2$ ,  $\varepsilon_2 = \pm 1$ , but in the latter case [\(44\)](#page-15-1) becomes

<span id="page-15-1"></span>
$$
\cos \pi (r_X + \varepsilon_1/2) + \varepsilon_2 \cos \pi r_X = \cos \pi r_{Z'} + \cos \pi (2r_X + \varepsilon_1/2).
$$

The solution of this equation should be of type "II<sub> $\varphi$ </sub> + II<sub> $\psi$ </sub>". Since Y'  $\neq$  Z' and by the above assumption cos  $\pi$  ( $r_X+\varepsilon_1/2$ ) and  $\cos \pi (2r_X + \varepsilon_1/2)$  are not in the same pair, this can happen only if  $\cos \pi (r_X + \varepsilon_1/2) + \varepsilon_2 \cos \pi r_X = 0$ , i.e. odd *N* are impossible. Thus we can assume that  $\cos \pi (r_X \pm r_Y)$  in [\(44\)](#page-15-1) are also divided between (III<sub>ω</sub>) and (II<sub>W</sub>) and in particular  $\cos \pi r_{Z'}$  belongs to (III<sub> $\varphi$ </sub>).

We then have two inequivalent possibilities:

$$
\begin{cases}\n\cos \pi (r_X + r_Y) = \cos \pi r_{Z'} + \cos \pi (2r_X + \varepsilon_1/2), & (\text{III}_{\varphi}) \\
\cos \pi (r_X + \varepsilon_1/2) + \cos \pi (r_X - r_Y) = 0. & (\text{II}_{\psi})\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(1.4.1)

From the second equation one finds that either  $Y = 0$  or  $r_Y = 2r_X + \varepsilon_1/2 + 1$  (mod 2Z). In the former case, substitution into the first equation gives admissible values  $N = 3, 9$ , while for the latter  $N = 3, 5, 15$ .

$$
\begin{cases}\n\cos \pi (r_X + \varepsilon_1/2) + \cos \pi (r_X + r_Y) = \cos \pi r_Z, & (\text{III}_{\varphi}) \\
\cos \pi (r_X - r_Y) = \cos \pi (2r_X + \varepsilon_1/2). & (\text{II}_{\psi})\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(1.4.2)

Here from (II<sub>ψ</sub>) follows that either  $r_Y = -r_X - \varepsilon_1/2$  (mod 2 $\mathbb{Z}$ ) (forbidden because then  $Y = Y'$ ) or  $r_Y = 3r_X + \varepsilon_1/2$  (mod 2 $\mathbb{Z}$ ). In the latter case first equation implies that  $N = 3, 5, 9, 15$ .

*Case* (2). Now we come back to the initial equation [\(38\)](#page-13-1) and consider its solutions of type " $\text{II}_{\alpha} + \text{II}_{\alpha}$ ".

It can be assumed that cos  $\pi$  ( $r_X\pm r_{Y'}$ ) are not in the same pair, as otherwise  $X=0$  ( $N=2$  ) or  $Y'=0$  (already considered). Similarly, if both  $\cos \pi (r_x \pm r_y)$  are in the same pair, then  $Y = 0$  and one can write

$$
\begin{cases}\n\cos \pi r_{Y'} = \cos \pi (r_X - r_{Y'}), & (\text{II}_{\varphi}) \\
\cos \pi r_{Z'} + \cos \pi (r_X + r_{Y'}) = 0. & (\text{II}_{\psi})\n\end{cases}
$$

Since  $X \neq \pm 2$ , from (II<sub> $\varphi$ </sub>) follows that  $r_X = 2r_{Y'}$  (mod 2Z) and then  $Z' = -2 \cos 3\pi r_{Y'}$ . Moreover  $Y = 0$  implies that  $\omega = Y'$ , therefore  $Y'' = -XZ'$ , i.e.

 $\cos \pi r_{Y''} = \cos \pi r_{Y'} + \cos 5\pi r_{Y'}.$ 

For  $N > 9$  we can apply [Lemma 20](#page-8-10) to the last relation. Its solutions of type [\(III](#page-8-2)<sub>1</sub>) and (III<sub>a</sub>) lead to  $N = 3$ , 5 and  $N = 3, 9$ correspondingly. Since *Y'*, *Y''*  $\neq$  0 (because we already have *Y* = 0), solutions of type "II<sub> $\varphi$ </sub> + I" are possible only if *N* = 5.

Hence we can assume that  $\cos\pi$  ( $r_X\pm r_Y)$  are divided between two different pairs. These cannot be the same as for  $cos \pi (r_X \pm r_{Y'})$ , otherwise the third pair would give  $Y' = Z'$ . Therefore we may assume one of the pairs in [\(38\)](#page-13-1) to be

$$
\cos \pi (r_X - r_Y) = \cos \pi (r_X - r_{Y'}). \quad (II_\varphi)
$$

Since *Y*  $\neq$  *Y'*, the last relation gives  $r_Y = 2r_X - r_{Y'}$  (mod 2Z). Now for the remaining two pairs there are two inequivalent possibilities:

(2.1) If cos  $\pi r_{Y'}$  and cos  $\pi (r_X + r_Y)$  are in the same pair, then

$$
\begin{cases}\n\cos \pi r_{Y'} + \cos \pi (3r_X - r_{Y'}) = 0, & (\text{II}_{\psi}) \\
\cos \pi r_{Z'} + \cos \pi (r_X + r_{Y'}) = 0. & (\text{II}_{\mu})\n\end{cases}
$$

From (II<sub> $\psi$ </sub>) one finds that either  $N = 3$  or cos  $\pi (3r_X - 2r_{Y'})/2 = 0$ . In the latter case, compute  $\omega$ :

 $\omega = Y + Y' + XY = 4 \cos \pi r_X/2 \cos \pi (3r_X - 2r_{Y'})/2 = 0,$ 

i.e. the initial assumption  $\omega \neq 0$  does not hold.

(2.2) If cos  $\pi r_{Y'}$  and cos  $\pi (r_X + r_{Y'})$  are in the same pair, then

$$
\begin{cases}\n\cos \pi r_{Y'} = \cos \pi (r_X + r_{Y'}), & (\text{II}_{\psi}) \\
\cos \pi r_{Z'} = \cos \pi (3r_X - r_{Y'}). & (\text{II}_{\mu})\n\end{cases}
$$

First equation implies that  $r_X = -2r_{Y'}$  (mod 2Z). Therefore  $X = 2 \cos 2\pi r_{Y'}$ ,  $Y = 2 \cos 5\pi r_{Y'}$ ,  $Z' = 2 \cos 7\pi r_{Y'}$ . Let us compute  $\omega = Y + Y' + XY$ :

<span id="page-16-0"></span> $\omega = 2 \cos \pi r_{Y'} + 2 \cos 3\pi r_{Y'} + 2 \cos 5\pi r_{Y'} + 2 \cos 7\pi r_{Y'}$ .

The computation of  $Y'' = \omega - Y' - XZ'$  now gives

$$
\cos \pi r_{Y''} = \cos 3\pi r_{Y'} + \cos 7\pi r_{Y'} - \cos 9\pi r_{Y'}.
$$
\n(45)

For  $N \ge 9$ , we can apply to [\(45\)](#page-16-0) [Lemma 20.](#page-8-10) Solutions of type [\(IV\),](#page-8-9) "III<sub>1</sub>+I" and "III<sub> $\omega$ </sub>+I" can lead only to  $N = 3, 5, 7, 9, 15, 21$ . Since  $Y'' \neq Z'$ , solutions of type "II<sub> $\varphi$ </sub> + II<sub> $\psi$ </sub>" are possible only if  $N = 5$ .

*Case*(3). It remains to consider solutions of [\(38\)](#page-13-1) of type "III<sub>ω</sub> + III<sub> $\psi$ </sub>".

(3.1) If both cos  $\pi$  ( $r_X \pm r_{Y'}$ ) appear in the same triple, then  $N=3$  or  $Y'=\pm 1$ . In the latter case, [\(38\)](#page-13-1) transforms into

<span id="page-16-1"></span>
$$
\pm \cos \pi / 3 + \cos \pi (r_X + r_Y) + \cos \pi (r_X - r_Y) = \cos \pi r_{Z'} \pm \cos \pi r_X.
$$
 (46)

The solution of [\(46\)](#page-16-1) should have type "III<sub> $\varphi$ </sub> + II<sub>V</sub>", and moreover cos  $\pi r_X$  belongs to (II<sub>V</sub>). If the second cosine in (II<sub>V</sub>) is  $\cos\pi/3$ , then  $N=3$ . If  $\cos\pi r_Z/\pm\cos\pi r_X=0$ , then from [\(III](#page-8-2)<sub> $\varphi$ </sub>) again follows  $N=3$ . Therefore it can be assumed that

$$
\begin{cases} \pm \cos \pi / 3 + \cos \pi (r_X + r_Y) = \cos \pi r_Z, & (III_\varphi) \\ \cos \pi (r_X - r_Y) = \pm \cos \pi r_X. & (II_\psi) \end{cases}
$$

*O. Lisovyy, Y. Tykhyy / Journal of Geometry and Physics 85 (2014) 124–163* 141



<span id="page-17-3"></span><span id="page-17-2"></span><span id="page-17-1"></span>

<span id="page-17-5"></span>Since *Y*  $\neq \pm 2$ , the second equation implies that  $r_Y = 2r_X + 1/2 \mp 1/2$ , but then from the first equation follows *N* = 3, 9. Hence from now on we assume that  $\cos \pi (r_X \pm r_{Y'})$  belong to different triples.

(3.2) If cos  $\pi$  ( $r_X \pm r_Y$ ) are in the same triple, then  $N = 3$  or  $Y = \pm 1$ . In the latter case [\(38\)](#page-13-1) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{cases}\n\cos \pi r_{Y'} = \cos \pi r_{Z'} + \cos \pi (r_X + r_{Y'}), & (\text{III}_{\varphi}) \\
\pm \cos \pi r_X = \cos \pi (r_X - r_{Y'}). & (\text{II}_{\psi})\n\end{cases}
$$

Again from (II<sub> $\psi$ </sub>) follows  $r_{Y'} = 2r_X + 1/2 \mp 1/2$ , and [\(III](#page-8-2)<sub> $\varphi$ </sub>) then implies that  $N = 3, 5, 15$ . Therefore we assume in the following that  $\cos \pi (r_X \pm r_Y)$ , as well as  $\cos \pi r_{Y'}$  and  $\cos \pi r_{Z'}$ , are divided between the two triples.

(3.3) Without loss of generality we can now write [\(38\)](#page-13-1) as

$$
\begin{cases}\n\cos \pi r_{Y'} + \cos \pi (r_X - r_Y) - \cos \pi (r_X - r_{Y'}) = 0, & (\text{III}_{\varphi}) \\
\cos \pi r_{Z'} + \cos \pi (r_X + r_{Y'}) - \cos \pi (r_X + r_Y) = 0, & (\text{III}_{\psi})\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(47)

or, in another form,

$$
\begin{cases}\n\cos \pi r_{Y'} + 2 \sin \frac{\pi (2r_X - r_Y - r_{Y'})}{2} \sin \frac{\pi (r_Y - r_{Y'})}{2} = 0, & (\text{III}_{\varphi}) \\
\cos \pi r_{Z'} + 2 \sin \frac{\pi (2r_X + r_{Y} + r_{Y'})}{2} \sin \frac{\pi (r_Y - r_{Y'})}{2} = 0. & (\text{III}_{\psi})\n\end{cases}
$$

If  $\sin \frac{\pi (r_Y - r_{Y'})}{2}$  $\frac{(-r_{y/})}{2} \neq \pm \frac{1}{2}$ , then one should simultaneously have

$$
\sin\frac{\pi(2r_X - r_Y - r_{Y'})}{2} = \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}, \qquad \sin\frac{\pi(2r_X + r_Y + r_{Y'})}{2} = \frac{\varepsilon_2}{2},\tag{48}
$$

where  $\varepsilon_{1,2} = \pm 1$  (in fact  $\varepsilon_2 = -\varepsilon_1$ , otherwise  $Y' = Z'$ ). Eqs. [\(48\)](#page-17-1) lead to  $N = 3$ , therefore we can assume that

$$
\sin\frac{\pi(r_Y - r_{Y'})}{2} = \frac{\varepsilon_3}{2}, \quad \varepsilon_3 = \pm 1. \tag{49}
$$

Let us compute  $Y'' = Y + XY - XZ'$  using [\(47\)](#page-17-2) and [\(49\).](#page-17-3) After some simplifications one finds

<span id="page-17-4"></span>
$$
\cos \pi r_{Y''} = \cos \pi (r_X + r_Y) + \cos \pi (r_X - r_{Y'}) + \varepsilon_3 \sin \frac{\pi (4r_X + r_Y + r_{Y'})}{2}.
$$
\n(50)

Relation [\(49\)](#page-17-3) implies that  $r_Y = r_{Y'} + \varepsilon_3/3$  (mod 4Z) or  $r_Y = r_{Y'} + 5\varepsilon_3/3$  (mod 4Z). Similarly, the first relation in [\(47\)](#page-17-2) gives either  $N = 3$  or  $r_X = 2r_{Y'} + \varepsilon_4/3$  (mod 2Z),  $\varepsilon_4 = \pm 1$ . We now substitute this into [\(50\)](#page-17-4) and apply [Lemma 20](#page-8-10) (for  $N \ge 9$ ). So-lutions of type [\(IV\)](#page-8-9) and "III<sub>1</sub>+I" then lead to admissible values  $N = 3, 5, 7, 9, 15, 21$  and  $N = 3, 5, 15$  correspondingly, while solutions of type "III<sub> $\varphi$ </sub> + I" and "II<sub> $\varphi$ </sub> + II<sub> $\psi$ </sub>" give *N* = 3, 5, 9, 15 and *N* = 3, 9. This concludes the proof of [Proposition 29.](#page-13-2)

<span id="page-17-0"></span>**Lemma 31.** Let N and  $n_X$  be odd and let  $\omega_Y = \omega_Z \neq 0$ . If the graph  $\Sigma(O_{VZ})$  is a simple cycle and  $O_{VZ}$  contains a point with *coordinate Z (or Y ) equal to* 0*, then the only possible values of N are* 3, 5, 7, 9, 15, 21*.*

**Proof.** Analogously to the previous proof, let us label the vertices of  $\Sigma(O_{vz})$  by their coordinates (*Y*, *Z*), as shown in [Fig. 4.](#page-17-5) Because of the simple cycle assumption all points of  $O_{yz}$  are good, therefore all  $\{Y_k\}$  and  $\{Z_k\}$  have the form [\(32\).](#page-11-0) It will be assumed that  $N > 3$ , then by [Lemma 23](#page-11-1) four numbers  $\hat{Y}$ ,  $Y'$ ,  $\hat{Y}''$ ,  $Z'$  are distinct and non-zero (recall that  $Y_k = Z_{k+(N-1)/2}$ ). We now apply [Lemma 20](#page-8-10) to the relation

<span id="page-17-6"></span>
$$
\cos \pi r_{Y} + \cos \pi r_{Y'} = \cos \pi r_{Z'} + \cos \pi (r_{X} + r_{Y'}) + \cos \pi (r_{X} - r_{Y'}). \tag{51}
$$

Its solutions of type  $(V_{\varphi})$  $(V_{\varphi})$ ,  $(V_1)$  $(V_1)$  $(V_1)$ – $(V_3)$ , "IV + I" can lead only to *N* = 3, 5, 7, 15, 21.

The solutions of type "II<sub> $\phi$ </sub> +II $_\psi$  +I" are forbidden. Indeed, since *Y* , *Y'* , *Z'*  $\neq$  0, in this case one could write cos  $\pi$  ( $r_X - r_{Y'}$ )  $=$ 0, but then one of the pairs  $(H_{\varphi})$ ,  $(H_{\psi})$  would give  $Y = Z'$  or  $Y' = Z'$  (impossible) or  $Y + Y' = 0$  (excluded because then  $\omega = 0$ ).

Next we consider solutions of type "III<sub>1</sub> + II<sub>φ</sub>". Since  $Y'\neq 0$ , two cosines cos  $\pi$  ( $r_X\pm r_{Y'}$ ) cannot belong both to [\(II](#page-8-11)<sub>φ</sub>). They can neither be simultaneously in [\(III](#page-8-12)<sub>1</sub>), as [\(II](#page-8-11)<sub>φ</sub>) would then give  $Y=Z'$  or  $Y'=Z'$  or  $Y+Y'=0$ . Therefore it can be assumed that cos  $\pi$  ( $r_X - r_{Y'}$ ) belongs to [\(II](#page-8-11)<sub> $\varphi$ </sub>) and cos  $\pi$  ( $r_X + r_{Y'}$ ) is in [\(III](#page-8-12)<sub>1</sub>). Now if cos  $\pi r_{Y'}$  is in (III<sub>1</sub>), then admissible values of *N* are 3, 5, 15. If cos  $\pi r_{Y'}$  belongs to  $(\Pi_{\varphi})$ , then  $r_X = 2r_{Y'}$  (mod 2Z). Substituting this into [\(II](#page-8-11)I<sub>1</sub>), we obtain  $N = 5, 9, 15$ .

<span id="page-18-2"></span>142 *O. Lisovyy, Y. Tykhyy / Journal of Geometry and Physics 85 (2014) 124–163*

<span id="page-18-1"></span>**Fig. 5.** Labeling of  $\Sigma(O_{yz})$  in [Proposition 32.](#page-18-0)

It remains to consider solutions of [\(51\)](#page-17-6) of type " $III_\omega + II_\psi$ ". By the same argument as above we can assume that cos  $\pi$  ( $r_X - r_{Y'}$ ) is in (II<sub> $\psi$ </sub>) and cos  $\pi$  ( $r_X + r_{Y'}$ ) is in [\(III](#page-8-2)<sub> $\varphi$ </sub>).

Assume that  $\cos \pi r_{Y'}$  is in (II<sub> $\psi$ </sub>). Then  $r_X = 2r_{Y'}$  (mod 2 $\mathbb{Z}$ ) and the triple [\(III](#page-8-2)<sub> $\varphi$ </sub>) becomes

$$
\cos \pi r_Y = \cos \pi r_{Z'} + \cos 3\pi r_{Y'}.
$$

Therefore we can assume that  $r_Y = 3r_{Y'} \pm 1/3$  (mod 2Z),  $r_{Z'} = 3r_{Y'} \pm 2/3$  (mod 2Z). Let us substitute these expressions into an easily verified relation

$$
\cos \pi r_{Y''} = \cos \pi r_Y - \cos \pi (r_X - r_{Z'}) - \cos \pi (r_X + r_{Z'}).
$$
\n(52)

Its solutions of type [\(IV\)](#page-8-9) and "III<sub>1</sub> + I" lead to admissible values  $N = 3, 5, 7, 15, 21$  and  $N = 3, 5, 15$  correspondingly (in fact this conclusion does not depend on any of our previous assumptions). Since *Y* , *Y"*  $\neq$  *0*, solutions of type "III<sub> $\varphi$ </sub> + I" give  $N=3,5,$  15. Finally, since  $Y\neq Y''$ , solutions of type "II<sub> $\varphi$ </sub> + II<sub> $\psi$ </sub>" are only possible for  $N=3.$ 

On the other hand, if cos π*r*<sub>*Y'*</sub> belongs to the triple [\(III](#page-8-2)<sub>φ</sub>) then, since cos π( $r_X + r_{Y'}$ ) is also in (III<sub>φ</sub>), we can set  $r_X =$  $-2r_{Y'} + \varepsilon/3$  (mod 2 $\mathbb{Z}$ ),  $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ , otherwise  $N = 3$ . Hence

(1) If cos  $\pi r_Y$  is the third cosine in [\(III](#page-8-2)<sub>ω</sub>), then

$$
Y = -2\cos\pi (r_{Y'}+\varepsilon/3), \qquad Z' = -2\cos\pi (3r_{Y'}-\varepsilon/3).
$$

Now let us look at Eq. [\(52\).](#page-18-1) When its solution has type "III<sub> $\varphi$ </sub> + I", it can be assumed that cos  $\pi(r_X - r_{Z'}) = 0$ , but then  $N=3,5,15$ . For solutions of type "II<sub> $\varphi$ </sub> + II<sub> $\psi$ </sub>" we can write  $\cos \pi r_Y = \cos \pi (r_X - r_{Z'})$ , which leads to  $N=3,9$ . (2) If cos  $\pi r_Y$  belongs to (II<sub>V</sub>), then one finds

*Y* =  $2 \cos \pi (3r_{Y'} - \varepsilon/3)$ ,  $Z' = 2 \cos \pi (r_{Y'} + \varepsilon/3)$ .

In this case, solutions of [\(52\)](#page-18-1) of type "III<sub>ω</sub> + I" and "II<sub>ω</sub> + II<sub>W</sub>" lead to admissible values  $N = 3, 9$ .

**Proposition 32.** Let N and  $n_X$  be odd and let  $\omega_Y = \omega_Z \neq 0$ . If the graph  $\Sigma(O_{vZ})$  is a simple cycle then the only possible values *of N are* 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 15, 21*.*

**Proof.** Let us start with the obvious relation  $Y + XZ = Y'' + XZ'$  (see [Fig. 5\)](#page-18-2), written as

<span id="page-18-3"></span><span id="page-18-0"></span>
$$
\cos \pi r_{Y} + \cos \pi (r_{X} + r_{Z}) + \cos \pi (r_{X} - r_{Z}) = \cos \pi r_{Y''} + \cos \pi (r_{X} + r_{Z'}) + \cos \pi (r_{X} - r_{Z'}).
$$
\n(53)

We can assume that this relation does not contain zero cosines. Indeed, the case when  $Y = 0$  or  $Y'' = 0$  is completely described by [Lemma 31.](#page-17-0) If  $\cos\pi$  ( $r_X\pm r_Z$ )  $=0$  or  $\cos\pi$  ( $r_X\pm r_{Z'}$ )  $=0$ , then *Z* or *Z'* is equal to  $\pm\sqrt{4-X^2}$ . Now recall that by [Lemma 23](#page-11-1) in a simple cycle all  $\{Z_k\}$  are distinct, therefore already for  $N\geq 5$  it will be possible to find a pair  $(Z_k, Z_{k+1})$  which does not contain prescribed two values  $\pm \sqrt{4 - X^2}$  (Assumption 1).

Next we exclude solutions of type  $(VI_1)$  $(VI_1)$  $(VI_1)$ – $(VI_5)$ , "IV +  $II_{\varphi}$ ", "III<sub>1</sub> + III<sub>1</sub>", "III<sub>1</sub> + III<sub> $\varphi$ </sub>", as they can lead only to *N* = 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 15, 21 (note that solutions of [\(53\)](#page-18-3) satisfy a condition similar to (a) in the proof of [Proposition 29\)](#page-13-2). Then there remain three types of possible solution 6-tuples:

 $(1)$  "II<sub>ω</sub> + II<sub>ω</sub> + II<sub>*u*";</sub> (2) " $III_{\varphi}$  +  $III_{\psi}$ ";

 $(3)$  "VI $_{\omega}$ ".

*Case* (1). It can be assumed that two cosines cos  $\pi$  ( $r_X \pm r_Z$ ) (and cos  $\pi$  ( $r_X \pm r_{Z'}$ )) are divided between two different pairs. Otherwise  $Z = 0$  (resp.  $Z' = 0$ ) and one obtains restrictions on *N* from [Lemma 31.](#page-17-0) The pairs cannot be the same in both cases because then  $Y = Y''$ . Therefore we can set one of the pairs to be

$$
\cos \pi (r_X - r_Z) = \cos \pi (r_X - r_{Z'}). \quad (\text{II}_{\varphi})
$$
\n
$$
\tag{54}
$$

Since  $Z \neq Z'$ , one has  $r_{Z'} = 2r_X - r_Z$  (mod 2Z). For the remaining two pairs, there are two inequivalent possibilities:

$$
\begin{cases}\n\cos \pi r_{Y} + \cos \pi (r_{X} + r_{Z}) = 0, & (\Pi_{\psi}) \\
\cos \pi r_{Y''} + \cos \pi (3r_{X} - r_{Z}) = 0. & (\Pi_{\mu})\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(1.1)

Here from  $Y + Y' + XZ = Z + Z' + XY'$  follows that either  $N = 3$  or  $Y' = -2 \cos \pi (r_X - r_Z)$ . In the latter case, however, computing  $\omega = Y + Y' + XZ$  we find forbidden value  $\omega = 0$ .

$$
\begin{cases}\n\cos \pi r_Y = \cos \pi (3r_X - r_Z), & (\Pi_\psi) \\
\cos \pi r_{Y''} = \cos \pi (r_X + r_Z). & (\Pi_\mu)\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(1.2)

Substituting these relations into  $\tilde{Z} + XY = Z' + XY'$  and  $Z'' + XY'' = Z + XY'$ , one obtains

$$
\cos \pi r_{\tilde{Z}} + \cos \pi (4r_X - r_Z) = \cos \pi (r_X - r_{Y'}) + \cos \pi (r_X + r_{Y'}), \tag{55}
$$

<span id="page-19-1"></span><span id="page-19-0"></span>
$$
\cos \pi r_{Z''} + \cos \pi (2r_X + r_Z) = \cos \pi (r_X - r_{Y'}) + \cos \pi (r_X + r_{Y'}).
$$
\n(56)

Solutions of [\(55\),](#page-19-0) [\(56\)](#page-19-1) of type [\(IV\)](#page-8-9) and "III<sub>1</sub> + I" can lead only to  $N = 3, 5, 7, 15, 21$ , therefore we can restrict our attention to solutions of type " $III_{\varphi} + I$ " and " $II_{\varphi} + II_{\psi}$ ".

(1.2.1) Suppose that the solution of [\(55\)](#page-19-0) is of type "III<sub> $\varphi$ </sub> + I". If cos  $\pi(4r_X-r_Z)=0$  and cos  $\pi(r_X\pm r_{Y'})$  are in [\(III](#page-8-2)<sub> $\varphi$ </sub>), then  $N = 3$  or  $r_Z = 4r_X + \varepsilon_1/2$  (mod 2Z),  $Y' = \varepsilon_2$ ,  $\varepsilon_{1,2} = \pm 1$ . In the second case [\(56\)](#page-19-1) transforms into

$$
\cos \pi r_{Z''} + \cos \pi (6r_X + \varepsilon_1/2) = \varepsilon_2 \cos \pi r_X.
$$

Now if the solution of this equation has type [\(III](#page-8-12)<sub> $_{\varphi}$ </sub>) or (III<sub>1</sub>), then  $N=3,5,7,$  15, 21. Since it can be assumed that  $Z''\neq 0$ , type "II<sub>ω</sub> + I" solutions give  $N = 3$ .

On the other hand, if  $\cos \pi (r_X - r_{Y'}) = 0$ , i.e.  $r_{Y'} = r_X + \varepsilon_1/2$  (mod 2Z),  $\varepsilon_1 = \pm 1$ , then the triple [\(III](#page-8-2)<sub> $\varphi$ </sub>) in [\(55\)](#page-19-0) is given by

$$
\cos \pi r_{\tilde{Z}} + \cos \pi (4r_X - r_Z) = \cos \pi (2r_X + \varepsilon_1/2).
$$

This relation implies that either (a)  $r_Z = 2r_X - \varepsilon_1/2 + \varepsilon_2/3$  (mod 2Z) or (b)  $r_Z = 6r_X + \varepsilon_1/2 + \varepsilon_2/3$  (mod 2Z). In the case (a) Eq. [\(56\)](#page-19-1) transforms into

$$
\cos \pi r_{Z''} + \cos \pi (4r_X - \varepsilon_1/2 + \varepsilon_2/3) = \cos \pi (2r_X + \varepsilon_1/2).
$$

Its solutions of type [\(III](#page-8-2)<sub> $\varphi$ </sub>) and "II<sub> $\varphi$ </sub> + I" lead to admissible values *N* = 3, 9. Similarly, in the case (b) relation [\(56\)](#page-19-1) gives  $N = 3, 5, 9, 15.$ 

(1.2.2) The case when the solution of [\(56\)](#page-19-1) is of type "III<sub>∞</sub> + I" is treated analogously to (1.2.1), hence we can assume that solutions of both [\(55\)](#page-19-0) and [\(56\)](#page-19-1) have the form " $II_\varphi + II_\psi$ ". Thanks to [Lemma 31,](#page-17-0) it can be assumed that  $Y'\neq 0$  so that  $\cos\pi (r_X\pm r_{Y'})$  in [\(55\),](#page-19-0) [\(56\)](#page-19-1) are divided between the two pairs. Since  $\tilde Z\neq Z''$ , we may write without loss of generality

$$
\begin{cases}\n\cos \pi (4r_X - r_Z) = \cos \pi (r_X - r_{Y'}), \\
\cos \pi (2r_X + r_Z) = \cos \pi (r_X + r_{Y'}).\n\end{cases}
$$

From the first equation follows either  $r_{Y'} = -3r_X + r_Z \pmod{2\mathbb{Z}}$  (forbidden because then  $Y = Y'$ ) or  $r_{Y'} = 5r_X - r_Z \pmod{2\mathbb{Z}}$ ). In the latter case the second equation becomes

$$
\cos \pi (2r_X + r_Z) = \cos \pi (6r_X - r_Z),
$$

and implies that  $2r_X - r_Z \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This in turn gives  $Z' = \pm 2$ , which is impossible as all points in  $O_{yz}$  are good.

*Case* (2). Suppose that *Z* and *Z'* are not equal to  $\pm 1$  (Assumption 2). Clearly for  $N \geq 9$  one will always be able to find in  $O_{yz}$  a pair  $(Z,Z')$  satisfying Assumptions 1 and 2. Then in [\(53\)](#page-18-3) the two cosines cos  $\pi$  ( $r_X\pm r_Z$ ), as well as cos  $\pi$  ( $r_X\pm r_{Z'}$ ), are divided between the two triples [\(III](#page-8-2)<sub> $_{\varphi}$ </sub>) and (III<sub> $_{\psi}$ </sub>), otherwise *X* =  $\pm$ 1 and *N* = 3. We can therefore write

<span id="page-19-2"></span>
$$
\begin{cases}\n\cos \pi r_{Y} + \cos \pi (r_{X} - r_{Z}) - \cos \pi (r_{X} - r_{Z'}) = 0, & (\text{III}_{\varphi}) \\
\cos \pi r_{Y''} - \cos \pi (r_{X} + r_{Z}) + \cos \pi (r_{X} + r_{Z'}) = 0. & (\text{III}_{\psi})\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(57)

Similarly to the proof of [Proposition 29,](#page-13-2) case (3.3) one can show that

$$
\sin\frac{\pi\,(r_Z-r_{Z'})}{2}=\pm\frac{1}{2},
$$

i.e.  $r_{Z'} = r_Z + \varepsilon_1/3$  (mod 2Z),  $\varepsilon_1 = \pm 1$ .

From  $\omega = Y + Y' + XZ = Z + Z' + XY'$  follows that

$$
(X-1)\omega = XY + (X^2 - 2)Z + Z - Z'.
$$

Substituting [\(57\)](#page-19-2) into this relation, we find

$$
(X-1)\omega = 2\cos\pi(2r_X + r_Z) + 2\cos\pi(2r_X - r_{Z'}) = 2\cos\pi(2r_X + r_Z) + 2\cos\pi(2r_X - r_Z - \varepsilon_1/3).
$$

Recall that for a simple cycle of length *N*, one may write *N* relations of the form [\(53\)](#page-18-3) which correspond to different unordered pairs (Z, Z'). Suppose there exists a second relation whose solution has the form "III<sub> $\varphi$ </sub> + III<sub> $\psi$ </sub>", and the associated pair  $(\bar{Z}, \bar{Z'})$  satisfies Assumptions 1 and 2. Then we can write

<span id="page-19-3"></span>
$$
\cos \pi (2r_X + r_Z) + \cos \pi (2r_X - r_Z - \varepsilon_1/3) = \cos \pi (2r_X + r_{\bar{Z}}) + \cos \pi (2r_X - r_{\bar{Z}} - \varepsilon_2/3),\tag{58}
$$

where  $r_{\bar{Z}'} = r_{\bar{Z}} + \varepsilon_2/3$  (mod 2Z),  $\varepsilon_2 = \pm 1$ . If  $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2$ , then [\(58\)](#page-19-3) implies that either  $N = 3$  or the pairs (*Z*, *Z'*) and ( $\bar{Z}, \bar{Z'}$ ) coincide. Let us now set  $\varepsilon_2 = -\varepsilon_1$  and consider rational solutions of [\(58\).](#page-19-3)

Solutions of type [\(IV\)](#page-8-9) and "III<sub>1</sub> + I" can lead only to  $N = 3, 5, 7, 15, 21$  and  $N = 3, 5, 15$  correspondingly. Solutions of type "III<sub> $\varphi$ </sub> + I" give *N* = 3, 9. Finally, since  $\omega \neq 0$  and it may be assumed that *X*  $\neq$  1, for solutions of type "II<sub> $\varphi$ </sub> + II<sub>V</sub>" there are two possibilities:

$$
\begin{cases}\n\cos \pi (2r_X + r_Z) = \cos \pi (2r_X + r_{\bar{Z}}), \\
\cos \pi (2r_X - r_Z - \varepsilon_1/3) = \cos \pi (2r_X - r_{\bar{Z}} + \varepsilon_1/3).\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(2.1)

If  $r_Z = r_{\bar{Z}} \pmod{2\mathbb{Z}}$ , then the second equation implies that  $r_Z = 2r_X + (1 - \varepsilon_3)/2 \pmod{2\mathbb{Z}}$ ,  $\varepsilon_3 = \pm 1$ . Assume that  $N \neq 3$ , then from the relation  $(X - 1)(Y' - Z) = Y - Z'$  we find

$$
\cos \pi r_{Y'} = \varepsilon_3 \Big( \cos 2\pi r_X - \cos \pi (r_X + \varepsilon_1/3) - \cos \pi /3 \Big).
$$

Rational solutions of this equation lead to admissible values  $N = 3, 5, 7, 9, 15$ . Now if we take as the solution of the first equation in (2.1)  $r_{\bar{Z}} = -4r_X - r_Z$  (mod 2Z), then from the second equation follows  $r_Z = -2r_X - \varepsilon_1/3 + (1-\varepsilon_3)/2$  (mod 2Z). Computing *Y'* from  $(X - 1)(Y' - Z') = Y'' - Z$ , one finds the same values of *N*.

$$
\begin{cases}\n\cos \pi (2r_X + r_Z) = \cos \pi (2r_X - r_{\bar{Z}} + \varepsilon_1/3), \\
\cos \pi (2r_X - r_Z - \varepsilon_1/3) = \cos \pi (2r_X + r_{\bar{Z}}).\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(2.2)

This case is completely analogous to (2.1).

*Case*(3). Recall that solutions of [\(24\)](#page-6-4) relevant for [\(53\)](#page-18-3) should satisfy an additional constraint  $\varepsilon_1\varphi_1 + \varepsilon_2\varphi_2 + \varepsilon_3\varphi_3 + \varepsilon_4\varphi_4 \in \mathbb{Z}$ with some  $\varepsilon_{1,2,3,4} = \pm 1$ . This condition implies that  $\varphi \pm 1/6$  in  $(VI_{\varphi})$  $(VI_{\varphi})$  belong or do not belong to  $\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4\}$ simultaneously, otherwise admissible *N* are 3, 5, 15. Furthermore if we assume that  $N \neq 3, 5, 15$ , the unordered pairs  $(r_X + r_Z, r_X - r_Z)$  and  $(r_X + r_{Z'}, r_X - r_{Z'})$  can only be equivalent to the following:

$$
(3.1) (2\varphi + 1/3, 2\varphi - 1/3)
$$
 and  $(2\varphi + 3/5, 2\varphi - 3/5)$ ,

(3.2)  $(2\varphi + 1/3, 2\varphi - 1/3)$  and  $(2\varphi + 1/5, 2\varphi - 1/5)$ ,

(3.3)  $(2\varphi + 1/5, 2\varphi - 1/5)$  and  $(2\varphi + 2/5, 2\varphi - 2/5)$ .

Here  $\varphi \in \mathbb{Q}$  and all entries in (3.1)–(3.3) are considered mod 2Z. Now observe that in (3.1) and (3.2) either *Z* or *Z'* is equal to  $\pm$ 1, therefore such 6-tuples can be excluded by Assumption 1. In the case (3.3), unordered pair  $(Z, Z')$  is equal to  $(2 \cos \pi / 5, 2 \cos 2\pi / 5)$  or  $(-2 \cos \pi / 5, -2 \cos 2\pi / 5)$ .

Let us now summarize the above results. If  $N \neq 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 15, 21$ , then *N* relations [\(53\)](#page-18-3) can have only the following solutions: √

(a) with *Z* or *Z'* equal to  $\pm$ 1,  $\pm$  $\overline{4-X^2}$ ,

(b) solutions of type "III<sub> $\varphi$ </sub> + III<sub> $\psi$ </sub>" (and "VI<sub> $\varphi$ </sub>") satisfying Assumptions 1 and 2; these appear in  $O_{\nu z}$  at most once (resp. twice).

However, under such restrictions the length of  $O_{yz}$  cannot exceed 11 because of [Lemma 23](#page-11-1) (as all  $Z_k$  in the simple cycle are distinct).  $\square$ 

**Proposition 33.** *Let*  $\omega_Y = \omega_Z = 0$ . Then either  $N \leq 15$  or the suborbit  $O_{yz}$  has the form

<span id="page-20-1"></span>
$$
\begin{cases}\nX = 2\cos \pi r_x, \\
Y_k = -2\cos \pi \left[ r_x (1 + 2k_0 - 2k) + r_z \right], \\
Z_k = 2\cos \pi \left[ 2r_x (k_0 - k) + r_z \right].\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(59)

*where k*<sup>0</sup> ∈ {0, 1, ..., *N* − 1} *and*  $r_{X,Z} \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

**Proof.** Let us consider the relation (see [Fig. 5\)](#page-18-2)

<span id="page-20-0"></span> $\cos \pi r_y + \cos \pi r_{y'} + \cos \pi (r_x + r_z) + \cos \pi (r_x - r_z) = 0.$  (60)

For  $N \geq 15$  ( $N \geq 6$  in the simple cycle case) one will always be able to find in  $O_{yz}$  a solution with  $r_{Y,Y',Z} \in \mathbb{Q}$  satisfying the restrictions *Y*, *Y'*  $\neq$  0 and *Z*  $\neq$  0,  $\pm\sqrt{4-X^2}$ . With these requirements, the solution of [\(60\)](#page-20-0) cannot be of type "III<sub>1</sub> + I" or "III<sub> $\omega$ </sub> + I" as the relation [\(60\)](#page-20-0) does not contain zero cosines. Moreover one cannot have solutions of type "II<sub> $\omega$ </sub> + II<sub> $\psi$ </sub>" with  $Y + Y' = 0$  unless  $X = 0$ , i.e.  $N = 2$ .

For the remaining " $II_{\varphi} + II_{\psi}$ " solutions one can write

 $Y = -2 \cos \pi (r_X + r_Z),$   $Y' = -2 \cos \pi (r_X - r_Z).$ 

Setting  $Y = Y_{k_0}$ ,  $Y' = Y_{k_0+1}$  we find that  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  in [\(21\)](#page-6-0) are given by

$$
\alpha = -2\cos\pi [r_X(1+2k_0)+r_Z], \qquad \beta = 2\cos\pi [2k_0r_X+r_Z],
$$

and hence  ${Y_k}$ ,  ${Z_k}$  have the form [\(59\).](#page-20-1)

Now we can assume that all solutions satisfying the above restrictions are equivalent to the quadruples [\(IV\).](#page-8-9) This leads to admissible values  $N = 3, 5, 7, 15, 30, 42$ . However, the lengths  $N = 30, 42$  can be excluded because it is not possible to generate from [\(IV\)](#page-8-9) a sufficient number of solutions with the same value of *X* and different *Z*.



**Fig. 6.** Labeling of  $\Sigma(0<sub>yz</sub>)$  in [Proposition 34.](#page-21-0)

<span id="page-21-2"></span>**Example.** Checking all the quadruples [\(IV\)](#page-8-9) with  $X = 2 \cos(\pi/30)$  we find that there are only six possible values of *Z*:  $\pm$ 2 cos 7 $\pi$  /30,  $\pm$ 2 cos 11 $\pi$  /30 and  $\pm$ 2 cos 13 $\pi$  /30.  $\Box$ 

Assume that  $O_{vz}$  has the form [\(59\).](#page-20-1) If  $\omega_X = 0$ , then from [\(10\)](#page-2-0) and [\(59\)](#page-20-1) follows that  $\omega_4 = 0$ . Finite orbits of the induced  $\bar{\Lambda}$  action [\(14\)](#page-2-4) with  $\omega_X = \omega_Y = \omega_Z = \omega_4 = 0$  will be called *Cayley orbits* because in this case the Jimbo–Fricke relation [\(10\)](#page-2-0) reduces to Cayley cubic

$$
XYZ + X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 - 4 = 0. \tag{61}
$$

Cayley orbits admit a simple characterization, though their size can be arbitrarily large. To each of these orbits one can assign in a non-unique way a pair of rational numbers. Indeed, consider an arbitrary point  $\mathbf{r} = (X, Y, Z) \in O$ . It is not fixed by at least one transformation, say *x* (we assume that *O* consists of more than one point). [Lemma 17](#page-6-7) then implies that *Y* = 2 cos  $\pi r_y$ , *Z* = 2 cos  $\pi r_z$  with  $r_{y,z} \in \mathbb{Q}$ . The relation [\(61\)](#page-21-1) can be rewritten as

$$
(X + 2\cos \pi (r_Y + r_Z))(X + 2\cos \pi (r_Y - r_Z)) = 0,
$$

hence we may assume that  $X = -2 \cos \pi (r_Y + r_Z)$  (if  $X = -2 \cos \pi (r_Y - r_Z)$ , start from  $x(X, Y, Z)$ ). Now making one step from (*X*, *Y*, *Z*) by *x*, *y* and *z* one finds

$$
\begin{cases} X(x(\mathbf{r})) = -2\cos\pi (r_Y - r_Z), \\ Y(y(\mathbf{r})) = 2\cos\pi (r_Y + 2r_Z), \\ Z(z(\mathbf{r})) = 2\cos\pi (2r_Y + r_Z). \end{cases}
$$

Continuing by induction we see that for any other point  $(X', Y', Z') \in O$  one has  $X' = 2 \cos \pi r_{X'}$ ,  $Y' = 2 \cos \pi r_{Y'}$ ,  $Z' =$ 2 cos  $\pi r_{Z'}$ , where  $r_{X',Y',Z'} \in \mathbb{Q}$  and the denominators of  $r_{X',Y',Z'}$  are divisors of the common denominator of  $r_Y$  and  $r_Z$ . [Lemma 23](#page-11-1) then guarantees that *O* is finite.

<span id="page-21-0"></span>**Proposition 34.** *Let*  $\omega_Y = \omega_Z = 0$ *. If*  $0_{yz}$  *has the form* [\(59\)](#page-20-1) *and*  $\omega_X \neq 0$ *, then*  $N \leq 12$ *.* 

**Proof.** Let us make one step by *x* from each point of  $O_{yz}$  (see [Fig. 6\)](#page-21-2). Using [\(59\),](#page-20-1) from the relations  $\omega_X = X + X_k + Y_k Z_k$  =  $X + \bar{X}_k + Y_{k+1}Z_k$  one finds

$$
\omega_X = X_k - 2 \cos \pi \left[ r_X (4k_0 - 4k + 1) + 2r_Z \right] \n= \bar{X}_k - 2 \cos \pi \left[ r_X (4k_0 - 4k - 1) + 2r_Z \right],
$$
\n(62)

for any  $k = 0, 1, \ldots, N - 1$ . If the point  $(X_k, Y_k, Z_k)$  is good then by [Lemma 17](#page-6-7)

$$
X_k = 2\cos\pi r_{X_k}, \quad r_{X_k} \in \mathbb{Q}.\tag{63}
$$

It can be bad in two cases:

(1) The graph of  $O_{yz}$  is a line,  $(X, Y_k, Z_k)$  corresponds to one of its end vertices and  $X_k = X$ . Since  $N > 1, X_k$  still has the form [\(63\).](#page-21-3)

(2)  $(X_k, Y_k, Z_k)$  is fixed by the transformations *y* and *z*. Then from [\(20\)](#page-5-3) follows that either  $X_k = \pm 2$  or  $Y_k = Z_k = 0$ . In the latter case, however, the condition *N* > 1 is violated since the whole orbit *O* consists of only two points (*X*, 0, 0) and  $(\omega_X - X, 0, 0).$ 

Thus all  $X_k$  and  $\bar{X}_k$  have the form [\(63\)](#page-21-3) and the solutions of [\(62\)](#page-21-4) are classified by [Lemma 20.](#page-8-10)

Introduce 2*N* quantities  $W_0, \ldots, W_{2N-1}$  defined by

$$
W_{2k} = X_k - \omega_X
$$
,  $W_{2k+1} = \bar{X}_k - \omega_X$ ,  $k = 0, ..., N - 1$ .

Obviously,  $W_l = 2\cos\pi\left[r_X(1+4k_0-2l)+2r_Z\right]$ . We now want to show that the number of coinciding  $W_l$  cannot exceed 4. Indeed, fix some *l*, then  $W_{l'} = W_l$  implies that (a)  $l' - l = 0$  mod *N* or (b)  $r_X(1 + 4k_0 - l - l') + 2r_Z \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The former case leads to one compatible  $W_{l'}$ , while the latter gives at most two: if  $l'_1$  and  $l'_2$  satisfy (b), then necessarily  $l'_1-l'_2=0$  mod N.

In the proof of [Propositions 26](#page-11-5) and [27](#page-12-2) we have shown that the maximal number of ordered pairs ( $\cos \pi r_1$ ,  $\cos \pi r_2$ ),  $r_{1,2} \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $\cos \pi r_1 + \cos \pi r_2 = \text{const} \neq 0$  is equal to 6. Hence the number of distinct possible values for all *Wl*'s cannot exceed 6 and the total number of  $W_l$ 's, equal to 2N, cannot exceed 24.  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-21-4"></span><span id="page-21-3"></span><span id="page-21-1"></span>

<span id="page-22-0"></span>**Table 2** Restrictions on possible values of *X* for *N* > 1.

	Restrictions on N, $n_x$	Number of possible $X$
$\omega_{\rm v}^2 \neq \omega_{\rm z}^2$	$N \leq 10$ , $n_X$ odd and even	31
$\omega_Y = \omega_Z \neq 0$	$N < 10$ , $n_X$ odd and even, $N = 11, 15, 21, n_x$ odd	46
$\omega_Y = \omega_Z = 0$ with $\omega_{\rm X} \neq 0$ or $\omega_{\rm 4} \neq 0$	$N \leq 15$ , $n_X$ odd and even	71

<span id="page-22-1"></span>

Admissible values of good coordinates.



Let us summarize the results of this subsection. Given a finite orbit *O*, common coordinate *X* of all points of any 2-colored suborbit  $O_{yz} \subset O$  of length  $N > 1$  has the form  $X = 2 \cos \pi n_X/N$ ,  $0 < n_X < N$ , where  $N$  and  $n_X$  are coprime. Unless  $\omega_X = \omega_Y = \omega_Z = \omega_4 = 0$ , one has a number of restrictions on possible values of *N* and  $n_X$  listed in [Table 2.](#page-22-0) These restrictions imply in particular that *X* can take only a finite number of explicitly defined values. In the next subsection, we use this observation to construct an exhaustive search algorithm giving all finite orbits of [\(14\).](#page-2-4)

#### *2.6. Search algorithm*

Let  $O\subset\mathbb{C}^3$  be a finite orbit of the induced  $\bar A$  action [\(14\)](#page-2-4) consisting of more than one point. Since we are interested in nonequivalent orbits, it can be assumed that the parameters  $\omega_{X}$ ,  $\gamma$ , *z* a satisfy one of the following sets of constraints:

 $(A) \omega_X^2 \neq \omega_Y^2 \neq \omega_Z^2$  $(B) \omega_X^2 \neq \omega_Y^2, \omega_Y = \omega_Z \neq 0,$  $(C) \omega_X \neq 0$ ,  $\omega_Y = \omega_Z = 0$ ,  $(D) \omega_X = \omega_Y = \omega_Z \neq 0$ ,  $(E) \omega_X = \omega_Y = \omega_Z = 0, \omega_4 \neq 0,$  $(F) \omega_X = \omega_Y = \omega_Z = \omega_4 = 0.$ 

In what follows, the case (F) will be omitted, as all finite orbits with such parameter values have already been described above.

**Definition 35.** Let  $\mathbf{r} = (X, Y, Z)$  be a point in *O*. Its coordinate *X* (or *Y*, *Z*) will be called *good* if **r** is not fixed by at least one of the transformations *y* and *z* (resp. *x* and *z*, *x* and *y*).

**Remark 36.** All coordinates of a good point are good. If **r** is a bad point, e.g. fixed by *y* and *z* but not by *x*, then it has good coordinates *Y* and *Z*.

Define three finite sets of numbers (cf. [Table 2\)](#page-22-0):

$$
\begin{aligned} \n\delta_1 &= \left\{ 2\cos\frac{\pi n}{N} \middle| 1 < N \le 10, \, n \text{ odd and even} \right\}, \\ \n\delta_2 &= \left\{ 2\cos\frac{\pi n}{N} \middle| 1 < N \le 10, \, n \text{ odd and even}; \, N = 11, \, 15, \, 21, \, n \text{ odd} \right\}, \\ \n\delta_3 &= \left\{ 2\cos\frac{\pi n}{N} \middle| 1 < N \le 15, \, n \text{ odd and even} \right\}. \n\end{aligned}
$$

In all three cases *n* is supposed to be coprime with *N* and 0 < *n* < *N*. Now the results of the previous subsection imply that good coordinates of any point  $\mathbf{r} \in O$  belong to one of these lists according to [Table 3.](#page-22-1)

Any orbit *O* is completely defined by a point  $\mathbf{r} \in O$  and the parameter triple  $\boldsymbol{\omega} = (\omega_X, \omega_Y, \omega_Z)$ . Equivalently, instead of  $\omega$  one can use three points  $x(\mathbf{r}), y(\mathbf{r}), z(\mathbf{r})$  (some of them can coincide with **r**). Denote

$$
X' = X(x(\mathbf{r})), \qquad Y' = Y(y(\mathbf{r})), \qquad Z' = Z(z(\mathbf{r})), \tag{64}
$$

then we have

<span id="page-22-2"></span>
$$
\omega_X = X + X' + YZ, \qquad \omega_Y = Y + Y' + XZ, \qquad \omega_Z = Z + Z' + XY. \tag{65}
$$

**Definition 37.** Let **r** be a good point in a finite orbit 0. The set of four points  $\{r, x(r), y(r), z(r)\}$  will be called a good generating configuration (GGC) for *O* if at least two of three points *x*(**r**), *y*(**r**), *z*(**r**) are good.

<span id="page-23-0"></span>

<span id="page-23-3"></span>**Fig. 8.** 6-vertex graph without GGCs.

<span id="page-23-1"></span>**Lemma 38.** *Let O be a finite orbit that does not contain a GGC. Then* Σ(*O*) *can only be equivalent (up to permutations of colors) to one of the four graphs shown in [Fig.](#page-23-0)* 7*.*

**Proof.** If *O* contains more than 2 points, then at least one of them is good. Denoting this point by **r**, we can assume that *y*(**r**) and  $z(\bf{r})$  are bad. Now if  $x(\bf{r}) = \bf{r}$ , then one obtains orbit III. The case when  $x(\bf{r}) \neq \bf{r}$  is bad corresponds to orbit IV. Finally, if  $x(\mathbf{r}) \neq \mathbf{r}$  is another good point, then by assumptions of the Lemma the points  $y(x(\mathbf{r}))$  and  $z(x(\mathbf{r}))$  are bad, and  $\Sigma(0)$  is given by the 6-vertex graph represented in [Fig. 8.](#page-23-1)

It turns out, however, that this last graph is forbidden. To see this, note that *yz*-suborbits 1-2-3 and 4-5-6 both have length 3, therefore *X'* and *X''* are equal to  $\pm 1$ . Since *X'*  $\neq$  *X''*, one can set *X'* = 1, *X''* = -1. Then from the relations corresponding to *y*- and *z*-edges,

$$
\omega_Y = Y + Y' + X'Z = 2Y + X'Z' = 2Y + X''Z'' = Y + Y'' + X''Z,
$$

$$
\omega_Z = Z + Z' + X'Y = 2Z + X'Y' = 2Z + X''Y'' = Z + Z'' + X''Y,
$$

it follows that  $Y = -Z' = Z''$  and  $Z = -Y' = Y''$ . Self-loops of color *x* at the points 1, 3, 4 and 6 in turn imply that  $\omega_X = 0$ ,  $Y^2 = Z^2 = 2$ . However, this is incompatible with the *x*-edge 2-5, which gives  $\omega_X = YZ$ .  $\square$ 

The orbits of [\(14\)](#page-2-4) with graphs I–IV are completely described by the following:

**Lemma 39.** 1. Orbits of type I consist of one point  $(X, Y, Z) \in \mathbb{C}^3$ . The parameters  $\omega_{X,Y,Z,4}$  are given by

<span id="page-23-2"></span>
$$
\omega_X = 2X + YZ, \qquad \omega_Y = 2Y + XZ, \qquad \omega_Z = 2Z + XY,\tag{66}
$$

$$
\omega_4 = 4 + 2XYZ + X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2. \tag{67}
$$

2. Any orbit of type II is equivalent to an orbit consisting of 2 points  $(X', 0, 0)$  and  $(X'', 0, 0)$ , where  $X', X'' \in \mathbb{C}, X' \neq X''$  and  $\omega_X = X' + X''$ ,  $\omega_Y = \omega_Z = 0$ ,  $\omega_4 = 4 + X'X''$ .

3. Any orbit of type III is equivalent to an orbit consisting of 3 points  $(1, 0, 0)$ ,  $(1, \omega, 0)$ ,  $(1, 0, \omega)$ , where  $\omega \in \mathbb{C}^*$  and  $\omega_X = 2$ ,  $\omega_Y = \omega_Z = \omega$ ,  $\omega_4 = 5$ .

4. *Any orbit of type* IV *is equivalent to an orbit consisting of* 4 *points* (1, 1, 1)*,* (ω − 2, 1, 1)*,* (1, ω − 2, 1)*,* (1, 1, ω − 2)*, where*  $\omega \in \mathbb{C}, \omega \neq 3$  and  $\omega_X = \omega_Y = \omega_Z = \omega, \omega_4 = 3\omega$ .

**Proof.** Statement 1 is obvious ( $\omega_4$  is determined from [\(10\)\)](#page-2-0), hence we start with orbits of type II. In this case, since *xy*- and *xz*-suborbits 1-2 have length 2, one finds  $Y = Z = 0$ . From the relations corresponding to the self-loops then follows  $\omega_Y = \omega_Z = 0$ .

For orbits of type III, *xy*-suborbit 1-2 and *xz*-suborbit 2-3 both have length 2, therefore  $Y = Z = 0$ . Similarly, *yz*-suborbit 1-2-3 has length 3 and thus  $X = \pm 1$ . Since the simultaneous change of signs of e.g.  $\omega_X$ ,  $\omega_Y$ , and also X- and *Y*-coordinates of all points leads to an equivalent orbit, one can set  $X = 1$ , and then *x*-self-loop at the point 2 gives  $\omega_X = 2$ . At last, *y*- and *z*-edges of the graph imply that  $\omega_Y = \omega_Z = Y' = Z'$ .

In graph IV, *xy*-suborbit 1-4-2, *xz*-suborbit 1-4-3 and *yz*-suborbit 2-4-3 have length 3, therefore *X*, *Y* and *Z* are equal to  $\pm$ 1. It can be assumed that either (a)  $X = Y = Z = 1$  or (b)  $X = Y = Z = -1$ . In the case (a), y- and *z*-self-loops at the point 1 imply that  $\omega_Y = \omega_Z = 2 + X'$ , hence by symmetry

 $\omega_X = \omega_Y = \omega_Z = 2 + X' = 2 + Y' = 2 + Z',$ 

and the relations corresponding to the edges 1-4, 2-4 and 3-4 are satisfied automatically. In the case (b), one similarly finds  $\omega_X = \omega_Y = \omega_Z = -2 - X' = -2 - Y' = -2 - Z'$ , but e.g. the relation 1-2 gives  $\omega_X = X'$ . Thus  $X' = -1$  and we obtain a contradiction.

Unless  $\omega_X = \omega_Y = \omega_Z = \omega_A = 0$ , one has only a finite number of GGCs (and hence only a finite number of finite orbits different from I to IV). Indeed, these configurations can be of two types:

Type (i). All four points  $\mathbf{r}, x(\mathbf{r}), y(\mathbf{r}), z(\mathbf{r}) \in O$  are good. In this case six coordinates X, Y, Z, X', Y', Z' (defined by [\(64\)\)](#page-22-2) are good, hence each of them can take only a finite number of values, as specified in [Table 3.](#page-22-1)

Type (ii). One of three points  $x(r)$ ,  $y(r)$ ,  $z(r) \in O$  is bad. Suppose e.g. that  $x(r)$  is bad, then X, Y, Z, Y', Z' are good coordinates, but *X* ′ is not. However, since *x*(**r**) is fixed by *y* and *z*, we have the equations

<span id="page-24-1"></span><span id="page-24-0"></span>
$$
\begin{cases}\n\omega_Y = 2Y + X'Z = Y + Y' + XZ, \\
\omega_Z = 2Z + X'Y = Z + Z' + XY.\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(68)

Unless  $Y = Z = 0$ , one can use [\(68\)](#page-24-0) to express X' in terms of good coordinates. Also notice that *Y*, *Z*, *Y'*, *Z'* should satisfy an additional relation

$$
Y(Y - Y') = Z(Z - Z').
$$
\n(69)

On the other hand if  $Y = Z = 0$ , then [\(68\)](#page-24-0) implies that  $\omega_Y = \omega_Z = 0$ , the orbit *O* is of type II and in particular it does not contain a GGC.

Let us now describe in more detail the sets of good coordinates generating all possible candidates for finite orbits, different from orbits I–IV and those of Cayley type:

*Class* 1 (A-i). Here one has 31<sup>6</sup>  $\approx 10^9$  GGCs, corresponding to all possible *X*, *Y*, *Z*, *X'*, *Y'*, *Z'*  $\in$  *8*<sub>1</sub>. Since we are interested in nonequivalent orbits, it can be assumed that either  $0 < X < Y < Z$  or  $0 > X > Y > Z$ , and then the above number reduces to  $16 \cdot 17 \cdot 18 \cdot 31^3/3 - 1 = 48618911$ . We do not exclude the remaining equivalent GGCs for simplicity of the algorithm. *Class* 2 (A-ii, B-ii, C-ii, D-ii, E-ii). In this case it is convenient to deal not only with ω*X*,*Y*,*<sup>Z</sup>* satisfying one of the conditions

(A)–(E), but also with equivalent parameter triples. One can then assume that  $x(r)$  is bad and  $0 \le Y \le Z, Z > 0$ . Since *Z'* can now be determined from [\(69\),](#page-24-1) the whole orbit is completely fixed by four good coordinates *X*, *Y*, *Z*, *Y* ′ , taking their values in the set

$$
\delta_4 = \left\{ 2 \cos \frac{\pi n}{N} \middle| 1 < N \leq 15, N = 21, n \text{ odd and even} \right\},\
$$

consisting of 83 elements. The total number of configurations to be checked is therefore equal to  $41 \cdot 22 \cdot 83^2 = 6213878$ . *Class* 3 (B-i, C-i). Here we use good coordinates  $X, X' \in \mathcal{S}_4$ ,  $Y, Y', Z \in \mathcal{S}_1$ , while  $Z'$  is computed from

$$
Z' = (Y + Y' + XZ) - Z - XY,
$$

and it can be assumed that  $0 \leq |Y| \leq Z$ . This gives  $16^2 \cdot 31 \cdot 83^2 = 54671104$  configurations, from which we should choose only those with  $Z' \in \mathcal{S}_1$ .

*Class* 4 (D-i, E-i). These orbits are completely determined by *X*, *X'*, *Y*, *Z*  $\in$  *8*<sub>4</sub>. Since *x*(**r**), *y*(**r**), *z*(**r**) are good, it can be assumed that  $X \leq Y \leq Z$ , which leads to  $83^2 \cdot 84 \cdot 85/6 = 8197910$  possibilities.

In order to check which generating sets do actually lead to finite orbits, one can use the following algorithm:

- 1. Consider any generating set from the above as a set P of known orbit *points* and known *adjacency relations* between them. E.g. if it is known by construction that  $x(r) = r'$  for some  $r, r' \in \mathcal{P}$ , we will say that  $r'$  is a known *x*-neighbor of **r** and vice versa. Thus any point **r** ∈ P has at most 3 known neighbors, corresponding to x-, y- and z-edges originating from **r**.
- 2. If the set is characterized by  $\omega_X = \omega_Y = \omega_Z = \omega_4 = 0$ , the algorithm stops (the only finite orbits with such parameters are Cayley orbits).
- 3. Using  $P$ , construct the set  $P^u$  of points with at least one unknown neighbor.
- 4. Choose an arbitrary point  $\mathbf{r} = (X, Y, Z) \in \mathcal{P}^u$ . Assume for definiteness that its *x*-neighbor  $x(\mathbf{r}) = (X', Y, Z)$  is unknown. Then compute  $X'$  and proceed as follows:
	- 4.1. If  $(X', Y, Z) \in \mathcal{P}^u$ , then add the appropriate *x*-adjacency relation to  $\mathcal P$ , update  $\mathcal P^u$  and go to Step 5, else
	- 4.2. If *X'* has a good value (in practice it is sufficient to require  $X' \in \mathcal{S}_4$ ), then add  $(X', Y, Z)$  and the appropriate x-adjacency relation to  $\mathcal P$ , update  $\mathcal P^u$  and go to Step 4, else
- 4.3. If  $2Y + X'Z = \omega_Y$  and  $2Z + X'Y = \omega_Z$ , then add  $(X', Y, Z)$  and the appropriate *x*-, *y* and *z*-adjacency relations to *P*, update  $\mathcal{P}^u$  and go to Step 5, else the algorithm stops (the orbit cannot be finite).
- 5. If  $\mathcal{P}^u$  is empty, the algorithm stops (the orbit is finite and its points are given by  $\mathcal P$  ), otherwise go to Step 4.

**Remark 40.** It is easy to see that the algorithm stops after a finite number of steps. Indeed, the total number *N<sup>g</sup>* of good points in any finite orbit which is not of Cayley type cannot exceed 71<sup>2</sup>  $\cdot$  2 = 10082, while the number of bad points cannot exceed  $N_g + 2$ .

#### *2.7. List of finite orbits*

We have implemented the above algorithm with a computer program written in C language. The check of all generating sets took less than 10 min on a usual 1.7 GHz desktop computer. It turned out that there are only 45 nonequivalent finite exceptional orbits, different from orbits I–IV and Cayley orbits. We describe these orbits in [Table 4](#page-26-0) by indicating one of the orbit points

 $(X, Y, Z) = (2 \cos \pi r_X, 2 \cos \pi r_Y, 2 \cos \pi r_Z),$ 

and the parameter triple ( $\omega_X$ ,  $\omega_Y$ ,  $\omega_Z$ ). For further convenience, we also include the value of  $4 - \omega_A$ , computed from the Jimbo–Fricke relation [\(10\).](#page-2-0) The graphs of exceptional  $\bar{\Lambda}$  orbits are shown in [Figs. 9–11](#page-27-0) (marked vertices correspond to the points listed in [Table 4\)](#page-26-0).

<span id="page-25-0"></span>Our results can now be summarized in

**Theorem 1.** *The list of all nonequivalent finite orbits of the induced*  $\bar{\Lambda}$  *action* [\(14\)](#page-2-4) *consists of the following:* 

- *four orbits* I–IV *, described in [Lemma](#page-23-2)* 39*;*
- *45 exceptional orbits listed in [Table](#page-26-0)* 4*;*
- *Cayley orbits; all of these can be generated from the points*

 $(-2 \cos \pi (r_Y + r_Z), 2 \cos \pi r_Y, 2 \cos \pi r_Z), \quad r_{Y,Z} \in \mathbb{Q}$ 

*with*  $\omega_X = \omega_Y = \omega_Z = 0$  *(the relation*  $\omega_4 = 0$  *is satisfied automatically).* 

**Remark 41.** Note that the graphs of orbits I–IV and of all exceptional orbits except orbits 30, 43–45 contain self-loops. It means in particular that these orbits do not split under the action of non-extended modular group  $\Lambda$ . In fact the last statement holds for orbits 30, 43–45 as well, because in all four cases the orbit graphs contain simple cycles with an odd number of edges.

We now turn to the description of nonequivalent finite orbits of the  $\bar{\Lambda}$  action [\(7\)](#page-2-2) on M. Note that, given  $\omega_{X,Y,Z}$ , Eqs. [\(11\)](#page-2-5)[–\(12\)](#page-2-6) have only a finite number of solutions for  $\{p_x, p_y, p_z, p_\infty\}$ . In fact this number cannot exceed 24, see proof of [Proposition 10,](#page-4-3) and all such solutions are related by the affine *D*<sup>4</sup> transformations. A natural question is therefore: when does the 7-tuple  $\mathbf{p}=(p_x,p_y,p_z,p_\infty,X,Y,Z)$  (see [\(8\),](#page-2-1) [\(9\)\)](#page-2-3) completely fix the conjugacy class of the triple  $(M_x,M_y,M_z)\in G^3$ ,  $G = SL(2, \mathbb{C})$  in  $\mathcal{M} = G^3/G$ ?

<span id="page-25-3"></span>Let us first prove an auxiliary result:

**Lemma 42.** Let M<sup>a</sup> , M<sup>b</sup> , M $^c$   $\in$  G be three matrices such that the eigenvalues of at least one of them are different from  $\pm$ 1. Then *one and only one of the following holds:*

1. *Seven quantities*

 $t_a = \text{Tr } M^a$ ,  $t_b = \text{Tr } M^b$ ,  $t_c = \text{Tr } M^c$ ,  $t_{abc} = \text{Tr } (M^a M^b M^c)$ ,  $(70)$ 

<span id="page-25-2"></span><span id="page-25-1"></span>
$$
t_{ab} = \text{Tr}\left(M^a M^b\right), \qquad t_{ac} = \text{Tr}\left(M^a M^c\right), \qquad t_{bc} = \text{Tr}\left(M^b M^c\right), \tag{71}
$$

*completely fix the conjugacy class of the triple*  $(M^a, M^b, M^c)$  *in*  $\mathcal{M}$ *;* 2. *M<sup>a</sup>* , *M<sup>b</sup>* , *M<sup>c</sup> have a common eigenvector.*

**Proof.** Using the same tricks as in the proof of [Lemma 5,](#page-2-7) one easily expresses  $t_{bac} = \text{Tr}\left(M^bM^aM^c\right)$  in terms of [\(70\)–](#page-25-1)[\(71\):](#page-25-2)

$$
t_{bac} = \text{Tr} \left( \left[ t_{ab} \mathbf{1} - (M^a)^{-1} (M^b)^{-1} \right] M^c \right) = t_{ab} t_c - \text{Tr} \left( (t_a \mathbf{1} - M^a) (t_b \mathbf{1} - M^b) M^c \right)
$$
  
=  $t_{ab} t_c + t_{ac} t_b + t_{bc} t_a - t_a t_b t_c - t_{abc}$ .

We may therefore assume without loss of generality that the eigenvalues of  $M^a$  are not equal to  $\pm 1$ ; in particular,  $M^a$  is diagonalizable. It is convenient to transform it into diagonal form  $M^a = \text{diag}(\lambda_a, \lambda_a^{-1})$ , for  $t_b$  and  $t_{ab}$  ( $t_c$  and  $t_{ac}$ ) fix  $M_{11}^b$ ,  $M_{22}^b$  and  $M_{12}^b M_{21}^b$  (resp.  $M_{11}^c$ ,  $M_{22}^c$  and  $M_{12}^c M_{21}^c$ ). The equations for  $t_{bc}$  and  $t_{abc}$ , in their turn, completely determine  $(M^bM^c)_{11}$  and  $(M^bM^c)_{22}$ , hence the products  $M^b_{12}M^c_{21}$  and  $M^b_{21}M^c_{12}$  are also fixed.

<span id="page-26-0"></span>**Table 4** Exceptional finite  $\bar{\Lambda}$  orbits.

	Size	$(\omega_X, \omega_Y, \omega_Z, 4-\omega_4)$	$(r_X, r_Y, r_Z)$
1	5	(0, 1, 1, 0)	(2/3, 1/3, 1/3)
2	5	$(3, 2, 2, -3)$	(1/3, 1/3, 1/3)
3	6	(1, 0, 0, 2)	(1/2, 1/3, 1/3)
4	6	$(\sqrt{2}, 0, 0, 1)$	(1/4, 1/3, 3/4)
5	6	$(3, 2\sqrt{2}, 2\sqrt{2}, -4)$	(1/2, 1/4, 1/4)
6	6	$\left(1-\sqrt{5}, \frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2}, \frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2}, -2+\sqrt{5}\right)$	(4/5, 1/3, 1/3)
7	6	$\left(1+\sqrt{5},\frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2},\frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2},-2-\sqrt{5}\right)$	(2/5, 1/3, 1/3)
8	7	(1, 1, 1, 0)	(1/2, 1/2, 1/2)
9	8	(2, 0, 0, 0)	(0, 1/3, 2/3)
10	8	$(1, \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2}, 0)$	(1/2, 1/2, 1/2)
11	8	$\left(\frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2}, 1, 1, -\frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}\right)$	(1/3, 1/2, 1/2)
12	8	$\left(\frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2}, 1, 1, \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}\right)$	(1/3, 1/2, 1/2)
13	9	$\left(2-\sqrt{5},2-\sqrt{5},2-\sqrt{5},\frac{5\sqrt{5}-7}{2}\right)$	(4/5, 3/5, 3/5)
14	9	$\left(2+\sqrt{5},2+\sqrt{5},2+\sqrt{5},-\frac{5\sqrt{5}+7}{2}\right)$	(2/5, 1/5, 1/5)
15	10	(1, 0, 0, 1)	(1/3, 1/3, 2/3)
16	10	$\left(3-\sqrt{5},3-\sqrt{5},3-\sqrt{5},\frac{7\sqrt{5}-11}{2}\right)$	(3/5, 3/5, 3/5)
17	10	$\left(3+\sqrt{5},3+\sqrt{5},3+\sqrt{5},-\frac{7\sqrt{5}+11}{2}\right)$	(1/5, 1/5, 1/5)
18	10	$\left(-\frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}, -\frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}, -\frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}, 0\right)$	(1/2, 1/2, 1/2)
19	10	$\left(\frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}, 0\right)$	(1/2, 1/2, 1/2)
20	12	(0, 0, 0, 3)	(2/3, 1/4, 1/4)
21	12	(1, 0, 0, 2)	(0, 1/4, 3/4)
22	12	$(2, \sqrt{5}, \sqrt{5}, -2)$	(1/5, 2/5, 2/5)
23	12	$\left(\frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2},\frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2},\frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2},-\sqrt{5}\right)$	(2/5, 2/5, 2/5)
24	12	$\left(\frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2},\frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2},\frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2},\sqrt{5}\right)$	(4/5, 4/5, 4/5)
25	12	$\left(\frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}, 1, 0\right)$	(1/2, 1/2, 1/2)
26	15	$\left(\frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2}, \frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2}, \frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2}, \sqrt{5}-1\right)$	(1/2, 3/5, 3/5)
27	15	$\left(\frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2}, \frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2}, \frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2}, -\sqrt{5}-1\right)$	(1/2, 1/5, 1/5)
28	15	$\left(\frac{5-\sqrt{5}}{2}, 1-\sqrt{5}, 1-\sqrt{5}, \frac{3\sqrt{5}-5}{2}\right)$	(3/5, 4/5, 4/5)
29	15	$\left(\frac{5+\sqrt{5}}{2}, 1+\sqrt{5}, 1+\sqrt{5}, -\frac{3\sqrt{5}+5}{2}\right)$	(1/5, 2/5, 2/5)
30	16	(0, 0, 0, 2)	(2/3, 2/3, 2/3)
31	18	$(2, 2, 2, -1)$	(0, 1/5, 3/5)
32	18	$(1 - 2 \cos 2\pi / 7, 1 - 2 \cos 2\pi / 7, 1 - 2 \cos 2\pi / 7, 4 \cos 2\pi / 7)$	(6/7, 5/7, 5/7)
33	18	$(1 - 2\cos 4\pi / 7, 1 - 2\cos 4\pi / 7, 1 - 2\cos 4\pi / 7, 4\cos 4\pi / 7)$	(2/7, 3/7, 3/7)
34	18	$(1 - 2\cos 6\pi / 7, 1 - 2\cos 6\pi / 7, 1 - 2\cos 6\pi / 7, 4\cos 6\pi / 7)$	(4/7, 1/7, 1/7)
35	20	$\left(\frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2}, 0, 0, 1+\sqrt{5}\right)$	(0, 1/3, 2/3)
36	20	$\left(\frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2}, 0, 0, 1-\sqrt{5}\right)$	(0, 1/3, 2/3)
37	20	$\left(1, -\frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}, -\frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}\right)$	(2/3, 3/5, 3/5)
38	20	$\left(1, \frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}, -\frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}\right)$	(2/3, 1/5, 1/5)

(*continued on next page*)





orbit 2

<span id="page-27-0"></span>

















orbits 16,17









**Fig. 9.** Graphs of exceptional orbits 1–20.

۱z

 $\boldsymbol{x}$ 



**Fig. 10.** Graphs of exceptional orbits 21–38.

If  $M_{12}^bM_{21}^b = M_{12}^cM_{21}^c = M_{12}^bM_{21}^c = M_{21}^bM_{12}^c = 0$ , then either  $M_{12}^b = M_{12}^c = 0$  or  $M_{21}^b = M_{21}^c = 0$ , i.e.  $M^{a,b,c}$  are simultaneously lower or upper triangular. On the other hand if at least one of the four products, say  $M_{12}^bM_{21}^b$ , is non-zero, then, using the remaining freedom of conjugation of  $M^{a,b,c}$  by any diagonal matrix, one can set  $M_{12}^b = 1$  and  $M_{21}^b (\neq 0)$ ,  $M_{12}^c$ <br>and  $M_{21}^c$  become completely fixed. Moreover, in this case  $M^{a,b,c}$  clearly c

<span id="page-28-0"></span>**Lemma 43.** *Let*  $M_x$ ,  $M_y$ ,  $M_z \in G$ . One and only one of the following holds:

- 1. Conjugacy class of the triple  $(M_x, M_y, M_z)$  in M is uniquely fixed by the 7-tuple  $\mathbf{p} = (p_x, p_y, p_z, p_\infty, X, Y, Z)$ , defined *by* [\(8\)](#page-2-1)*–*[\(9\)](#page-2-3)*.*
- 2. *Mx*, *My*, *M<sup>z</sup> have a common eigenvector.*



**Fig. 11.** Graphs of exceptional orbits 39–45.

 $\overline{\nu}$ 

 $\dot{v}$ 

**Proof.** When the eigenvalues of at least one of three matrices  $M_{x,y,z}$  are not equal to  $\pm 1$ , the statement is equivalent to the previous lemma.

Similarly, if e.g. the eigenvalues of  $M_xM_y$  (or  $M_xM_y^{-1}$ ) are different from  $\pm 1$ , we can apply [Lemma 42](#page-25-3) to the triple  $M^a = M_x M_y$ ,  $M^b = M_y^{-1}$ ,  $M^c = M_z$  (resp.  $M^a = M_x M_y^{-1}$ ,  $M^b = M_y$ ,  $M^c = M_z$ ). Since  $t_a$ ,  $t_b$ ,  $t_c$ ,  $t_{ab}$ ,  $t_{ac}$ ,  $t_{bc}$ ,  $t_{abc}$  are clearly expressible in terms of **p**, the conjugacy class of (M<sup>a</sup>, M<sup>b</sup>, M<sup>c</sup>), and hence that of (M<sub>x</sub>, M<sub>y</sub>, M<sub>z</sub>), is fixed unless M<sup>a,b,c</sup> can be simultaneously brought to lower or upper triangular form.

Therefore, it is sufficient to prove the lemma in the case when the eigenvalues of  $M_{x,y,z}$ ,  $M_xM_y^{\pm 1}$ ,  $M_xM_z^{\pm 1}$  and  $M_yM_z^{\pm 1}$ are equal to  $\pm 1$ . We can assume without loss of generality that Tr  $M_x = Tr M_y = Tr M_z = 2$ , but then from the relation  $\text{Tr}\left(M_\text{x} M_\text{y}\right)+\text{Tr}\left(M_\text{x} M_\text{y}^{-1}\right)=\text{Tr}\,M_\text{x}\cdot\text{Tr}\,M_\text{y}$  follows that  $\text{Tr}\left(M_\text{x} M_\text{y}\right)=2$ . Similarly, one has  $\text{Tr}\left(M_\text{x} M_\text{z}\right)=\text{Tr}\left(M_\text{y} M_\text{z}\right)=2$ . Now, if we transform  $M_x$  into upper triangular form, the relations Tr  $M_x =$  Tr  $M_y =$  Tr  $(M_xM_y) = 2$  imply that either  $M_x$  is the identity matrix or *M<sup>y</sup>* is also upper triangular. Combining with analogous result for *M<sup>x</sup>* , *M<sup>z</sup>* we see that all three matrices should have a common eigenvector.  $\square$ 

**Lemma 44.** If three matrices  $M_x, M_y, M_z \in G$  have a common eigenvector, then the elements of **p** satisfy characteristic *relations* [\(66\)](#page-23-3) *of orbit I, with*  $\omega_X$ ,  $\gamma$ *z defined by* [\(11\)](#page-2-5)*.* 

**Proof.** Transforming  $M_{x,y,z}$  into upper triangular form, we see that **p** can be written in terms of the eigenvalues of  $M_{x,y,z}$ . It is sufficient to substitute these expressions into the relations [\(66\)](#page-23-3) to check that they are satisfied automatically.  $\square$ 

We now formulate a converse statement:

**Lemma 45.** Let  $M_x$ ,  $M_y$ ,  $M_z \in G$  be three matrices with no common eigenvector. If **p** satisfies the relations [\(66\)](#page-23-3), then at least one *of four matrices*  $M_x$ ,  $M_y$ ,  $M_z$ ,  $M_zM_yM_x$  is equal to  $\pm 1$ .

**Proof.** Using [\(66\)](#page-23-3) and [\(10\),](#page-2-0) write  $\omega_4$  in terms of *X*, *Y*, *Z*:

$$
\omega_4 = 4 + 2XYZ + X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2.
$$

Substituting the expressions for  $\omega_{X,Y,Z,4}$  into the cubic equation [\(15\)](#page-4-1) for  $\xi = p_x^2 + p_y^2 + p_z^2 + p_\infty^2$ , one finds that it has only two solutions: (1)  $\xi = 8 + XYZ$  and (2)  $\xi = 4 + X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2$ .

*Case*(1). Let us write  $X = 2\cos\pi r_X$ ,  $Y = 2\cos\pi r_Y$ ,  $Z = 2\cos\pi r_Z$ . It is straightforward to check that  $(p_x^0, p_y^0, p_z^0, p_\infty^0)$  defined by

$$
p_x^0 = 2 \cos \pi (r_Y + r_Z - r_X)/2, \quad p_y^0 = 2 \cos \pi (r_X + r_Z - r_Y)/2, p_z^0 = 2 \cos \pi (r_X + r_Y - r_Z)/2, \quad p_\infty^0 = 2 \cos \pi (r_X + r_Z + r_Y)/2,
$$

is one of possible solutions for  $(p_x, p_y, p_z, p_\infty)$ . All other solutions characterized by the same value of  $\xi$  have the form [\(17\),](#page-5-5) see the proof of [Proposition 10.](#page-4-3) However, it is not difficult to show that for all such  $(p_x, p_y, p_z, p_\infty)$  one can find infinitely many triples  $(M'_x, M'_y, M'_z)$  of upper triangular matrices with the same **p** as  $(M_x, M_y, M_z)$ . E.g. if  $p_v = p_v^0$ ,  $v = x, y, z, \infty$ , then we may set

$$
M'_{x} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\pi (r_{Y} + r_{Z} - r_{X})/2} & * \\ 0 & e^{-i\pi (r_{Y} + r_{Z} - r_{X})/2} \end{pmatrix},
$$
  
\n
$$
M'_{y} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\pi (r_{X} + r_{Z} - r_{Y})/2} & * \\ 0 & e^{-i\pi (r_{X} + r_{Z} - r_{Y})/2} \end{pmatrix},
$$
  
\n
$$
M'_{z} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\pi (r_{X} + r_{Y} - r_{Z})/2} & * \\ 0 & e^{-i\pi (r_{X} + r_{Y} - r_{Z})/2} \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Now since **p** does not fix the conjugacy class of the triple (*Mx*, *My*, *M<sup>z</sup>* ) uniquely, by [Lemma 43](#page-28-0) *Mx*,*y*,*<sup>z</sup>* should have a common eigenvector.

*Case*(2). Here, one possible solution for  $(p_x, p_y, p_z, p_\infty)$  is

<span id="page-30-0"></span>
$$
p_x^0 = X, \qquad p_y^0 = Y, \qquad p_z^0 = Z, \qquad p_\infty^0 = 2,\tag{72}
$$

and all the others are given by [\(17\).](#page-5-5) Consider the solution [\(72\)](#page-30-0) and transform  $M_zM_yM_x$  into upper triangular form:  $M_zM_yM_x$  =  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Since

$$
X = \text{Tr}(M_{y}M_{z}) = \text{Tr}(M_{z}M_{y}M_{x} \cdot M_{x}^{-1}) = p_{x} - \alpha (M_{x})_{21},
$$

the relation  $p_x = X$  implies that either  $M_z M_y M_x = 1$  or  $M_x$  is upper triangular. Repeating the same procedure with  $p_y = Y$ ,  $p_z = Z$  and using the assumption that  $M_{x,y,z}$  have no common eigenvectors, one concludes that  $M_zM_yM_x = 1$ . Other solutions for  $(p_x, p_y, p_z, p_\infty)$  are treated in a similar manner.  $\square$ 

We thus obtain a description of all nonequivalent finite orbits of the  $\bar{\Lambda}$  action [\(7\)](#page-2-2) on  $\mathcal{M}$ :

- There are two families of nonequivalent orbits that consist of one point. They are given by the conjugacy classes of triples (a)  $(1,M_y,M_z)$  and (b)  $(M_x,M_y,M_x^{-1}M_y^{-1})$ , where  $M_y,M_z$  in (a) and  $M_x,M_y$  in (b) have no common eigenvectors,  $M_{x,y,z}\in G$ .
- Each finite orbit *O* of the induced  $\bar{\Lambda}$  action [\(14\)](#page-2-4) that consists of more than one point (i.e. each of orbits II–IV, 1–45 and Cayley orbits of size greater than one) generates a finite number of orbits of [\(7\),](#page-2-2) which have the same size as *O* and correspond to different 4-tuples  $(p_x, p_y, p_z, p_\infty)$  solving [\(11\)](#page-2-5) and [\(12\).](#page-2-6) (Recall that the parameters  $\omega_{X,Y,Z,4}$  for orbits II–IV and 1–45 are specified by [Lemma 39](#page-23-2) and [Table 4,](#page-26-0) while for Cayley orbits  $\omega_X = \omega_Y = \omega_Z = \omega_4 = 0$ .) Once a solution for  $(p_x, p_y, p_z, p_\infty)$  is chosen, the orbit in M is completely fixed by the 7-tuple  $(p_x, p_y, p_z, p_\infty, X, Y, Z)$ , where  $(X, Y, Z)$ is any point in *O*.
- All remaining finite orbits of [\(7\)](#page-2-2) belong to the space U ⊂ M of conjugacy classes of triples of upper triangular *SL*(2, C) matrices.

#### <span id="page-31-0"></span>**3. Algebraic Painlevé VI solutions**

We are now prepared for the classification of PVI solutions with finite branching up to parameter equivalence.

**Definition 46.** Let us associate to any PVI solution branch the 7-tuple of monodromy data  $(\omega_X, \omega_Y, \omega_Z, \omega_4, X, Y, Z) \in \mathbb{C}^7$ defined by [\(8\)–\(12\).](#page-2-1) Two finite branch PVI solutions will be called

- equivalent if they are related by Bäcklund transformations specified in [Table 1;](#page-4-0)
- parameter equivalent if their analytic continuation leads to equivalent (under  $K_4 \rtimes S_3$  transformations of Subsection Section [2.2\)](#page-3-1) orbits in the space of 7-tuples of monodromy data.

**Remark 47.** Our parameter equivalence is strictly stronger than that of [\[21\]](#page-39-15), and is rather similar to geometric equivalence, cf. [\[21\]](#page-39-15), Def. 8. In particular, it distinguishes solutions 3, 21 and 42 (see below), whose parameters  $\theta = (\theta_x, \theta_y, \theta_z, \theta_\infty)$  lie in the same orbit of the Okamoto affine *F*<sup>4</sup> action. Another such example is given by solutions 20 and 45.

**Remark 48.** In [\[21\]](#page-39-15), p. 13 it is stated that the four-branch octahedral PVI solution [\[6\]](#page-39-2)

<span id="page-31-1"></span>
$$
w = \frac{(s-1)^2}{s(s-2)}, \qquad t = \frac{(s+1)(s-1)^3}{s^3(s-2)},\tag{73}
$$

with parameters  $\theta = (\vartheta, \vartheta, \vartheta, 1 - 3\vartheta)$  and the four-branch dihedral solution IV below are inequivalent for  $\vartheta = \theta = 1/6$ , although characterized by the same parameters. This seems to be incorrect; replacing  $s \mapsto 1/(s + 1)$  in [\(73\)](#page-31-1) and applying to w affine  $D_4$  transformation  $s_x s_y s_z s_\delta s_x s_y s_z$ , one finds solution IV with  $\theta = 1/2 - 2\vartheta$ . Despite the failure of the above counterexample, our parameter equivalence is presumably weaker than the equivalence under Bäcklund transformations.

Let us now examine one by one all finite orbits listed in [Theorem 1](#page-25-0) (recall that finite orbits which are not of Cayley type do not split under the action of Λ). First consider orbit I, consisting of a single point. In this case all solutions of Painlevé VI can be found explicitly. In particular, for reducible monodromy (i.e. when *Mx*, *My*, *M<sup>z</sup>* have a common eigenvector) PVI equation linearizes and one has the following:

**Proposition 49** (*Theorem 4.1 in [\[28\]](#page-39-22)*)**.** *All solutions of PVI corresponding to reducible monodromy are equivalent to the oneparameter family of Riccati solutions*

<span id="page-31-2"></span>
$$
w(t) = \frac{(1 + \theta_x + \theta_z - t - \theta_z t)u(t) - t(t - 1)u'(t)}{(1 + \theta_x + \theta_y + \theta_z)u(t)},
$$
\n(74)

*realized for*  $\theta_{\infty} = -(\theta_x + \theta_y + \theta_z)$ , where  $u(t) = u_1(t) + v u_2(t)$  and  $u_{1,2}(t)$  are two linear independent solutions of the following *hypergeometric equation:*

<span id="page-31-3"></span>
$$
t(1-t)u'' + [(2+\theta_x+\theta_z) - (4+\theta_x+\theta_y+2\theta_z)t]u' - (2+\theta_x+\theta_y+\theta_z)(\theta_z+1)u = 0.
$$
\n(75)

**Remark 50.** It is well-known that one-parameter family [\(74\)](#page-31-2) contains solutions with a finite number of branches if and only if the parameters of the hypergeometric equation [\(75\)](#page-31-3) belong to the Schwarz table, see [\[29\]](#page-39-23) or [Table 1](#page-4-0) in [\[3\]](#page-38-2).

The solutions of PVI in the case of "1-smaller monodromy", when one of the matrices  $M_x$ ,  $M_y$ ,  $M_z$  or  $M_\infty=(M_zM_yM_x)^{-1}$ is equal to  $\pm$ **1**, have been completely described in [\[30\]](#page-39-24). Any such solution is either (i) degenerate ( $w = 0, 1, t, \infty$ ) or (ii) equivalent via Bäcklund transformations to a Riccati solution or (iii) belongs to a set of generalized Chazy solutions, expressible in terms of hypergeometric functions; see Lemma 33 in [\[30\]](#page-39-24) for the details.

Next we consider Cayley orbits. Since in this case  $\omega_X = \omega_Y = \omega_Z = \omega_4 = 0$ , the 4-tuple  $(p_x, p_y, p_z, p_\infty)$  can only be  $(0, 0, 0, 0)$  or a permutation of  $(\pm 2, \pm 2, \pm 2, \mp 2)$ . This in turn implies that the 4-tuple of PVI parameters  $(\theta_x, \theta_y, \theta_z, \theta_\infty)$ consists of either (i) 1 odd and 3 even integers or (ii) 1 even and 3 odd integers or (iii) all four θ*x*,*y*,*z*,<sup>∞</sup> have half-integer values. For  $\theta_x = \theta_y = \theta_z = 0$ ,  $\theta_\infty = 1$  the general solution of Painlevé VI is known:

**Proposition 51.** All solutions of the sixth Painlevé equation with  $\theta_x = \theta_y = \theta_z = 0$ ,  $\theta_\infty = 1$  are given by Picard solutions

$$
w(t) = \wp \left( v_1 u_1 + v_2 u_2; u_1, u_2 \right) + \frac{t+1}{3}, \quad v_{1,2} \in \mathbb{C}, 0 \le \text{Re } v_{1,2} < 2,\tag{76}
$$

*where*  $\wp(z; u_1, u_2)$  *is the Weierstrass elliptic function and*  $u_{1,2}(t)$  *are two linearly independent solutions of the following hypergeometric equation:*

$$
4t(1-t)u'' + 4(1-2t)u' - u = 0,
$$
\n(77)

*namely,*

$$
u_1 = \frac{\pi}{2} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},1,t\right), \qquad u_2 = \frac{i\pi}{2} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},1,1-t\right).
$$

**Proof.** This statement was proved by Fuchs in [\[31\]](#page-39-25).  $\Box$ 

All finite branch solutions corresponding to Cayley orbits are therefore parameter equivalent to solutions from the above two-parameter family. Equivalence under Bäcklund transformations is slightly more subtle, see e.g. [\[32\]](#page-39-26).

There remain precisely 45 parameter inequivalent finite branch PVI solutions and three families depending on continuous parameters, which correspond to orbits 1–45 and II–IV (existence of solutions with appropriate monodromy data follows from their explicit construction below). Surprisingly, each equivalence class contains algebraic representatives that have already appeared in the literature [\[8](#page-39-4)[,7,](#page-39-3)[21–23,](#page-39-15)[4,](#page-39-0)[5](#page-39-1)[,24,](#page-39-16)[6,](#page-39-2)[9](#page-39-17)[,10\]](#page-39-18). Complete list of these parameter inequivalent algebraic solutions is given below. For each solution we specify the 4-tuple of PVI parameters  $\theta = (\theta_x, \theta_y, \theta_z, \theta_z)$ , the number of branches and the explicit solution curve. We also give references to original papers where the corresponding algebraic solutions have been obtained and correct a few misprints (in solutions 13, 24, 43 and 44).

*Solution* II, 2 branches,  $\theta = (\theta_a, \theta_b, \theta_b, 1 - \theta_a)$ :

 $w(t) = \pm \sqrt{t}.$ 

In [Lemma 39,](#page-23-2) *X'* = 2 cos  $2π θ<sub>b</sub>$ , *X''* =  $-2$  cos  $2π θ<sub>a</sub>$ . *Solution* III, 3 branches,  $\theta = (2\theta, \theta, \theta, 2/3)$ :

$$
w = \frac{(s-1)(s+2)}{s(s+1)}, \qquad t = \frac{(s-1)^2(s+2)}{(s+1)^2(s-2)},
$$

first obtained in [\[4\]](#page-39-0), (E.31); in the above form it appeared in [\[6\]](#page-39-2). In [Lemma 39,](#page-23-2)  $\omega = 2 \cos 3\pi \theta$ . *Solution* IV, 4 branches,  $\theta = (\theta, \theta, \theta, 1/2)$ :

$$
w = \frac{s^2(s+2)}{s^2+s+1}, \qquad t = \frac{s^3(s+2)}{2s+1},
$$

first obtained in [\[4\]](#page-39-0), (E.29); in the above form it appeared in [\[24\]](#page-39-16). In [Lemma 39,](#page-23-2)  $\omega = 4 \cos^2 \pi \theta$ . *Solution* 1, 5 branches,  $\theta = (2/5, 1/5, 1/3, 2/3)$ :

$$
w = \frac{2(s^2 + s + 7)(5s - 2)}{s(s + 5)(4s^2 - 5s + 10)}, \qquad t = \frac{27(5s - 2)^2}{(s + 5)(4s^2 - 5s + 10)^2},
$$

solution 20 in [\[21\]](#page-39-15), p. 21.

*Solution* 2, 5 branches,  $\theta = (1/5, 2/5, 1/5, 2/5)$ :

$$
w = \frac{s^2(s-1)}{3(s-2)(s+3)}, \qquad t = \frac{2s^3(s^2-5)}{(s-2)^2(s+3)^3}
$$

first found in [\[9\]](#page-39-17), Eq. (3.3).

*Solution* 3, 6 branches,  $\theta = (1/2, 1/3, 1/3, 1/2)$ :

$$
w = -\frac{s(s+1)(s-3)^2}{3(s+3)(s-1)^2}, \qquad t = -\frac{(s+1)^3(s-3)^3}{(s-1)^3(s+3)^3},
$$

first found in [\[8\]](#page-39-4), equivalent to solution 4.1.1A; in the above form in [\[22\]](#page-39-27), tetrahedral solution 6, p. 9. *Solution* 4, 6 branches,  $\theta = (1/2, 1/4, 1/2, 2/3)$ :

,

$$
w = \frac{9s(2s^3 - 3s + 4)}{4(s+1)(s-1)^2(2s^2 + 6s + 1)}, \qquad t = \frac{27s^2}{4(s^2 - 1)^3},
$$

octahedral solution 7 in [\[22\]](#page-39-27), p. 12.

*Solution* 5, 6 branches,  $\theta = (1/4, 1/4, 1/3, 1/3)$ :

$$
w = \frac{(3s-1)(2s-1)(s+1)^3}{4s(3s^2-1)(s^2+1)}, \qquad t = \frac{(s+1)^4(2s-1)^2}{8s^3(3s^2-1)},
$$

first found in [\[9\]](#page-39-17) 3.3.3, p. 22.

*Solution* 6, 6 branches,  $\theta = (2/5, 1/5, 2/5, 2/3)$ :

$$
w = \frac{18s(s-3)}{(s-4)(s+1)(s^2+5)}, \qquad t = \frac{432s}{(s+5)(s+1)^3(s-4)^2},
$$

solution 23 in [\[21\]](#page-39-15), p. 23.

*Solution* 7, 6 branches,  $\theta = (1/5, 2/5, 1/5, 1/3)$ :

$$
w = \frac{-54s(s-7)}{(s-4)(s+1)(s^4-20s^2-35)}, \qquad t = t_6,
$$

solution 22 in [\[21\]](#page-39-15), p. 23.

*Solution* 8, 7 branches,  $\theta = (2/7, 2/7, 2/7, 4/7)$ :

$$
w = -\frac{(5s^2 - 8s + 5)(7s^2 - 7s + 4)}{s(s-2)(s+1)(2s-1)(4s^2 - 7s + 7)}, \qquad t = \frac{(7s^2 - 7s + 4)^2}{s^3(4s^2 - 7s + 7)^2}.
$$

Klein solution of [\[7\]](#page-39-3), p. 26.

*Solution* 9, 8 branches,  $\theta = (1/4, 1/2, 1/4, 1/2)$ :

$$
w = -\frac{(s^2 - 2s + 2)(s^2 + 2)^2}{4(s + 1)(s^2 - 4s - 2)(s - 1)^2}, \qquad t = \frac{(s^2 - 2)(s^2 + 2)^3}{16(s + 1)^3(s - 1)^3},
$$

first found in [\[9\]](#page-39-17) 3.3.5, p. 23.

*Solution* 10, 8 branches,  $\theta = (1/3, 1/2, 1/4, 2/3)$ :

$$
w = \frac{s^3(2s^2 - 4s + 3)(s^2 - 2s + 2)}{(2s^2 - 2s + 1)(3s^2 - 4s + 2)}, \qquad t = \left(\frac{s^2(2s^2 - 4s + 3)}{3s^2 - 4s + 2}\right)^2,
$$

octahedral solution 9 in [\[22\]](#page-39-27), p. 12. *Solution* 11, 8 branches,  $\theta = (1/2, 1/5, 2/5, 4/5)$ :

> $w = \frac{s(s + 4)(3s^4 - 2s^3 - 2s^2 + 8s + 8)}{2(s - 4)(s + 4)^2(s^2 + 4)}$  $\frac{4(3s^4 - 2s^3 - 2s^2 + 8s + 8)}{8(s-1)(s+1)^2(s^2+4)},$   $t = \frac{s^5(s+4)^3}{4(s-1)(s+1)^3(s+1)^3(s+1)}$  $\frac{1}{4(s-1)(s+1)^3(s^2+4)^2}$

solution 24 in [\[21\]](#page-39-15), p. 21.

*Solution* 12, 8 branches,  $\theta = (2/5, 1/2, 2/5, 4/5)$ :

$$
w = \frac{s^2(s+4)^2(5s^3+2s^2-4s-8)}{4(s-1)(s+1)^2(s^2+4)(s^2+3s+6)}, \qquad t = t_{11},
$$

solution 25 in [\[21\]](#page-39-15), p. 21.

*Solution* 13, 9 branches,  $\theta = (2/5, 2/5, 2/5, 2/3)$ :

$$
w = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{350s^3 + 63s^2 - 6s - 2}{30s(2s + 1)u},
$$
  
\n
$$
t = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{(25s^4 + 170s^3 + 42s^2 + 8s - 2)u}{54s^3(5s + 4)^2},
$$
  
\n
$$
u^2 = s(8s + 1)(5s + 4),
$$

solution 27 in [\[21\]](#page-39-15), p. 23 (parameters in [21] are defined with a misprint, which is corrected by interchanging  $\theta_3 \leftrightarrow \theta_4$ ). *Solution* 14, 9 branches,  $\theta = (1/5, 1/5, 1/5, 1/3)$ :

$$
w = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{(s-1)\left(5(s^6+1) + 58(s^5+s) + 1771(s^4+s^2) + 8620s^3\right)u}{8s(s+1)(5s^3+25s^2+95s+3)(3s^3+95s^2+25s+5)},
$$
  
\n
$$
t = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{(s-1)\left(25(s^8+1) + 760(s^7+s) + 4924(s^6+s^2) + 75464(s^5+s^3) + 329174s^4\right)}{2048s(s+1)^5u},
$$
  
\n
$$
u^2 = s(5s^2+118s+5),
$$

first found in [\[9\]](#page-39-17), p. 11.

*Solution* 15, 10 branches,  $\theta = (1/2, 1/5, 1/2, 3/5)$ :

$$
w = \frac{(s^2 - 5)(s^2 + 5)(s^5 + 5s^4 - 20s^3 + 75s + 75)}{(s + 1)^2(s + 5)(s^2 - 4s + 5)(s^4 + 6s^2 - 75)},
$$
  

$$
t = \frac{2(s^2 + 5)^3(s^2 - 5)^2}{(s + 1)^3(s + 5)^3(s^2 - 4s + 5)^2},
$$

solution 28 in [\[21\]](#page-39-15), p. 21.

*Solution* 16, 10 branches,  $\theta = (0, 0, 0, -4/5)$ :

$$
w = \frac{(s-1)^2(3s+1)^2(s^2+4s-1)(119s^8-588s^6+314s^4-108s^2+7)^2}{(s+1)^3(3s-1)P(s)},
$$
  
\n
$$
t = \frac{(s-1)^5(3s+1)^3(s^2+4s-1)}{(s+1)^5(3s-1)^3(s^2-4s-1)},
$$
  
\n
$$
P(s) = 42483s^{18} - 719271s^{16} + 5963724s^{14} + 13758708s^{12} - 7616646s^{10} + 1642878s^8 - 259044s^6 + 34308s^4 - 2133s^2 + 49,
$$

first obtained in [\[4\]](#page-39-0), the above parametrization corresponds to icosahedron solution (*H*3) in [\[5\]](#page-39-1), p. 76. *Solution* 17, 10 branches,  $\theta = (0, 0, 0, -2/5)$ :

$$
w = \frac{(s-1)^{4}(3s+1)^{2}(s^{2}+4s-1)(11s^{4}-30s^{2}+3)^{2}}{(s+1)(3s-1)(3s^{2}+1)P(s)},
$$
  
\n
$$
t = \frac{(s-1)^{5}(3s+1)^{3}(s^{2}+4s-1)}{(s+1)^{5}(3s-1)^{3}(s^{2}-4s-1)},
$$
  
\n
$$
P(s) = 121s^{12} - 1942s^{10} + 63015s^{8} - 28852s^{6} + 4855s^{4} - 342s^{2} + 9,
$$

great icosahedron solution  $(H_3)'$  in [\[5\]](#page-39-1), p. 77.

*Solution* 18, 10 branches,  $\theta = (1/3, 1/3, 1/3, 4/5)$ :

$$
w = \frac{s^2(s+2)(s^2+1)(2s^2+3s+3)}{2(s^2+s+1)(3s^2+3s+2)}, \qquad t = \frac{s^5(s+2)(2s^2+3s+3)^2}{(2s+1)(3s^2+3s+2)^2},
$$

solution 29 in [\[21\]](#page-39-15), p. 23.

*Solution* 19, 10 branches,  $\theta = (1/3, 1/3, 1/3, 2/5)$ :

$$
w = \frac{s^4(s+2)(2s^2+3s+3)(7s^2+10s+7)}{(3s^2+3s+2)(4(s^6+1)+12(s^5+s)+15(s^4+s^2)+10s^3)}, \qquad t = t_{18},
$$

solution 30 in [\[21\]](#page-39-15), p. 23.

*Solution* 20, 12 branches,  $\theta = (1/2, 1/2, 1/2, 2/3)$ :

$$
w = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{45s^6 + 20s^5 + 95s^4 + 92s^3 + 39s^2 - 3}{4(5s^2 + 1)(s + 1)^2u},
$$
  
\n
$$
t = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{s(2s + 1)^2(27s^4 + 28s^3 + 26s^2 + 12s + 3)}{(s + 1)^3u^3},
$$
  
\n
$$
u^2 = (2s + 1)(9s^2 + 2s + 1),
$$

octahedral solution 12 in [\[22\]](#page-39-27), p. 13.

*Solution* 21, 12 branches,  $\theta = (1/3, 1/2, 1/2, 2/3)$ :

$$
w = \frac{4(s+1)(3s^2 - 4s + 2)(7s^4 + 16s^3 + 4s^2 - 4)}{s^3(s-2)(s^2 + 4s + 6)(s^4 - 4s^2 + 32s - 28)},
$$
  

$$
t = \frac{16(s+1)^4(3s^2 - 4s + 2)^2}{s^4(s-2)^4(s^2 + 4s + 6)^2},
$$

octahedral solution 11 in [\[22\]](#page-39-27), p. 12.

*Solution* 22, 12 branches,  $\theta = (1/3, 1/3, 1/5, 2/5)$ :

$$
w = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{140s^6 + 1029s^5 - 1023s^4 + 360s^3 - 288s^2 + 27s + 27}{18u(s + 1)(7s^3 - 3s^2 - s + 1)},
$$
  

$$
t = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{40s^6 + 540s^5 - 765s^4 + 540s^3 - 270s^2 + 27}{6u(8s^2 - 9s + 3)(s + 1)^2},
$$
  

$$
u^2 = 3(5s + 1)(8s^2 - 9s + 3),
$$

solution 36 in [\[21\]](#page-39-15), p. 22.

*Solution* 23, 12 branches,  $\theta = (1/5, 1/5, 1/3, 1/2)$ :

$$
w = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{(3s + 5)(8s^4 - 10s^3 + 12s^2 - 13s + 11)}{2(2s^3 - 15s + 5)u},
$$
  
\n
$$
t = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{8s^6 + 20s^3 - 15s^2 + 66s - 15}{2(8s^2 - 5s + 5)u},
$$
  
\n
$$
u^2 = (3s + 5)(8s^2 - 5s + 5),
$$

solution 34 in [\[21\]](#page-39-15), p. 21.

*Solution* 24, 12 branches,  $\theta = (2/5, 2/5, 1/3, 1/2)$ :

$$
w = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{(3s + 5)(16s^5 - 8s^4 + 18s^3 - 8s^2 + 115s + 3)}{2(26s^3 + 60s^2 + 15s + 35)u},
$$
  

$$
t = t_{23}, \qquad u = u_{23},
$$

solution 35 in [\[21\]](#page-39-15), p. 22 (in [21] there is a sign misprint in the formula for  $w$ ). *Solution* 25, 12 branches,  $\theta = (2/5, 1/3, 1/2, 4/5)$ :

$$
w = -\frac{9s(s^2 + 1)(3s - 4)(15s^4 - 5s^3 + 3s^2 - 3s + 2)}{(2s - 1)^2(9s^2 + 4)(9s^2 + 3s + 10)},
$$
  

$$
t = \frac{27s^5(s^2 + 1)^2(3s - 4)^3}{4(2s - 1)^3(9s^2 + 4)^2},
$$

solution 33 (generic icosahedral solution) in [\[21\]](#page-39-15), Th. B, p. 4.

*Solution* 26, 15 branches,  $\theta = (1/3, 1/3, 1/3, 3/5)$ :

$$
w = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{250s^6 + 500s^5 + 518s^4 + 261s^3 + 76s^2 + 13s + 2}{2(s+2)(5s+1)(5s^3 + 6s^2 + 3s + 1)u},
$$
  
\n
$$
t = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{3(500s^7 + 925s^6 + 1164s^5 + 830s^4 + 340s^3 + 105s^2 + 20s + 4)}{2(s+2)^2(5s+1)u^3},
$$
  
\n
$$
u^2 = (4s^2 + s + 1)(5s + 1),
$$

solution 38 in [\[21\]](#page-39-15), p. 26.

*Solution* 27, 15 branches,  $\theta = (1/3, 1/3, 1/3, 1/5)$ :

$$
w = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1000s^8 + 2425s^7 + 4171s^6 + 3805s^5 + 1999s^4 + 874s^3 + 244s^2 + 58s + 4}{4(s+2)(25s^6 + 135s^5 + 111s^4 + 91s^3 + 36s^2 + 6s + 1)u},
$$
  

$$
t = t_{26}, \qquad u = u_{26},
$$

solution 37 in [\[21\]](#page-39-15), p. 26.

*Solution* 28, 15 branches,  $\theta = (3/5, 3/5, 2/3, 2/3)$ :

$$
w = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2s^9 + 20s^8 + 53s^7 - 89s^6 - 605s^5 - 851s^4 - 1389s^3 - 5775s^2 - 10125s - 5625}{2(s^2 - 5)(s^2 - 6s - 15)(s^2 + 4s + 5)u},
$$
  
\n
$$
t = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{(2s^7 + 10s^6 - 90s^4 - 135s^3 + 297s^2 + 945s + 675)u}{18(4s^2 + 15s + 15)^2(s^2 - 5)},
$$
  
\n
$$
u^2 = 3(s + 5)(4s^2 + 15s + 15),
$$

solution 40 in [\[21\]](#page-39-15), p. 22.

*Solution* 29, 15 branches,  $\theta = (1/3, 1/3, 4/5, 4/5)$ :

$$
w = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{14s^5 + 61s^4 - 66s^3 - 660s^2 - 900s - 225}{6(s+1)(s^2 - 5)u},
$$
  

$$
t = t_{28}, \qquad u = u_{28},
$$

solution 39 in [\[21\]](#page-39-15), p. 22.

*Solution* 30, 16 branches,  $\theta = (1/2, 1/2, 1/2, 3/4)$ :

$$
w = -\frac{(1+i)(s^2 - 1)(s^2 + 2is + 1)(s^2 - 2is + 1)^2 P(s)}{4s(s^2 + i)(s^2 - i)^2(s^2 + (1 + is - i)Q(s)},
$$
  
\n
$$
t = \frac{(s^2 - 1)^2(s^4 + 6s^2 + 1)^3}{32s^2(s^4 + 1)^3},
$$
  
\n
$$
P(s) = s^8 - (2 - 2i)s^7 - (6 + 2i)s^6 + (10 + 2i)s^5 + 4is^4 + (10 - 2i)s^3 + (6 - 2i)s^2 - (2 + 2i)s - 1,
$$
  
\n
$$
Q(s) = s^6 - (3 + 3i)s^5 + 3is^4 + (4 - 4i)s^3 + 3s^2 + (3 + 3i)s + i,
$$

octahedral solution 13 in [\[22\]](#page-39-27), p. 13.

*Solution* 31, 18 branches,  $\theta = (1/3, 1/3, 1/3, 1/3)$ :

$$
w = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{8s^7 - 28s^6 + 75s^5 + 31s^4 - 269s^3 + 318s^2 - 166s + 56}{18u(s - 1)(3s^3 - 4s^2 + 4s + 2)},
$$
  

$$
t = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{(s + 1)(32(s^8 + 1) - 320(s^7 + s) + 1112(s^6 + s^2) - 2420(s^5 + s^3) + 3167s^4)}{54u^3s(s - 1)},
$$
  

$$
u^2 = s(8s^2 - 11s + 8).
$$

A solution with equivalent parameters was first obtained in [\[5\]](#page-39-1) (great dodecahedron solution (H<sub>3</sub>)'', see pp. 78–87 in the preprint version of [\[5\]](#page-39-1) for the explicit form), the above elliptic parametrization was produced in [\[21\]](#page-39-15), Th. C, p. 4.

*Solution* 32, 18 branches,  $\theta = (4/7, 4/7, 4/7, 1/3)$ :

$$
w = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{P(s)u}{Q(s)}, \qquad t = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{R(s)u}{432s(s+1)^2(s^2+s+7)^2}, \qquad u^2 = s(s^2+s+7),
$$
  
\n
$$
P(s) = s^{10} + 5s^9 + 24s^8 + 20s^7 - 266s^6 - 2874s^5 - 14812s^4 - 40316s^3 - 85359s^2 - 100067s - 67396,
$$
  
\n
$$
Q(s) = 16(s+1)(s^2+s+7)(5s^6+63s^5+252s^4+854s^3+1449s^2+1827s+2030),
$$
  
\n
$$
R(s) = s^9 - 84s^6 - 378s^5 - 1512s^4 - 5208s^3 - 7236s^2 - 8127s - 784,
$$

first appeared in [\[22\]](#page-39-27), p. 22.

*Solution* 33, 18 branches,  $\theta = (1/3, 1/7/$ ,  $1/7, 6/7)$ :

$$
w = 1 + \frac{(3s - 2)(s^2 - 2s + 4)^2}{4(s + 2)(s - 1)^2(s^2 - s + 1)(3s^2 - 4s + 4)}
$$
  
\n
$$
\times \frac{-14s^5 + 25s^4 - 20s^3 - 8s^2 + 16s - 8 - 8(s - 1)(s^2 - s + 1)u}{(2s + 1)(3s^3 - 10s^2 + 6s - 2) - 14(s - 1)u}
$$
,  
\n
$$
t = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{14s^9 - 105s^8 + 252s^7 - 392s^6 + 420s^5 - 336s^4 + 112s^3 + 72s^2 - 96s + 32}{16(s + 2)^2(s - 1)^3(s^2 - s + 1)u}
$$
,  
\n
$$
u^2 = (2s + 1)(1 - s)(s^2 - s + 1),
$$

solution (3.16)–(3.17) in [\[10\]](#page-39-18), p. 15.

*Solution* 34, 18 branches,  $\theta = (2/7, 2/7, 2/7, 1/3)$ :

$$
w = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{(3s^8 - 2s^7 - 4s^6 - 204s^5 - 536s^4 - 1738s^3 - 5064s^2 - 4808s - 3199)u}{4(s + 1)(s^2 + s + 7)(s^6 + 196s^3 + 189s^2 + 756s + 154)},
$$
  
 $t = t_{32}, \quad u = u_{32},$ 

first appeared in [\[22\]](#page-39-27), p. 17, Eq. (12).

*Solution* 35, 20 branches,  $\theta = (0, 0, 1/10, 9/10)$ :

$$
w = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{9s^5 - 49s^4 - 822s^3 + 238s^2 - 1699s + 1299}{2(3s - 7)(s^2 - 2s + 17)u},
$$
  
\n
$$
t = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{P(s)}{Q(s)u^3}, \qquad u^2 = (9s^2 - 2s + 9)(s^2 - 2s + 17)
$$
  
\n
$$
P(s) = 27s^{10} - 630s^9 + 4055s^8 + 30520s^7 - 174970s^6 + 258492s^5 - 724490s^4 + 600760s^3 - 1097825s^2 + 186570s - 131085,
$$

$$
Q(s) = 2(s^2 - 2s + 17)(s^2 - 18s + 1),
$$

solution 45 of [\[21\]](#page-39-15), first obtained explicitly in [\[23\]](#page-39-28), p. 7. *Solution* 36, 20 branches,  $\theta = (0, 0, 3/10, 7/10)$ :

$$
w=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{(s+3)(9s^4-100s^3+118s^2-228s-55)}{(6s^3-42s^2-30s-62)u},
$$

$$
t = t_{35}
$$
,  $u = u_{35}$ ,

solution 44 of [\[21\]](#page-39-15), first obtained explicitly in [\[23\]](#page-39-28), p. 8. *Solution* 37, 20 branches,  $\theta = (1/3, 1/3, 1/2, 2/5)$ :

$$
w = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{(s+3)P(s)}{18(s^2+1)(s^6-7s^4+42s^3-45s^2+34s+7)u},
$$
  
\n
$$
t = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{(s+3)Q(s)}{2(s^2+1)^2u^3}, \qquad u^2 = 3(s+3)(8s^2-13s+17),
$$
  
\n
$$
P(s) = 28s^9 - 235s^8 + 556s^7 - 1334s^6 + 2174s^5 - 3854s^4 + 4360s^3 - 4738s^2 + 2362s - 1047,
$$
  
\n
$$
Q(s) = 8s^{10} + 100s^7 - 135s^6 + 834s^5 - 1205s^4 + 2280s^3 - 1365s^2 + 890s + 321,
$$

solution 43 in [\[21\]](#page-39-15), p. 24.

*Solution* 38, 20 branches,  $\theta = (1/3, 1/3, 1/2, 4/5)$ :

$$
w=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{(s+3)(8s^6-28s^5+85s^4-196s^3+214s^2-196s+41)}{6(s^2+1)(3s^2-4s+5)u},
$$

 $t = t_{37}$ ,  $u = u_{37}$ ,

solution 42 in [\[21\]](#page-39-15), p. 24.

*Solution* 39, 24 branches,  $\theta = (1/3, 1/3, 1/3, 1/2)$ :

$$
w = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{P(s)}{R(s)u}, \qquad t = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{(s^2 + 4s - 2)Q(s)}{2(s + 2)(3s^2 - 2s + 2)^2u^3}, \qquad u^2 = (8s^2 - 7s + 2)(s + 2),
$$
  
\n
$$
P(s) = 16s^{11} + 72s^{10} + 50s^9 - 242s^8 - 3143s^7 + 6562s^6 - 8312s^5 + 9760s^4 - 9836s^3 + 6216s^2 - 2288s + 416,
$$
  
\n
$$
Q(s) = 8s^{10} + 16s^9 + 24s^8 - 84s^7 + 429s^6 - 312s^5 + 258s^4 - 288s^3 + 288s^2 - 128s + 32,
$$

$$
R(s) = 2(3s2 - 2s + 2)(26s6 + 18s5 - 75s4 + 50s3 + 270s2 - 312s + 104),
$$

solution 46 in [\[21\]](#page-39-15), p. 27.

*Solution* 40, 30 branches,  $\theta = (1/15, 1/15, 7/30, 23/30)$ :

$$
w = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{(s+1)(s^8 + 8s^7 + 90s^6 + 348s^5 + 972s^4 + 1296s^3 + 4374s^2 + 8748s + 19683)}{2(s+3)^2(s^4 - 4s^3 - 6s^2 + 81)u},
$$
  
\n
$$
t = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{(s+1)^2(s+9)^2P(s)}{2(s-3)^2(s+3)^5(s^2+9)u^3}, \qquad u^2 = (s+1)(s+9)(s^2+9)(s^2+4s+9),
$$
  
\n
$$
P(s) = s^{14} + 10s^{13} + 63s^{12} + 180s^{11} + 621s^{10} + 3942s^9 + 26595s^8 + 99576s^7 + 239355s^6 + 319302s^5 + 452709s^4 + 1180980s^3 + 3720087s^2 + 5314410s + 4782969,
$$

solution 47 of [\[21\]](#page-39-15), first obtained explicitly in [\[23\]](#page-39-28), p. 9.

*Solution* 41, 30 branches,  $\theta = (2/15, 2/15, 1/30, 29/30)$ :

$$
w = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{(s+9)Q(s)}{2(s-3)(s+3)^4(s^2+9)u}, \qquad t = t_{40}, \qquad u = u_{40},
$$
  

$$
Q(s) = s^9 + 7s^8 + 36s^7 + 36s^6 + 126s^5 + 1170s^4 + 8100s^3 + 18468s^2 + 24057s - 6561,
$$

solution 48 of [\[21\]](#page-39-15), first obtained explicitly in [\[23\]](#page-39-28), p. 9. *Solution* 42, 36 branches,  $\theta = (0, 0, 1/6, 5/6)$ :

$$
w = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{4s^9 - 24s^8 + 84s^7 - 240s^6 + 96s^5 + 1401s^4 - 6396s^3 + 11136s^2 - 8160s - 401}{2(2s^2 - 2s + 5)(s^3 - 3s^2 + 3s - 11)u},
$$
  
\n
$$
t = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{(s - 2)(s + 4)P(s)}{4(s^2 - 7s + 1)(s^2 - 4s + 13)(2s^2 - 2s + 5)u^3},
$$
  
\n
$$
u^2 = (s^2 - 4s + 13)(2s^2 - 2s + 5)(2s^4 + 2s^3 - 3s^2 - 58s + 107),
$$
  
\n
$$
P(s) = 32s^{16} - 640s^{15} + 6432s^{14} - 46016s^{13} + 266968s^{12} - 1228152s^{11} + 4546772s^{10} - 13723024s^9 + 34628427s^8 - 74456536s^7 + 139564088s^6 - 224784264s^5 + 300342142s^4 - 299494736s^3 + 197723868s^2 - 68764168s + 17918807,
$$

solution 49 of [\[21\]](#page-39-15), first obtained explicitly in [\[23\]](#page-39-28), p. 10. *Solution* 43, 40 branches,  $\theta = (3/20, 3/20, 3/20, 17/20)$ :

$$
w = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{(s^2 - 18s + 1)(s^2 - 2s + 17)(u_{35})^2 + 8(s + 1)(3s^3 - 21s^2 - 15s - 31)uv}{32(s^3 + 57s^2 - 69s + 75)(s^2 - 1)v}
$$
  
\n
$$
t = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{P_{35}(s)u}{1024(s - 9)^2(s^2 - 1)^3(5s^2 - 2s + 13)},
$$
  
\n
$$
u^2 = 2(s - 9)(s^2 - 1), \qquad v^2 = -(s - 1)(s - 9)(5s^2 - 2s + 13),
$$

solution 50 of [\[21\]](#page-39-15), first obtained explicitly in [\[23\]](#page-39-28), p. 9. (The formula (6) for v in [23], p. 8 is incorrect and should be replaced with  $v^2=-2(j+1)(5j^2-2j+13)$ . This is undoubtedly a typing error, because the Maple file accompanying Arxiv version of [\[23\]](#page-39-28) contains correct expressions, which yield a solution equivalent to the above.)

,

*Solution* 44, 40 branches,  $\theta = (1/20, 1/20, 1/20, 19/20)$ :

$$
w = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{(s^2 - 18s + 1) (u_{35})^2 + 4(s - 1)(3s - 7)uv}{64(s + 3)(s + 1)^2v},
$$
  
\n $t = t_{43}, \qquad u = u_{43}, \qquad v = v_{43},$ 

solution 51 of [\[21\]](#page-39-15), in explicit form first obtained in [\[23\]](#page-39-28), p. 8 (with the same misprints as solution 43). *Solution* 45, 72 branches,  $\theta = (1/12, 1/12, 1/12, 11/12)$ :

$$
w = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2(s^2 - 4s + 13)(s^2 - 7s + 1) (u_{42})^2 + 9(s - 1)(s^3 + 27s^2 - 57s + 79)uv}{6(2s - 7)^2(s^2 - 1)(2s^2 + s + 17)(s^3 - 3s^2 + 3s - 11)v},
$$
  
\n
$$
t = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{(s - 2)(s + 4)P_{42}(s)}{54(2s - 7)(s^2 - 1)(s^2 - 2s + 6)u^3},
$$
  
\n
$$
u^2 = (2s - 7)(s^2 - 1)(2s^2 + s + 17)(4s^2 - 13s + 19),
$$
  
\n
$$
v^2 = -(s + 1)(s^2 - 2s + 6)(4s^2 - 13s + 19),
$$

solution 52 of [\[21\]](#page-39-15), in explicit form first obtained in [\[23\]](#page-39-28), pp. 10–11.

#### **Acknowledgments**

O.L. is grateful to Dublin Institute for Advanced Studies where the project of classification of algebraic Painlevé VI solutions was first conceived (supported by the Schroedinger Fellowship). The work of Yu.T. is supported by Eiffel Ph.D. Fellowship of French government.

#### **References**

- <span id="page-38-1"></span>[2] H. [Watanabe, Birational canonical transformations and classical solutions of the sixth Painlevé equation, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super Pisa Cl. Sci. 27 \(1999\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0393-0440(14)00099-0/sbref2)
- <span id="page-38-2"></span>379–425. [3] P. Boalch, Towards a nonlinear Schwarz's list, Preprint [math.CA/0707.3375.](http://arxiv.org/math.CA/0707.3375)

<span id="page-38-0"></span><sup>[1]</sup> E.L. [Ince, Ordinary Differential Equations, Dover, New York, 1956.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0393-0440(14)00099-0/sbref1)

- <span id="page-39-0"></span>[4] B. Dubrovin, Geometry of 2D topological field theories, in: Lect. Notes Math., vol. 1620, Springer, 1995, pp. 120–348. Preprint [hep-th/9407018.](http://arxiv.org/hep-th/9407018)
- <span id="page-39-1"></span>[5] B. Dubrovin, M. Mazzocco, Monodromy of certain Painlevé VI transcendents and reflection groups, Invent. Math. 141 (2000) 55–147. Preprint [math.AG/9806056.](http://arxiv.org/math.AG/9806056)
- <span id="page-39-2"></span>[6] N.J. [Hitchin, A lecture on octahedron, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 35 \(2003\) 577–600.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0393-0440(14)00099-0/sbref6)
- <span id="page-39-3"></span>[7] P. Boalch, From Klein to Painleve via Fourier, Laplace and Jimbo, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 90 (2005) 167–208. Preprint [math.AG/0308221.](http://arxiv.org/math.AG/0308221)
- <span id="page-39-4"></span> $[8]$  F.V. Andreev, A.V. Kitaev, Transformations  $RS_4^2(3)$  of the ranks  $\leq 4$  and algebraic solutions of the sixth Painleve equation, Comm. Math. Phys. 228 (2002) 151–176. Preprint [nlin.SI/0107074.](http://arxiv.org/nlin.SI/0107074)
- <span id="page-39-17"></span>[9] A.V. Kitaev, Grothendieck's dessins d'enfants, their deformations, and algebraic solutions of the sixth Painlevé and Gauss hypergeometric equations, Algebra i Analiz 17 (2005) 224–275. Preprint [nlin.SI/0309078.](http://arxiv.org/nlin.SI/0309078)
- <span id="page-39-18"></span>[10] A.V. Kitaev, Remarks towards a classification of RS<sup>2</sup>(3)-transformations and algebraic solutions of the sixth Painlevé equation, in: Sémin. Congr., 4 vol. 14, Soc. Math., France, 2006, pp. 199–227. Preprint [math.CA/0503082.](http://arxiv.org/math.CA/0503082)
- <span id="page-39-5"></span>[11] A. Borodin, P. Deift, Fredholm determinants, Jimbo–Miwa–Ueno tau-functions, and representation theory, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 55 (2002) 1160–1230. Preprint [math-ph/0111007.](http://arxiv.org/math-ph/0111007)
- <span id="page-39-6"></span>[12] O. Lisovyy, On Painlevé VI transcendents related to the Dirac operator on the hyperbolic disk, J. Math. Phys. 49 (2008) 093507. Preprint [arXiv:0710.5744](http://arxiv.org/0710.5744) [math-ph].
- <span id="page-39-7"></span>[13] K. I[wasaki, A modular group action on cubic surfaces and the monodromy of the Painlevé VI equation, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A 78 \(2002\) 131–135.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0393-0440(14)00099-0/sbref13)
- <span id="page-39-8"></span>[14] M. [Jimbo, Monodromy problem and the boundary condition for some Painlevé equations, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. 18 \(1982\) 1137–1161.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0393-0440(14)00099-0/sbref14)
- <span id="page-39-9"></span>[15] S. Cantat, F. Loray, Holomorphic dynamics, Painlevé VI equation and character varieties, Preprint [arxiv:0711.1579](http://arxiv.org/0711.1579) [math.DS].
- <span id="page-39-10"></span>[16] K. Iwasaki, T. Uehara, An ergodic study of Painleve VI, Math. Ann. 338 (2007) 295–345. Preprint [arXiv:math/0604582](http://arxiv.org/math/0604582) [math.AG].
- <span id="page-39-11"></span>[17] K. Iwasaki, On algebraic solutions to Painleve VI, Preprint [arXiv:0809.1482](http://arxiv.org/0809.1482) [math.CA].
- <span id="page-39-12"></span>[18] A.V[. Kitaev, Quadratic transformations for the sixth Painlevé equation, Lett. Math. Phys. 21 \(1991\) 105–111.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0393-0440(14)00099-0/sbref18)
- <span id="page-39-13"></span>[19] A.V. Kitaev, R. Vidunas, Quadratic transformations of the sixth Painlevé equation with application to algebraic solutions, Math. Nachr. 280 (2007) 1834–1855. Preprint [math.CA/0511149.](http://arxiv.org/math.CA/0511149)
- <span id="page-39-14"></span>[20] K. Iwasaki, Finite branch solutions to Painlevé VI around a fixed singular point, Adv. Math. 217 (2008) 1889–1934. Preprint [math.AG/0704.0679.](http://arxiv.org/math.AG/0704.0679)
- <span id="page-39-15"></span>[21] P. Boalch, The fifty-two icosahedral solutions to Painlevé VI, J. Reine Angew. Math. 596 (2006) 183–214. Preprint [math.AG/0406281.](http://arxiv.org/math.AG/0406281)
- <span id="page-39-27"></span>[22] P. Boalch, Some explicit solutions to the Riemann–Hilbert problem, in: IRMA Lect. Math. Theor. Phys., 9, Eur. Math. Soc., Zurich, 2007, pp. 85–112. Preprint [math.DG/0501464.](http://arxiv.org/math.DG/0501464)
- <span id="page-39-28"></span>[23] P. Boalch, Higher genus icosahedral Painlevé curves, Funkcial. Ekvac. 50 (2007) 19–32. Preprint [math.AG/0506407.](http://arxiv.org/math.AG/0506407)
- <span id="page-39-16"></span>[24] N.J. [Hitchin, Poncelet polygons and the Painlevé transcendents, in: Geometry and Analysis, Tata Inst. Fund. Res, Bombay, 1995, pp. 151–185.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0393-0440(14)00099-0/sbref24)
- <span id="page-39-19"></span>[25] M. Noumi, Y. Yamada, A new Lax pair for the sixth Painlevé equation associated with  $\widehat{\mathfrak{so}}(8)$ , in: T. Kawai, K. Fujita (Eds.), Microlocal Analysis and Complex Fourier Analysis, World Scientific, 2002, Preprint [math-ph/0203029.](http://arxiv.org/math-ph/0203029)
- <span id="page-39-20"></span>[26] W.J.R. Crosby, Problems and solutions, Amer. Math. Monthly 53 (1946) 104-107.
- <span id="page-39-21"></span>[27] L. K[ronecker, Les facteurs irréductibles de l'expression](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0393-0440(14)00099-0/sbref27) *x <sup>n</sup>* − 1, J. Math. Pures Appl. 19 (1854) 177–192.
- <span id="page-39-22"></span>[28] M. Mazzocco, Rational solutions of the Painlevé VI equation, J. Phys. A34 (2001) 2281–2294. Preprint [nlin.SI/0007036.](http://arxiv.org/nlin.SI/0007036)
- <span id="page-39-23"></span>[29] H.A[. Schwarz, Über diejenigen Fälle, in welchen die Gaussische hypergeometrische reihe eine algebraische Funktion ihres vierten Elements darstellt,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0393-0440(14)00099-0/sbref29) J. Reine Angew. Math. 75 (1873) 292–335.
- <span id="page-39-24"></span>[30] M. Mazzocco, The geometry of the classical solutions of the Garnier systems, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 12 (2002) 613–646. Preprint [math.CA/0106208.](http://arxiv.org/math.CA/0106208)
- <span id="page-39-25"></span>[31] R. [Fuchs, Über lineare homogene Differentialgleichungen zweiter Ordnung mit im drei im Endrichen gelegene wesentlich singulären Stellen, Math.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0393-0440(14)00099-0/sbref31) Ann. 63 (1907) 301–321.
- <span id="page-39-26"></span>[32] M. Mazzocco, Picard and Chazy solutions to the Painleve VI equation, Math. Ann. 321 (2001) 157–195. Preprint [math.AG/9901054.](http://arxiv.org/math.AG/9901054)